## 845.

## ON THE ORTHOMORPHOSIS OF THE CIRCLE INTO THE PARABOLA.

[From the Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. xx. (1885), pp. 213-220.]

IT is remarked by Schwarz (see his Memoir "Ueber einige Abbildungsaufgaben," Crelle, t. Lxx. (1869), pp. 105-120; p. 115), that the circle $x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}-1=0$ can be orthomorphosed into the parabola $y^{2}=4(1-x)$ by the equation

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=\tan \frac{1}{4} \pi \sqrt{ }(x+i y):
$$

viz. this equation establishes a $(1,1)$ relation between the points interior to the circle and those interior to the parabola, which, quà relation between $x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}$ and $x+i y$, will be orthomorphic, that is, infinitesimal elements of the one area will correspond to similar infinitesimal elements of the other area. The diameter $y^{\prime}=0$ of the circle is trans-
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-
formed into the axis $y=0$ of the parabola, and figs. 1, 2 are symmetrical on the two sides of these lines respectively; we may therefore consider only the transformation
of the upper semicircle into the upper half of the parabola; we have (see figs. 1 and 2) $A^{\prime}$ corresponding to the vertex $A, O^{\prime}$ to the focus $O$, and $B^{\prime}$ to the point at infinity $(x=-\infty)$ on the axis of the parabola; the semicircular arc $A^{\prime} C^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ corresponds to the infinite half-arc $A C B$ of the parabola, the highest point $C^{\prime \prime}$ corresponding to a point $C$ between the vertex and the semi-latus rectum.

Fig. 3.


We may divide the circle by concentric circles and by radii; the corresponding curves for the parabola will be ovals and radials from the focus, the curves of each system being transcendental curves. Or we may divide the parabola by means of two systems of confocal parabolas; the corresponding curves for the circle will be (see fig. 3) two systems of orthotomic limaçons, those of the one system having $B^{\prime}$ for a crunode, and those of the other system having $B^{\prime}$ for an acnode.

To show that the circle thus corresponds to the parabola, it is only necessary to write $\sqrt{ }(x+i y)=1+q i$, that is, $x=1-q^{2}, y=2 q$, implying $y^{2}=4(1-x)$, or $(x, y)$ is a point on the parabola; and we then obtain for $x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}$ a value of the form $\cos \theta^{\prime}+i \sin \theta^{\prime}$, that is, $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is a point on the circle $x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}=1$; but in reference to what follows, I give the proof in a somewhat more artificial form.

Writing $\log \tan$ to denote the hyperbolic logarithm of the tangent, then if $\phi, \phi^{\prime}$ are such that

$$
\phi=\log \tan \left(\frac{1}{4} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}\right),
$$

this equation, as is known, may also be presented in the forms

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \phi^{\prime}=\log \tan \left(\frac{1}{4} \pi+\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right), \\
i \tan \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}=\tan \frac{1}{2} i \phi,
\end{gathered}
$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$
\tan \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}=\tanh \frac{1}{2} \phi,
$$

where observe that, as $\phi^{\prime}$ increases from 0 to $\frac{1}{2} \pi, \phi$ increases from 0 to $\infty$, and that always $\phi>\phi^{\prime}$.
c. XII.

We can now establish as follows the three correspondences $A O$ with $A^{\prime} O^{\prime}, O B$ with $O^{\prime} B^{\prime}$, and $A C B$ with $A^{\prime} C^{\prime} B^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{ }(x+i y)=\frac{2 \phi}{\pi} ; \quad \sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=\tan \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}, y=0 ; \quad x^{\prime}=\tan ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}, y^{\prime}=0 \\
& \phi=0 \text { gives } A, A^{\prime} ; \quad \phi^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \pi \text { gives } 0, O^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{ }(x+i y)=\frac{2 i \phi}{\pi} ; \quad \sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=i \tan \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=-\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}, y=0 ; x^{\prime}=-\tan ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}, y^{\prime}=0 ; \\
& \phi=\phi^{\prime}=0 \text { gives } O, O^{\prime} ; \\
& \phi=\infty, \phi^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \pi \text { give } B, B^{\prime} . \\
& \sqrt{ }(x+i y)=1+\frac{2 i \phi}{\pi} ; \sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=e^{i \phi^{\prime}} ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
x=1-\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}, y=\frac{4 \phi}{\pi},
$$

and therefore

$$
y^{2}=4(1-x),
$$

the parabola; and

$$
x^{\prime}=\cos 2 \phi^{\prime}, y^{\prime}=\sin 2 \phi^{\prime},
$$

and therefore

$$
x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}=1
$$

the circle;

$$
\phi=\phi^{\prime}=0 \text { gives } A, A^{\prime} ; \quad \phi=\infty, \phi^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \pi \text { give } B, B^{\prime} .
$$

Observe that for points in $O^{\prime} A^{\prime}, O^{\prime} B^{\prime}$, equidistant from $O^{\prime}$, we have $x^{\prime}=\tan ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}$, $x^{\prime}=-\tan ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}$; and corresponding hereto we have points in $O A, O B$ on the axis of the parabola, the values of $x$ being $x=\frac{4 \phi^{\prime 2}}{\pi^{2}}, x=-\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}$, viz. the negative value is always the greater.

