258.

9013993

ON A RELATION BETWEEN TWO TERNARY CUBIC FORMS.

[From the Philosophical Magazine, vol. xx. 1860, pp. 512-514.]

THE cubic form

 $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 6lxyz$

is in general linearly transformable into the form

 $(X+Y+Z)^3+27kXYZ;$

in fact, writing

$$X = 2lx - y - z,$$

$$Y = 2ly - z - x,$$

$$Z = 2lz - x - y,$$

we have identically

$$(1-2l+4l^2)(X+Y+Z)^3+24(l-1)^3XYZ=8(2l+1)^2(l-1)^3(x^3+y^3+z^3+6lxyz)$$

and the value of k consequently is

$$k = -\frac{8(l-1)^3}{9(1-2l+4l^2)}.$$

If, however, l=1 or $l=-\frac{1}{2}$, the transformation fails. In the former case, viz. for l=1, the equations for the linear transformation become

$$X = 2x - y - z,$$

$$Y = 2y - z - x,$$

$$Z = 2z - x - y,$$

23 - 2

www.rcin.org.pl

which give X + Y + Z = 0, so that X, Y, Z are no longer independent; and the formula of transformation becomes

$$(X+Y+Z)^3=0.$$

It may be noticed that the invariant S of the form

$$x^{3} + y^{3} + z^{3} + 6lxyz$$

is $S = -l + l^4$, so that l = 1 is one of the values which make S vanish. And the above transformation is not applicable to the cubic form $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 6xyz$, which is a form for which S vanishes. The transformation, however, holds good for l = 0, which is another value which makes S vanish; or it does apply to the form $x^3 + y^3 + z^3$, for which S vanishes. The transformation, in fact, is

$$(X + Y + Z)^3 + 24XYZ = -8(x^3 + y^3 + z^3),$$

with the linear equations

$$X = -y - z,$$

$$Y = -z - x,$$

$$Z = -x - y.$$

The above two forms for which S vanishes, viz.

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 6xyz,$$

 $x^3 + y^3 + z^3,$

are, notwithstanding, equivalent to each other, as appears by the identical equation

$$(x + y + z)^3 + (x + \omega y + \omega^2 z)^3 + (x + \omega^2 y + \omega z)^3 = 3(x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 6xyz),$$

where ω is an imaginary cube root of unity. In the latter of the two cases of failure, viz. for $l = -\frac{1}{2}$, the equations for the linear transformations are

$$X = Y = Z = -x - y - z;$$

so that X, Y, Z are not only not independent, but they are connected by two linear relations. And the formula of transformation becomes

$$(X + Y + Z)^3 - 27XYZ = 0,$$

which is, in fact, true in virtue of the equations X = Y = Z.

The two forms of equation,

$$x^{3} + y^{3} + z^{3} + 6lxyz = 0.$$

(x + y + z)³ + 27kxyz = 0,

represent each of them equally well a curve of the third order without a double point. In the first form the three real points of inflexion are given by

$$(x=0, y+z=0), (y=0, z+x=0), (z=0, x+y=0);$$

www.rcin.org.pl

180

ON A RELATION BETWEEN TWO TERNARY CUBIC FORMS.

258]

or what is the same thing, the points in question are the intersections of the lines x=0, y=0, z=0 with the line x+y+z=0; or we have x+y+z=0 for the equation of the line through the three points of inflexion; and the equations of the tangents at the points of inflexion are

$$2lx - y - z = 0$$
, $2ly - z - x = 0$, $2lz - x - y = 0$.

For the second form it is obvious that the points of inflexion are the intersections of the lines x = 0, y = 0, z = 0 with the line x + y + z = 0; and, moreover, that the lines x = 0, y = 0, z = 0 are the tangents at the point of inflexion.

The first of the above-mentioned forms, however, cannot represent a curve with a double point. In fact the condition for its doing so would be $1 + 8l^3 = 0$; but when this condition is satisfied, the left-hand side breaks up into linear factors, and the equation represents, not a proper curve of the third order, but a system of three lines. The second form can represent a curve having a double point; viz. if k = -1, the curve will have a conjugate or isolated point at the point x = y = z. It is clear à priori that (x = 0, y = 0, z = 0) being real lines) neither of the forms can represent a curve of the third order having a double point it, since in this case the curve has only one real point of inflexion.

I have elsewhere used the word "node" to denote a double point, and I take the opportunity of suggesting the employment of the words "crunode" (*crus*) and "acnode" (*acus*) to denote respectively a double point with two real branches through it, and a conjugate or isolated point.

2, Stone Buildings, W.C., October 19, 1860.

181

www.rcin.org.pl