Food preferences of *Gerbillurus paeba exilis* in a coastal dunefield, South Africa
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We investigated the potential diet of *Gerbillurus paeba exilis* (Shortridge and Carter, 1938) from dune slacks in the eastern Cape using food preference tests on captive animals in summer and winter. Food categories investigated were: seeds, leaves and stems, and arthropods. The seeds of *Arctotheca populifolia* were the most preferred food. A seasonal change in diet was found: gerbils consumed mainly arthropods and seeds in summer, while in winter, few arthropods were eaten, the preferred diet consisting chiefly of seeds. Little green plant material was ingested. The seasonal change in food preferences may influence the timing of breeding.
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Introduction

Information on the diet of rodents can be obtained by means of food preference tests. These so-called “cafeteria tests” involve offering test animals a choice of several kinds of foods and estimating the degree of their consumption (Dróżdż 1975). Dróżdż (1966) has found preference test results to be consistent with those of stomach content analyses for the vole *Clethrionomys glareolus* and the mouse *Apodemus flavicollis*. Preference tests are usually carried out in different seasons, as the diet of rodents changes according to the food available at different times of the year (Zemanek 1972, Brooks 1974, Perrin 1979, 1980a). Seasonal changes in food quality are thought to influence the timing of breeding, reproductive tactics and ultimately, the population dynamics of several rodent species (Taylor and Green 1976, Curtis and Perrin 1979, Perrin 1980b).

The hairy-footed gerbil *Gerbillurus paeba* is a small cricetid rodent commonly found in desert and semi-desert areas in southern Africa (Davis 1975). The species is omnivorous (Laycock 1975, Holm and Scholtz 1980), but prefers insects (Boyer 1987, Kerley 1989). Although some information is available on *G. paeba* populations in the Namib desert (e.g. Christian 1977, 1979, 1980a, 1980b, Boyer 1987), the Kalahari (e.g. Nel 1975, 1978, 1983, Nel and Rautenbach 1975, Nel et al. 1984, Kerley et al. 1990) and the Karoo (Kerley 1989), little is known of the most southern populations of this species. An investigation of the food preferences of a *G. paeba exilis* (Shortridge and Carter 1938) population in the dune slacks of the Alexandria dunefield lining the
shores of Algoa Bay in the eastern Cape was undertaken as part of a larger study of the
ecology of this species in the dunefield (Ascaray 1986). The foods eaten by G. p. exilis in
the dune slacks of the Alexandria dunefield, as determined by food preference tests in
summer and winter, are presented here.

Methods

Food preference tests were conducted in December, 1981 and July, 1982. Test animals were trapped in
dune slacks near the Sundays River Mouth (33°44' S; 25°51' E). Gerbils were individually housed in plastic
cages (30 x 20 x 25 cm) in tents in the field. Light and temperature regimes approximated natural conditions.
Nesting material was provided in the form of tissue paper, to prevent the use of food items as nesting
material. Water and laboratory pellets were freely available, so that the gerbils would not eat unpalatable
foods due to excessive hunger (Curtis and Perrin 1979). Ten gerbils were used in each choice test to allow for
individual variation in food preferences, as suggested by Drożdż (1975). All foods used in the test were
collected in the dune slacks, usually on the day of the test.

Three choice tests were conducted each season: a seed, leaf and stem and an arthropod choice test. During each test, several foods from the same category, e.g. seeds, were presented to each gerbil and the
percentage of each species eaten was estimated after 12 h and 36 h. Although Drożdż (1966, 1975)
recommended the use of a four point scale, where 0 = 0% eaten, 1 = 0 - 30% eaten and so on, it was felt that
more accurate estimates could be obtained in the present study and the percentage of food eaten was visually
estimated by volume (roughly equivalent to mass) to the nearest 10%. After the completion of the three tests,
the most preferred plant food and most preferred arthropod food were compared in a fourth choice test, of 12
h duration. In all tests, the food was placed in the cages in the early evening, just before the gerbils became
active. Cage contents were thoroughly examined the next morning (after 12 h) and again the following
morning (36 h), after which the experiment was terminated. At each check nesting material was searched for
possible food hoards. The next preference test usually began after a 12 h hiatus. In all cases, the cages were
checked five minutes after the food was given to the gerbils, and the food eaten first by each gerbil was
recorded.

