Introduction

In the ancient Mediterranean technologies and skills travelled over long distances. In the last decades, scholars have been interested in understanding the mechanisms that stimulated the process of transmission of technologies, both in space and time during the prehistoric and ancient times. Meanwhile, new conclusions regarding the dynamics of various prehistoric and ancient crafts, be it metalwork or the development and circulation of pottery techniques and styles, have been drawn.

When it comes to textiles, we find ourselves in front of a special kind of craftsmanship. Since actual fabrics are extraordinarily rare finds in the archaeological record, it is very difficult for specialists to study ancient techniques of weaving, spinning, and cloth-making. Archaeological discoveries related to this craft (mainly weaving and spinning implements, such as spindle whorls, loom weights, and spools) dominate the subject of various studies and articles, while new technologies used in archaeology gave scholars a possibility to investigate exceptional textiles though usually preserved in very poor conditions (e.g., mineralised on metal objects).
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Recently, Margarita Gleba investigated the textile cultures of Italy and Greece in the first half of the first millennium BC. By using modern tools in the study of 192 textile fragments from Italy and 107 fragments from Greece, the author proved that “in contrast to the Iron Age textile culture of Italy, Iron Age Greece was more closely related to the Near Eastern, rather than Central European, tradition”. The theory that at least until the beginning of the colonisation period Italy and Greece had totally different textile cultures which derived from their links either with Central Europe, or with the Near East, is a real gain for the domain. This is an example demonstrating that by employing modern tools for the analysis of ancient textile fragments, scholars are able today to point out theories and aspects which were not possible some decades ago. Therefore, much progress has been made recently regarding the study of archaeological textiles which gives us a possibility to approach the process of transmission of textile skills in antiquity in the Eastern Mediterranean and beyond.

In the present paper, I intend to highlight the importance of women as agents of transmission of textile skills through their roles as wives and slaves. The evidence discussed here comprises a representative selection of literary sources, including consistent examples from Homer, while the archaeological evidence from the Archaic and Classical periods will support my arguments. The quotation of ancient literary sources is very valuable as long as the material evidence is scarce and puzzling, but the facts and characters described by authors like Homer raise many methodological questions. The historical span of time covered by the “Iliad” and the “Odyssey” is highly debatable and the nature of the people described in their lyrics is questionable. These reasons made many scholars avoid using Homer as a historical source.

Giving the fact that the Homeric epics contain a mixed image of old Bronze Age tradition and later Iron Age society, it is very difficult to assign facts described by Homer to any specific period. Around five centuries separated Homer’s life from the last phase of the Bronze Age Palaces and due to recent developments in the study of ancient Greek language “the substantial differences between the world of Homer’s epics and that of the Late Bronze Age have become clear, while the similarities with the Iron Age become more obvious”. Moreover, recent studies have proved that oral traditions were constantly reformulated in the context of contemporary societies and that “the epic tradition had been formed and shaped through successive very different social arrangements and material cultures”. Consequently, some scholars use the Homeric epics in order to reconstruct various aspects of social behaviour of the eight century and “as comparative evidence for social transformations from the Bronze Age to the later, historical periods”.

On the other hand, in the specific field of ancient textile production characters such as Penelope or Helen of Troy were often mentioned and they even made the subject of various papers. In my estimation, the female characters described in the Homeric epics could be regarded either as mythical figures or as ideal types of women (as in the case of the virtuous wife of Odysseus). Moreover, I consider that important suggestions regarding the women’s mobility in the “Iliad” and “Odyssey”, especially with regard to intermarriages and slavery in Early Archaic period, should be brought into discussion in the present paper focused on transmission of textile skills in ancient times.

The topic of technological practices and craft skills was broadly discussed and analysed in the literature. At the same time, the concept of “textile skills” was investigated by scholars interested in the ancient technologies of textile production. According to Joanne Cutler, “Individuals master craft knowledge and skills through the execution of progressively more difficult stages of the production sequence (which requires learning increasingly more difficult cognitive and motor-sensory skills), only moving on to the next stage once the previous stage has been mastered”. In the same vein, Margarita Gleba states that the most important requirement for the transmission of skills is that the weaver should be able to “read the technology of transfer”, while “technical expertise would require an extended period of apprenticeship under the supervision of a skilled crafts-person”.