Observe further that, to the points $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(\cos 2 \phi^{\prime}, \sin 2 \phi^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(-\tan ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}, 0\right)$ on the circle, and on the radius $O B^{\prime}$, correspond the points

$$
(x, y)=\left(1-\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}, \frac{2 \phi}{\pi}\right), \quad \text { and }(x, y)=\left(-\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}},-0\right)
$$

on the parabola and on the axis $O B$ respectively; the axial distance of these two points is $\left(1-\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}\right)+\frac{4 \phi^{2}}{\pi^{2}}=1$, the radius of the circle, or the distance $O A$ of the vertex and focus of the parabola; this is a rather curious theorem.

The function $\log \tan \left(45^{\circ}+\frac{1}{2} \arg\right.$.) is tabulated, Legendre, Théorie des Fonctions Elliptiques, t. II. table iv. pp. 256-259, viz. writing as above $\phi^{\prime}$ for the argument, we have hereby the value of $\phi,=\log \tan \left(\frac{1}{4} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}\right)$, for every value of $\phi^{\prime}$ from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ at intervals of $30^{\prime}$ and to 12 decimals, and with fifth differences. Observe that $\phi^{\prime}$ is thus given in degrees and minutes as a circular arc, $\phi$ as a number; it is convenient to have $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ each as arcs, or each as numbers, the conversion being of course at once made by means of a table of the lengths of circular arcs, and I have calculated the Table which I give at the end of the present paper.

I had previously calculated for the correspondence of $A^{\prime} O^{\prime}, O^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime} C^{\prime \prime} B^{\prime}$ with $A O, O B$ and $A C B$, the following values, at irregular intervals suited to the construction of a figure.

| Circle <br> LA' $O^{\prime} P^{\prime}$ | Parabola <br> Ordinate $P M$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 0 |
| 30 | $\cdot 3372$ |
| 60 | 69994 |
| 90 | $1 \cdot 1220$ |
| 120 | $1 \cdot 6768$ |
| 150 | $2 \cdot 5817$ |
| 160 | $3 \cdot 1018$ |
| 170 | $3 \cdot 9869$ |
| 172 | $4 \cdot 2713$ |
| 174 | $4 \cdot 6378$ |
| 176 | $5 \cdot 1542$ |
| 178 | $6 \cdot 0258$ |
| 179 | $6 \cdot 9195$ |
| $180^{\circ}$ | $\infty$ |


| Cirele |  | Parabola |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} O^{\prime} A^{\prime} \\ x^{\prime}= \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & O^{\prime} B^{\prime} \\ & x^{\prime}= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & O A \\ & x= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & O B \\ & x= \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\cdot 1$ | - 1 | -152 | - $\cdot 173$ |
| $\cdot 2$ | - 2 | $\cdot 287$ | -. 375 |
| $\cdot 3$ | - 3 | -407 | - 611 |
| $\cdot 4$ | - 4 | $\cdot 515$ | - 943 |
| 5 | - 5 | $\cdot 615$ | - 1.257 |
| $\cdot 6$ | - 6 | 704 | - 1.724 |
| 7 | - 7 | . 787 | -2.368 |
| $\cdot 8$ | - 8 | -853 | -3.386 |
| $\cdot 9$ | - 9 | . 935 | $-5 \cdot 368$ |
| $1 \cdot 0$ | $-1.0$ | 1.000 | - - |

Write in general

$$
\sqrt{ }(x+i y)=p+q i,=\frac{2}{\pi}(\psi+i \phi)
$$

viz. considering $x, y$ as given, find thence $p, q$ by the equations $x=p^{2}-q^{2}, y=2 p q$ : and then writing $\frac{1}{2} \pi p=\psi, \frac{1}{2} \pi q=\phi$, we have

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=\tan \frac{1}{4} \pi(p+q i)=\tan \left(\frac{1}{2} \psi+\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)=\frac{P+i Q}{1-i P Q}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=\tan \frac{1}{4} \pi p, & =\tan \frac{1}{2} \psi, \\
Q=\frac{1}{i} \tan \frac{1}{4} \pi q i,=\tanh \frac{1}{4} \pi q, & =\frac{1}{i} \tan \frac{1}{2} \phi i,=\tanh \frac{1}{2} \phi ;
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, if we introduce $\phi^{\prime}$ connected with $\phi$ by the equation $\phi=\log \tan \left(\frac{1}{4} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}\right)$ as before, we have $Q=\tan \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}$, and the formula is

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{P+i Q}{1-i P Q}, \quad P=\tan \frac{1}{2} \psi, \quad Q=\tan \frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime},
$$

giving the values of $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$.
It is clear that we have

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{P-i Q}{1+i P Q}
$$

and thence

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}\right)=\frac{P^{2}+Q^{2}}{1+P^{2} Q^{2}}
$$