Trial tests were conducted prior to the preference tests, to determine the amount of food to be given to
the gerbils, without causing weight loss. Enough food had to be placed in the cages so that only preferred
foods would be eaten and so that enough of each food would remain after the test to determine which foods
were most preferred. However, the scarcity of some plants and/or seeds limited the use of these species in the
preference tests. Foods were thus presented to the gerbils in approximately the proportions in which they
occurred in the dune slacks. It was found that 67 g of seed heads (all species totalled) per gerbil was enough to
ensure that some food would be left at the end of the seed tests; approximately 38 g of green plant material per
gerbil was used in the leaf and stem preference test. Preliminary tests were not conducted on arthropods since
these were trapped with difficulty in the slacks. Numbers of each species presented to the gerbils were
proportional to the numbers trapped, so that many more individuals of some species than others were
presented. Fortunately, those species of which only a few individuals were given to the gerbils were never all
eaten, so that a false impression of their palatability was avoided.

Seed preference tests: Seeds from most plants fruiting in the dune slacks at the time were presented to
the gerbils. In summer, six species were producing seed; in the winter, five. Whole seed heads were presented
to the gerbils, as burrow contents showed that this was the form in which seeds were hoarded. Each gerbil
received the same number of seed heads of each species. After 12 h and 36 h the seed heads were removed
from the cages and examined to determine how much of each had been eaten. The percentage of each seed
species eaten by each gerbil was calculated, and the mean percentage eaten by the 10 gerbils obtained for each
species.

Leaf and stem preference tests: Leaves and stems of nine plant species were presented to each gerbil in
summer and winter, in roughly equal proportions to their abundance in the slacks. All leaf and stem material
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was picked just before use. The percentage of each species eaten was estimated after 12 h and 36 h and the mean percentage eaten by the 10 gerbils calculated.

Arthropod preference tests: Arthropods were captured in pit traps in the dune slacks and were also caught with hand-held nets. All arthropods were presented live to the gerbils and plastic mesh was placed over each cage to prevent their escape. In summer, 12 species of arthropod were trapped and presented to the gerbils. The mean percentage of each species eaten by the 10 gerbils was estimated. Only three arthropod species were trapped in winter, and because so little food was given to the gerbils, the experiment was terminated after 12 h.

Preferred plant versus preferred arthropod test: Having established the preferred plant and arthropod foods in summer and winter, the gerbils were presented with roughly equal amounts (by mass) of the two types of food, in an attempt to discover in what proportions plant and animal foods were eaten. The percentage of each food type eaten was estimated after 12 h.

Results

Food preferences were usually clear after 12 h and results were similar for the two seasons (Table 1). Arctotheca populifolia was the preferred food, with more than 90% of the test food being eaten after 36 h in both seasons. Gazania rigens and Senecio inaequidens seeds were also favoured in both seasons, although less S. inaequidens was eaten in winter than in summer. Scirpus nodosus seeds were the least preferred food in summer and winter. The strong preference for A. populifolia seeds was confirmed by the fact that all the test animals were observed to eat this species first when they began feeding.

Some difficulty was experienced in ascertaining whether the seeds of Helichrysum praecinctum, Juncus kraussi and S. nodosus, which are all small (1–0.5 mm in diameter), were actually eaten by the gerbils. Seed heads were counted as being eaten if they were torn open or chewed. It is known that rodents generally prefer big seeds (Lemen 1978, Price 1983). When given seed particles of similar nutritive value, rodents select the largest seeds first (Abramsky 1983). Unless these small seeds provide some nutrient lacking in the larger seeds, the chances of gerbils actually selecting such seeds seem small. In any case, preference tests indicated that they were not favoured by the gerbils.