Before I proceed to the main discussion, I would like to give a personal explanation of the concept “textile skills”. Therefore, here the concept means technological knowledge of textile production crafts, including spinning, weaving, wool combing, clothes making and decorating, with the mention that each of this stages represents a skill by itself, and consequently ancient women can be designated “textile skilled” even if they master only one of the procedural sequences associated with garment production, for example spinning.

The transmission of textile skills is a process which does not necessarily include the transportation of textile tools from one region to another (see below the discussion related to slavery). However, when non-local textile tools are recorded in the archaeological inventory, they become a major

---

5 Van Wees 1992, 1.
7 Bennet 1997, 513.
8 Osborne 2004, 216: “oral traditions must always relate primarily to the world of those that hear them and that the material world of the poems aligns with that of eight-century Greece”. On this matter see Morris 1986; Crielaard 1995; Crielaard 2002; Fowler 2004, 221.
9 Osborne 2004, 206.
10 Bennet 1997, 512.
11 Reckford 1964; Lindsay 1974; Roisman 2006; Blondell 2009.
source for tracking women’s movement in Antiquity. Moreover, the transfer of skills could be made in two manners: in space, between the members of the same generation, as a consequence of the mobility of craftspeople and products (known as horizontal transfer) or in time, from one generation to another (known as vertical transfer of skills). The present paper is focused especially on the first type.

**Slavery**

Slavery represented one of the most significant ways in which female mobility occurred in the ancient world. In the context of war, hand-skilled women were valued as goods to be taken as captives. Their skills in weaving and spinning would have been seen as important investments for the owner’s oikos. Slaves were easy to transport from one region to another, much easier by comparison with herds, heavy furniture or other goods. They represented a category of ‘booty’ which could not be ‘lost’ on the way back home, while coins, jewellery, silver cups or other similar precious objects were liable to be lost or stolen much more easily. Transmission of textile skills through slave women has been pointed out recently by Joanne Cutler and Margarita Gleba.

In terms of ancient household economy, female slave experts in textile production were very valuable because of their contribution to the household prosperity, though there are instances when female slaves were used in outdoor tasks. Consequently, a female slave who did not know how to handle the spindle and the loom was seen as a questionable investment. This fact is proved by Xenophon’s account about Ichonomachus, who advised his young wife:

> It is delightful to teach spinning to a maid who had no knowledge of it when you received her, and to double her worth to you: to take in hand a girl who is ignorant of housekeeping and service, and after teaching her and making her trustworthy and serviceable to find her worth any amount: to have the power of rewarding the discreet and useful members of your household, and of punishing anyone who turns out to be a rogue.

Therefore, female slaves who had not mastered the craft of textile production were taught how to do it for economic reasons. On the other hand, techniques of cloth production which were specific for non-Greek populations could have been performed in Greek poleis by foreign slaves. In this context, the mistress of the house or other local handmaids had a chance to be initiated by the women brought into the oikos from a distant land to new techniques unknown in their own polis or region.

For example, the most beautiful clothes from Hecuba’s house were made by Phoenician women brought by Paris to Troy from Sidon, while in Eumaeus’ childhood oikos lived a Phoenician slave woman who was “skilled in glorious handiwork” (ἐξελευθερώθη δέρα ιδίω). According to ancient sources, Phoenicians produced one of the most luxuriant and richly decorated garments in antiquity, and we can imagine how valuable Phoenician slave women would have been.

