## 3.

## ON THE MOTION AND REST OF RIGID BODIES.

[Philosophical Magazine, xıv. (1839), pp. 188-190.]
In the subjoined investigation, which, as far as I know, is my own, I apply the same method to rigid as in the preceding paper I applied to fluid systems.

Let $x, y, z$ be the coordinates of any particle in a rigid body; $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ the coordinates of some other particle, and let

$$
x^{\prime}=x+h, \quad y^{\prime}=y+k, \quad z^{\prime}=z+l
$$

Call $\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z$ the increments which $x, y, z$ receive after the lapse of a small interval of time; so that terms in which they enter in two or more dimensions may be neglected.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\Delta x+\frac{d \Delta x}{d x} h+\frac{d \Delta x}{d y} k+\frac{d \Delta x}{d z} l+P \\
& \Delta\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\Delta y+\frac{d \Delta y}{d x} h+\frac{d \Delta y}{d y} k+\frac{d \Delta y}{d z} l+Q \\
& \Delta\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\Delta z+\frac{d \Delta z}{d x} h+\frac{d \Delta z}{d y} k+\frac{d \Delta z}{d z} l+R
\end{aligned}
$$

$P, Q, R$ containing binary and higher combinations of $h, k, l$, which we shall have no occasion to express.

At the commencement of the interval the squared distance of the two particles was $\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)^{2}+\left(y^{\prime}-y\right)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime}-z\right)^{2}$; at the end of the interval the distance squared is

$$
\left(x^{\prime}-x+\Delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\Delta x\right)^{2}+\left(y^{\prime}-y+\Delta\left(y^{\prime}\right)-\Delta y\right)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime}-z+\Delta\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\Delta z\right)^{2}
$$

and these two expressions must be the same by the conditions of rigidity whatever $h, k$, and $l$ may be ; that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{2}+k^{2}+l^{2} & =\left(h+\frac{d \Delta x}{d x} h+\frac{d \Delta x}{d y} k+\frac{d \Delta x}{d z} l+P\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(k+\frac{d \Delta y}{d x} h+\frac{d \Delta y}{d y} k+\frac{d \Delta y}{d z} l+Q\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(l+\frac{d \Delta z}{d x} h+\frac{d \Delta z}{d y} k+\frac{d \Delta z}{d z} l+R\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all values of $h, k$, and $l$.

Hence rejecting infinitesimals of the second order and equating to zero separately the coefficients of $h^{2}, k^{2}, l^{2}$, and of $k l, l h, h k$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{d \Delta x}{d x}=0 . & (a) & \frac{d \Delta y}{d z}+\frac{d \Delta z}{d y}=0 \\
\frac{d \Delta y}{d y}=0 . & (b) & \frac{d \Delta z}{d x}+\frac{d \Delta x}{d z}=0 \\
\frac{d \Delta z}{d z}=0 . & (c) & \frac{d \Delta x}{d y}+\frac{d \Delta y}{d x}=0
\end{array}
$$

By differentiating $(d),(e),(f)$ with respect to $z, x, y$ respectively, and substituting from $(a),(b),(c)$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d^{2} \Delta y}{d z^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta z}{d x^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta x}{d y^{2}}=0
$$

By differentiating the same with respect to $y, z, x$ respectively, and proceeding as before, we have

$$
\frac{d^{2} \Delta z}{d y^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta x}{d z^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta y}{d x^{2}}=0
$$

Thus, then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \Delta x}{d x}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta x}{d y^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta x}{d z^{2}}=0, \\
& \frac{d \Delta y}{d y}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta y}{d z^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta y}{d x^{2}}=0, \\
& \frac{d \Delta z}{d z}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta z}{d x^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d^{2} \Delta z}{d y^{2}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta x=A+B y+C z  \tag{o}\\
& \Delta y=D+E z+F x  \tag{p}\\
& \Delta z=G+H x+K y \tag{q}
\end{align*}
$$

$A, B, C, D, E, F$, being constant for a given instant of time; between which by virtue of the equations $(d),(e),(f)$, we have the relations

$$
E+K=0, \quad H+C=0, \quad B+F=0
$$

If we call $u, v, w$ the three component velocities of the particles at $x, y, z$ parallel to the three axes, and $X_{1}, Y_{1}, Z_{1}$, the three internal forces, it is at once seen that $u, v, w$, as also $\Delta X_{1}, \Delta Y_{1}, \Delta Z_{1}$ must be subject to the same equations as limit $\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z$; so that

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =a+\gamma y-\beta z  \tag{1}\\
v & =b+\alpha z-\gamma x  \tag{2}\\
w & =c+\beta x-\alpha y  \tag{3}\\
\Delta X_{1} & =a_{1}+\gamma_{1} y-\beta_{1} z  \tag{h}\\
\Delta Y_{1} & =b_{1}+\alpha_{1} z-\gamma_{1} x  \tag{j}\\
\Delta Z_{1} & =c_{1}+\beta_{1} x-\alpha_{1} y \tag{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Also if $X, Y, Z$ be the impressed forces, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}+X=\frac{d u}{d t}  \tag{4}\\
& Y_{1}+Y=\frac{d v}{d t}  \tag{5}\\
& Z_{1}+Z=\frac{d w}{d t} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

And by Gauss's principle, calling $m$ the mass of the particle at $x, y, z$,

$$
\Delta \Sigma m\left(X_{1}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence equating separately to zero the coefficients of $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}$ and of $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ in the quantity $\Sigma m\left(X_{1} \Delta X_{1}+Y_{1} \Delta Y_{1}+Z_{1} \Delta Z_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\Sigma m X_{1}=0 \\
\Sigma m Y_{1}=0 \\
\Sigma m Z_{1}=0  \tag{7-12}\\
\Sigma m\left(Z_{1} y-Y_{1} z\right)=0 \\
\Sigma m\left(X_{1} z-Z_{1} x\right)=0 \\
\Sigma m\left(Y_{1} x-X_{1} y\right)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Lastly, we have the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{d x}{d t}  \tag{13}\\
& v=\frac{d y}{d t}  \tag{14}\\
& w=\frac{d z}{d t} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

From the fifteen equations marked (1) to (15), the motion may be determined by assigning the position of each particle at the end of the time $t$ in terms of its three initial coordinates, its three initial velocities, and the initial values of the nine quantities

| $\Sigma m x$, | $\Sigma m y z$, | $\Sigma m x^{2}$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Sigma m y$, | $\Sigma m z x$, | $\Sigma m y^{2}$, |
| $\Sigma m z$, | $\Sigma m x y$, | $\Sigma m z^{2}$. |

In the case of rest $X_{1}=-X, Y_{1}=-Y, Z_{1}=-Z$, and the equations (7) to (12) inclusively taken, express the conditions of equilibrium.

The equations $(o),(p),(q)$, which have been obtained from conditions purely geometrical, establish the well-known but interesting and not obvious fact, that any small motion of a rigid body may be conceived as made up of a motion of translation and a motion about one axis.