Sporobolus virginitcus, the most common grass in the slacks, was not seeding at the time of the summer preference test. It would be interesting to know whether these seeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arctotheca populifolia</td>
<td>91(78)</td>
<td>80–100</td>
<td>92(68)</td>
<td>70–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gazania rigens</td>
<td>66(37)</td>
<td>30–100</td>
<td>65(50)</td>
<td>50–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio inaequidens</td>
<td>63(9)</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>43(31)</td>
<td>0–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helichrysum praecinctum</td>
<td>27(3)</td>
<td>0–60</td>
<td>36(30)</td>
<td>0–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus kraussi</td>
<td>8(4)</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus nodosus</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>11(10)</td>
<td>0–40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Leaf and stem preference of *G. p. exilis* from the dune slacks in summer and winter expressed as mean % eaten after 36 h. (Mean % eaten after 12 h is shown in parentheses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Arctotheca populifolia</em></td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gazania rigens</em></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Senecio inaequidens</em></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helichrysum praecinctum</em></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Juncus kraussii</em></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scirpus nodosus</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporobolus virginicus</em></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mariscus congestus</em></td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Psoralea repens</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Arthropod preference of *G. p. exilis* from the dune slacks in summer expressed as mean % eaten after 36 h. (Mean % eaten after 12 h is shown in parentheses. n = no. of individual insects available per gerbil.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean % eaten after 36 h</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Acanthacris ruticornis</em> (grasshopper)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antestiopsis orbitalis</em> (stink bug)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98 (80)</td>
<td>80-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pteronochetus sp.</em> (grasshopper)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>96 (96)</td>
<td>70-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dichranocnemus pulcher</em> (beetle)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96 (93)</td>
<td>50-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Talorchestia capensis</em> (amphipod)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93 (63)</td>
<td>50-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Platypleura hirtipennis</em> (cicada)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90 (90)</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Labidura riparia</em> (earwig)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>82 (60)</td>
<td>30-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cicindela candida</em> (tiger beetle)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>81 (76)</td>
<td>30-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zophosis sp.</em> (beetle)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65 (50)</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tylos capensis</em> (isopod)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58 (33)</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonocephalum apterus</em> (beetle)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Etrichodia crux</em> (assassin bug)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 (0)</td>
<td>0-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Arthropod preference of *G. p. exilis* from the dune slacks in winter expressed as mean % eaten after 12 h. (n = no. of individual insects available per gerbil.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean % eaten after 36 h</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Labidura riparia</em> (earwig)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Talorchestia capensis</em> (amphipod)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tylos capensis</em> (isopod)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

are a favoured gerbil food. However, as seeds are produced for a relatively short period during the summer, this species would serve as a short-lived food resource. A great liking for the seeds of another grass, *Erharta villosa*, was found in captive gerbils prior to the preference tests. These seeds are, however, unlikely to form a major part of the diet of *G. p. exilis*, since *E. villosa* is scarce in the slacks. Not enough seeds could be collected to include this species in the preference tests.
Table 5. Preferred plant food versus preferred arthropod food in summer and winter. Results expressed as % food eaten after 12 h. na = not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% eaten</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>after 12 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arctotheca populifolia</em></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>90–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pternoscirtus</em> sp.</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>90–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Talorchestia capensis</em></td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the summer and winter preferences of *G. p. exilis* for leaves and stems. It was clear from the small amount of food eaten that green plant material is not eaten in significant quantities in the dune slacks. The largest percentage of food volume presented of any species eaten in summer was 13% (*A. populifolia*), while less than 10% of all the other species was eaten. More green plant material was consumed during the winter months. *A. populifolia* and *S. virginicus* were the most favoured foods, 37% and 38% of volume presented of these species being eaten respectively. The stems, rather than the leaves, of these two species were eaten. The consumption of some other species such as *J. kraussii* and *Mariscus congestus* also increased in winter. The foods eaten first by the gerbils in the winter test indicated that green plant material is not a favoured gerbil food, even in winter: after five minutes, three gerbils were not eating at all, three gerbils were eating laboratory pellets, which, according to Drożdż (1966), are only eaten when other food is unacceptable; two ate *A. populifolia*, one ate *S. virginicus* and one ate *M. congestus*.

It is evident from Table 3 that arthropods are a highly preferred gerbil food in summer. Several insect species, including two grasshopper species, and amphipods all appeared to be equally favoured. However, since equal numbers of these species were not used, some species may have appeared to be more favoured than they are in the wild. Only two species appeared to be unpalatable: an assassin bug *Etrichodida crux* and a beetle *Gonocephalum apterum*. It is not known whether these contained toxins or unpleasant tasting substances. Wings and legs of the larger insects were usually not eaten. Four gerbils ate white grasshoppers *Pternoscirtus* sp., first; four ate cicadas *Platyleura hirtipennis*, first, and two ate tiger beetles *Cicindela candida*, first. All these insects were highly favoured foods, as indicated by the preference test. The gerbils consumed large quantities of arthropods—one gerbil, for example, ate 31 insects, two of which were large *Acanthacris ruticornis* grasshoppers, in one night.