We can learn about the high value of slave women from the ancient literature, which is rich in accounts about women taken as slaves, and occasionally their handicraft is mentioned. The “Iliad” is one of the major literary sources on this matter. Achilles had slave women from the Dardanian city of Lynnessus, from the Greek islands of Skyros, and Lesbos and he expected to gain many others after the fall of Troy. During the Trojan War, Agamemnon promised to give Achilles seven women skilled in goodly handiwork, women of Lesbos, whom on the day when himself took well-built Lesbos I chose me from out the spoil, and thereby he fulfilled his promise by offering women skilled in goodly handiwork; seven they were, and the eighth was fair-cheeked Briseis. Moreover, during the funerary games of Patroclus, Achilles offers a woman of manifold skill in handiwork, and they prized her at the worth of four oxen as a prize. This is a very precious account, as long as it mentions the exact value of the woman in question. And we should assume that it was easier to transport a highly skilled slave rather than four oxen.

That the enslaved women were expected to weave and spin for their masters is shown by some notable literary accounts. In the “Iliad”, Agamemnon threatens Apollo’s priest, Chryses, that his daughter will not be released. Instead, she will go to Argos as his slave, weaving for him and sleeping by his side. Moreover, Hector’s biggest fear was represented by the vision of his wife taken as a slave and forced to weave for a cruel master.
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The Trojan women enslaved by the Achaeans after the fall of Troy in Euripides’ “Hekuba” had a similar vision, asking their new masters whether they would be carried to the Dorian land, to Thessalian Phthia, to an island like Delos or to Athens, where they would weave the saffron peplos of Pallas Athena. This account offers an accurate image of the uncertainties brought by the new status of “slave”. Consequently, there was a big difference between the status of the elite weavers and the position of slave women, as Andromake Karanika states referring to Andromache’s activity of textile production:

*Whereas what Andromache does as a Trojan elite confers honour on her, the same type of work done as a slave for another woman has a very different value. The slave’s work is done reluctantly and compulsorily and does not constitute an honorific duty in epic representation.*

It is probable that the enslaved women who mastered the craftsmanship of weaving and spinning were aware of their value and consequently they used their skills in order to gain a privileged position in their new home, having the chance to work together with their mistress. In ancient literature, the most important female figures, for example, Penelope, Andromache and Helen, are usually attended by their handmaids. Thereby, the image of the mistress who has the duty to supervise the handmaids while they perform domestic tasks and to work next to them is equally shown by the “Iliad”9 and the “Odyssey”. We can suppose that in special cases even a sort of friendship was born between the mistress and some of her handmaids, as we see in the case of Helen, who used to have in Lacedaemon an old woolworker woman who was very dear to her.

As a conclusion regarding the phenomenon of slavery and its role in transmission of textile skills in Ancient Mediterranean, I will hypothesise that slavery represented one of the principal forms of mobility for female textile production skills in antiquity. Thus, since the transport of textile tools by slave women was very difficult, if not impossible because of the crisis context in which these previously free women became slaves (wars, battles), it is very difficult to identify material traces of their presence in the archaeological record. However, as literary sources attest, many of them became agents of horizontal transmission of textile skills, by performing activities related to textile production specific in their homeland in their new establishments, as sometimes their skills were the only thing that they could take with them.

*(Inter)marriages*

The second pattern of transmission of textile skills to be discussed in this paper is represented by marriage, which sometimes implied female migration over very long distances. The Bible offers an excellent view of the wife’s role as family’s textile producer in Ancient Near East, while Xenophon quotes the duties of an ideal wife inside a Greek oikos, reflecting a similar image. In the Greek world, by marriage, a women could move from her father’s oikos to her husband’s oikos in the same polis, or she could travel from one polis to another, or even from a Greek city to a foreign land, possibly a barbarian one (for example, the Athenian princess Procne married the...
Thracian king Tereus and consequently followed him to his kingdom.44

There were only rare cases when the husband had to move into the polis of his wife: see the case of the Scythian king Scyles who married a Greek woman from Olbia and he lived with her in the Greek Pontic city.45 Moreover, when a barbarian woman became the wife of a Greek man, she was the one who was moving to his polis. Here it should be recounted that Hegesipyle, the daughter of the Thracian king Olores, lived in Athens as wife of the Greek general Miltiades.46 Rebeca Futo Kennedy remarked that many foreign women who used to live in Classical Athens were the wives of Athenian men.47 Outside the Greek world, the wife followed her husband to his city or region as well. Queen Hecuba, whose origins are highly debatable (Phrygia or maybe Thracia48), managed the oikos of King Priam of Troy before the fall of the city and her storerooms were full of luxuriant textiles.49

After the marriage, the new wife used her textile skills to produce clothes for her new family.50 This fact is associated with some of the most well-known ancient women: Penelope left Sparta to live in her husband’s homeland, Ithaca, and years later she was weaving the funerary shroud of Laertes, waiting for Odysseus’s return from the Trojan War;51 Andromache who was born in the Cilician Thebe, lived together with her husband in Troy, and during the time of the Trojan war weaving a web in the innermost part of the lofty house, a purple web of double fold, and therein was brodering flowers of varied hue;52 or Helen, born in Sparta and married there, afterwards spent a long period in Troy where she was weaving a great purple web of double fold.53 After the fall of Troy, Telemaclus found Helen back in Sparta, spinning with a gold distaff that she received, notably, from Alcandre, the wife of Polybus who dwelt in Thebes of Egypt.54

It is questionable whether all these women brought into the husband’s house the textile tools that they used before the marriage, considering that a complete weaving installation, e.g. the warp-weighted loom was probably difficult to transport over long distances.55 Still, this hypothesis is supported by archaeological evidence: there are notable discoveries of non-local textile tools in the Mediterranean and Pontic region which could to be interpreted as clues for women’s mobility in the Archaic46 and Classical period.57

We should recount here two spindle whorls discovered in Tell Sukas, a Levantine settlement located in Gabla plain, between Syria and Phoenicia. The spindle whorls are dated to the sixth century BC. One of them is made from the sherd of a Greek vase,58 while the other is made from local clay, bearing an inscription of the Greek name Pesachere.59 As it is suggested by the inscription, the spindle whorls belonged to Greek women who produced textiles at a point of their lives in Tell Sukas. The status of these women remains for the moment an open question in the literature. While some scholars states that a Greek enoikismos existed at Tell Sukas starting in the Archaic period,60 others approached the nature of the Greek presence there more cautiously.61 No matter how puzzling the interpretation of the Greek pottery from Tell Sukas is, the presence of some Greek women who employed their skills in spinning and maybe weaving represents an interesting fact.62

More evidence comes from the Pontic area. Numerous wooden boxes containing textile tools were preserved in Scythian women burials from the 5th and 4th centuries BC in Southern Ukraine.63 Three of those exceptional discoveries have recently been investigated by Marina Daragan, Margarita Gleba, and Olga Buravchuk.64 Thus, the three wooden boxes...
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boxes of different sizes containing textile tools (tablets for weaving, distaffs, a spindle whorl made of a Greek amphora fragment, a wooden comb, an iron needle and textile yarn),\footnote{The boxes were discovered in Kurgan 5 at Bulgakovo, in southern Ukraine.} preserved in a fragmentary state or in a complete form, were interpreted in the above-mentioned study as being of “Hellenic craftsmanship”. These discoveries give insights into the technological transfers between the Scythian population and the Greek inhabitants who settled their colonies on the shores of Pontus Euxinos in the Archaic period. It is highly probable that these Classical Hellenic boxes and the textile tools preserved inside them were the material remains of textile technologies borrowed by the Scythians from the Greeks established in the vicinity.\footnote{Daragan et al. 2016, 57.} The presence of Hellenic boxes of textile tools in the barbarian graves from the north Pontic region could be interpreted as proof of individual mobility of women that may have encompassed intermarriages. Such a hypothesis is supported by the ancient authors who referred to the Greek Pontic cities in their works (as showed below).