In winter, only three arthropod species were presented to the gerbils (Table 4). Of these, amphipods, *Talorchestia capensis*, were the most preferred food (they were also highly favoured in summer). No isopods *Tylos capensis*, were eaten, although some had been consumed in summer. Most of the *Tylos capensis* placed in the cages had their heads bitten off, but these were not eaten. Five minutes after the start of the test, the gerbils were eating either laboratory pellets or nothing, which may indicate that little arthropod food is consumed during the winter months.
When gerbils were presented with a choice of *A. populifolia* seed heads, the most preferred summer plant food, and white grasshoppers, the most abundant of the highly preferred arthropod foods, both were eaten with equal relish (Table 5). Although grasshoppers appear to be slightly more preferred than seed heads, all gerbils were seen to be eating seed heads when cages were checked after five minutes. In winter, the gerbils were given a choice of *A. populifolia* seed heads and amphipods *Talorchestia capensis*. After 12 h, 84% of the plant material had been eaten, while only 31% of the amphipods were eaten. Seven gerbils ate seed heads first, while three ate amphipods first.

**Discussion**

*Gerbillurus paeba exilis* in the Alexandria dunefield slacks appears to feed largely on the seeds of dune plants, those of *A. populifolia* being the most preferred. This preference for *A. populifolia* may be due to a high energy content of the seeds, since energy plays an important role in rodent dietary choices (Reichman 1977, Kerley 1991). Food stores and food remains in several burrows were similarly found to consist chiefly of this species. This preference for *A. populifolia* may affect its abundance in the dune slacks. Hayward and Phillipson (1979) have documented several cases where rodent foraging has reduced the relative abundance of favoured food species in different habitats. Both the seeds of *A. populifolia* and *G. rigens*, the next most preferred seed types, are available in the slacks all year. The gerbils feed chiefly from seed heads, which form a stationary and easily located food resource. They store seeds in this form in their burrows. Very little green plant material is eaten, possibly as a result of salt-spray deposition on the vegetation. Also, the availability of moisture through rainfall and a high water table (< 70 cm below sand surface) is such that gerbils do not

![Fig. 1. The food preferences, expressed as percentage food volumes, of *G. p. exilis* in the dune slacks in summer and winter.](image)
need green plant material for its moisture content. In summer, the gerbils exploit the large numbers of arthropods present in the slacks.

The food preferences of *G. p. exilis* in the dune slacks are summarised in Fig. 1. The exact proportions of the three food categories consumed in nature are unknown; the figure shows approximate proportions of seeds, leaves and stems and arthropods eaten in summer and winter based on the experiments and availability. *G. p. exilis* shows a marked seasonal change in food preferences. In summer, little green plant material is ingested, while seeds and arthropods are taken in approximately equal proportions. In winter, because few arthropods are present in the slacks, the preferred food consists chiefly of seeds, with more green plant material being eaten than in summer. These results are consistent with those of Nel et al. (1984), who state that the diet of *G. paeba* in the Kgalagadi Gemsbok National Park, deduced from stomach analyses, comprised 70 – 80% seeds, 20 – 44% green plant material and, in summer, up to 20% insects. Similarly in the Karoo *G. paeba* consumed insects in relation to their abundance (Kerley 1989) and in the Namib this species was primarily insectivorous (Boyer 1987). Although the preference tests indicated that captive *G. p. exilis* individuals eat a high proportion of insects, the numbers of insects actually eaten in their natural environment may be much smaller, since the gerbils would encounter fewer insects and have more difficulty catching them, than in the test cages.

*G. p. exilis*’s omnivorous habits allow it to utilize several different food resources in the dune slacks. The seasonal breeding pattern of this species in the Alexandria dunefield (Ascaray 1986) may be caused by the seasonal change in diet, from mainly green plant material and seeds in winter to a more nutritious diet containing insects in summer. Seasonal breeding in *Rhabdomys pumilio* has similarly been partly ascribed to the ingestion of insects in summer (Perrin 1980b), while Taylor and Green (1976) have shown that food quality is important in the seasonal reproduction of three African rodent species. By producing young in spring and summer *G. p. exilis* can utilize an abundant, nutritious food supply.

Because the present tests took place in conjunction with a long term mark-recapture study, no stomach contents could be obtained from gerbils in the study area. The results of the preference tests thus indicate only the potential foods of gerbils in the dune slacks, and not the actual foods eaten under natural conditions. However, Drożdż (1966) has found preference test results to be consistent with those of stomach content analyses in moles and mice.
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