Actual marriages between the Greek women from Histria in Dobruja or Olbia on the shore of the Southern Hypapnis estuary (known today as the Bug River) and Scythians of high rank are attested by Herodotus. Ariapeites, the king of the Scythians in the first half of the 5th century BC had three wives, including a Greek woman from Histria. Their son, Scyles, inherited the throne and he learned the Greek language and manners from his mother. This caused him to adopt the Greek Pontic costume and to marry a local woman.\footnote{Hdt. 4.76-80.} It is likely that while the Scythian population adopted Greek manners, costume and technologies of cloth production, the Pontic poles borrowed textile culture from their barbarian neighbours.\footnote{Daragan et al. 2016, 57.} In this respect, I shall bring into the discussion the funerary stele of Leoxos, son of Molpagonas, which was unearthed in the necropolis of Olbia in 1895 and which is dated to 490 BC.\footnote{Boorman and Hammond 1982, 128.} The stele, which is preserved in a fragmentary state, consists of two figural representations: on side A there is a young nude man (possibly deceased) next to his spear, while on the other side (side B) a figure seems to wear a rider costume of Scythian origin (trousers and a long-sleeved jerkin), facing left and carrying a bow.\footnote{Skinner 2012, 172.} The clothed male could be interpreted in two ways: either he is Leoxos, or he is a Scythian. In the latter case, the representation becomes a mark of Leoxos’ possible hinterland incursion during which he died. In any case, this funerary monument suggests once more permanent relations between the Greek Pontic cities and the local populations, including the exchange of textiles and textile technologies.

In later times, the fact that the people who lived in Olbia adopted barbarian costume for different reasons is strongly supported by the literary evidence. Dio Cryostomos provides an eloquent example, describing a young man from Olbia named Callistratus, who used to fight against the Scythians raids dressed as follows:

\begin{quote}
Suspended from his girdle he had a great cavalry sabre, and he was wearing trousers and all the rest of the Scythian costume and from his shoulders there hung a small black cape of thin material, as is usual with the people of Borysthenes. In fact, the rest of their apparel in general is regularly black, through the influence of a certain tribe of Scythians, the Blackcloaks, so named by the Greeks, doubtless for that very reason.\footnote{Gleba 2014, 90.}
\end{quote}

According to Balbina Bäbler, the Greek inhabitants from the Pontic poleis borrowed the clothing style of the local populations in order to adapt to climate and, perhaps, the necessity of frequent riding.\footnote{D. Chr. Or. 36.7.} Whatever the reasons were, it is natural to assume that the permanent connections between the Greeks and the local people materialised in some occasions through intermarriages mainly for political and military purposes, brought technological exchanges, including costume, textile tools and textile skills transmission in both directions.

The archaeological evidence from the Mediterranean and Pontus is submitted to a variety of interpretations and it raises many questions. Can we fully accept that non-local textile tools are markers of female migration and therefore are the material evidence for transfer of textile skills through women? Moreover, can we be sure that these women travelled abroad especially for marriage? It has been argued that textile tools (as are for example the ordinary loom weights made of clay) do not retain an intrinsic value and therefore are unlikely to be traded. For this reason, they should have travelled only with their owners, who were almost always women.\footnote{Or. 36.7.} Joanne Cutler states that “Possible mechanisms for women migration within the Aegean and beyond include inter-marriage, migration as part of a family unit, raiding, slavery and the exchange of textile workers between the elites”.\footnote{Barber 1991, 299; Cutler 2012, 175.} Moreover, Margarita Gleba states that “Whether the mechanism of this mobility was intermarriage, migration or slavery is impossible to determine but the fact remains that textile equipment allows us to track women’s movements, as well as the diffusion of textile technology and fashion”.\footnote{Cutler 2016, 175.}

My personal remark is that while women usually did not engage in trade in the Ancient Mediterranean, slave women were probably forced many times to leave their textile tools behind when they were taken as slaves during a battle, and it is likely that the majority of non-local tools unearthed in
the Aegean and beyond in the Mediterranean and Pontus were carried by newly married women. Finally, not every non-local item related to household textile production could be exclusively interpreted as a mark of intermarriage, but this interpretation should be taken into account more often.

Conclusions

By combining ancient literary sources and archaeological evidence, I emphasised that slavery and intermarriage could be seen as pattern of transmission of textile skills in the ancient Mediterranean and beyond. Textile-skilled slave women were important investments in a Greek oikos. They produced valuable textiles for their masters by weaving and using cloth making techniques acquired in their homeland. Unfortunately, it is very difficult to trace material clues for female slaves in the archaeological record, as it is shown above. On the other hand, I argued that textile tools made of non-local clay or featuring non-local characteristics and local textile tools inscribed with foreign names could be interpreted as material proofs of intermarriage in antiquity. Regarding this matter, I brought into discussion archaeological evidence from Tell Sukas in Syria and from Scythian kurgans in nowadays Ukraine.

Hopefully, the topic of transmission of textile skills will be approached in the future in other papers by making a more complex analysis which would imply questions of commerce, war captives, and other modes of skills and technologies mobility. Moreover, studies of transmission of textile skills through other weaving techniques (for example tablet weaving, which was a widespread technique, but its origins and modes of transmission are still uncertain), could be conducted in the future in order to draw new conclusions, and consequently to bring light on this puzzling topic.
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Tablet weaving is a weaving method based on sets of tablets that allows the creations of narrow bands embellished with various patterns. The process of weaving is possible by making space to pass the weft through the warp (Meyers 2013, 258). This ancient method of weaving was widespread in Europe and Near East in antiquity, but its origins are supposed to be much earlier. Moreover, tablet weaving was largely used by the Etruscans (Gleba 2008, 138-139) and later by many European people, including the Vikings and Celtic tribes (Ræder Knudsen 1994). The origins of this technique are still debated in the literature and in the same situation are the mechanisms which allowed it to spread into such a large space. In my opinion, we still need more evidence in order to be able to identify the origins of tablet weaving. Nevertheless, a possibility that this technique originated in more than one place is highly possible. On the other hand, tablet weaving was a relative simple technique and the tools necessary in order to perform it (tablets for weaving made usually of wood and sometimes spools) were easy to carry. Consequently, this feature allowed that this method of weaving could spread on large areas in antiquity and in the Middle Ages. It is even possible that in ancient times newly married women carried into their new homes tablets for weaving rather than heavy and complex looms. Today, tablet weaving is practiced on a large scale.
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Streszczenie

Tkanie na obczyźnie. Przekazywanie umiejętności rzemieślniczych poprzez niewolne kobiety i małżeństwa mieszane w starożytności, w basenie Morza Śródziemnego i Poncie

W antyku, we wschodnim basenie Morza Śródziemnego produkcja włókiennicza rozwijała się intensywnie, a przekazywanie pomiędzy kobietami umiejętności przędzenia i tkania można prześledzić na rozległych obszarach. Nawet jeśli tradycyjny punkt widzenia sugeruje, że życie kobiet w antyku nie było szczególnie dynamiczne, to dowodów na ich migrację dostarczają źródła literackie. Jednym z nich jest „Hiad”, bogata w przykłady dobrowolnych lub przymusowych wędrówek kobiet, które posiadły wysokie umiejętności tkackie, jak np. kobiety z Sydonu, które przywiezione do Troi przez Parysa, wytwarzając najpiękniejsze tkany w domu Hekuby (Hom. Il. 6.288-305). Z kolei w wizji Hektora pojawia się jego żona Andromacha, która wywieziona jako niewolnica do Argos, zmuszona została do przędzenia dla swojej przyszłej pani (Hom. II. 6.454-456). Obraz, jaki można uzyskać ze źródeł archeologicznych i ikonograficznych, wydaje się być spójny z obrazem literackim. Lącznie, różne rodzaje źródeł wskazują na mechanizmy przekazywania umiejętności włókienniczych pomiędzy kobietami i pozwalają na zrozumienie zmian w produkcji włókienniczej w świecie greckim.