Hence, to the circle $x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}=c^{\prime 2}$, corresponds in the parabola the curve

$$
P^{2}+Q^{2}=c^{\prime}\left(1+P^{2} Q^{2}\right),
$$

where $p+i q=\sqrt{ }(x+i y), P=\tan \frac{1}{4} \pi p, Q=\tanh \frac{1}{4} \pi q$. This is a complicated transcendental equation, and I do not see any convenient way of tracing the curve. The set of curves satisfy the differential equation
that is,

$$
\frac{P d P+Q d Q}{P^{2}+Q^{2}}=\frac{P Q(Q d P+P d Q)}{1+P^{2} Q^{2}}
$$

$$
P d P\left(1-Q^{4}\right)+Q d Q\left(1-P^{4}\right)=0
$$

where $d P, d Q$ are given in terms of $d p, d q$ by the equations

$$
\frac{d P}{1+P^{2}}=\frac{1}{4} \pi d p, \quad \frac{d Q}{1-Q^{2}}=\frac{1}{4} \pi d q
$$

We have $y=2 p q$, and thence at the highest points, or summits of the several curves, $q d p+p d q=0$. Combining these equations, we have

$$
d P: d Q=p\left(1+P^{2}\right):-q\left(1-Q^{2}\right)
$$

and thence

$$
p P\left(1+Q^{2}\right)-q Q\left(1-P^{2}\right)=0,
$$

as an equation to the locus of the summits in question. If $p$ and $q$ are small, then putting for a moment $\frac{1}{4} \pi=m$ for shortness, we have

$$
P=m p+\frac{1}{3} m^{3} p^{3}, \quad Q=m q-\frac{1}{3} m^{3} q^{3},
$$

and the equation becomes

$$
p^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{3} m^{2} p^{2}\right)\left(1+m^{2} q^{2}\right)-q^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{3} m^{2} q^{2}\right)\left(1-m^{2} p^{2}\right)=0,
$$

that is,

$$
p^{2}-q^{2}+\frac{1}{3} m^{2}\left(p^{4}+6 p^{2} q^{2}+q^{4}\right)=0 ;
$$

writing this in the form

$$
p^{2}-q^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{48}\left[\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right)^{2}+8 p^{2} q^{2}\right]=0
$$

we find $x+\frac{\pi^{2}}{48}\left(x^{2}+2 y^{2}\right)=0$, or say $y^{2}=-\frac{24}{\pi^{2}} x$, as the locus of the summits in the neighbourhood of the focus $O$, viz. the summits lie all of them, as might have been expected, on the left-hand (or negative side) of the focus.

I have constructed for the parabola, to the scale $1=1 \frac{1}{2}$ inch, as accurately as the data enable, the figure corresponding to the concentric circles and the radii of the circle.

Resuming the equation $\sqrt{ }(x+i y)=p+i q$, that is, $x=p^{2}-q^{2}$ and $y=2 p q$, we have ( $p=$ const.), the curves $y^{2}=4 p^{2}\left(p^{2}-x\right)$, and ( $q=$ const.), $y^{2}=4 q^{2}\left(q^{2}+x\right)$, which are two systems of confocal parabolas, cutting each other at right angles; the curves of the former set all of them interior to the given parabola, those of the latter set of course cutting it at right angles.

Corresponding hereto in the circle, writing for a moment

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}\right)=p^{\prime}+i q^{\prime}
$$

we have

$$
p^{\prime}+i q^{\prime}=\frac{P+i Q}{1-i P Q}
$$

whence

$$
p^{\prime}=P\left(1-q^{\prime} Q\right), \quad q^{\prime}=Q\left(1+p^{\prime} P\right)
$$

whence eliminating $P$ and $Q$ successively, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime 2}+q^{\prime 2}-p^{\prime}\left(P-\frac{1}{P}\right)-1=0 \\
& p^{\prime 2}+q^{\prime 2}-q^{\prime}\left(Q+\frac{1}{Q}\right)+1=0
\end{aligned}
$$

say, for shortness, these are $p^{\prime 2}+q^{\prime 2}-2 m p^{\prime}+1=0$, and $p^{\prime 2}+q^{\prime 2}-2 n q^{\prime}-1=0$. Introducing the polar coordinates $r^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}$, we have

$$
x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}=r^{\prime}\left(\cos \theta^{\prime}+i \sin \theta^{\prime}\right)
$$

and thence

$$
p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}=\sqrt{ }\left(r^{\prime}\right) \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime}, \quad \sqrt{ }\left(r^{\prime}\right) \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime} ;
$$

the equations thus become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{\prime}-1-2 m \sqrt{ }\left(r^{\prime}\right) \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime}=0 \\
& r^{\prime}+1-2 n \sqrt{ }\left(r^{\prime}\right) \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which belong to two limaçons having each of them $B^{\prime}$ for a node and $O$ for a focus, and which of course cut each other at right angles; see fig. 4, which is a mere
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diagram. In fact, omitting for convenience the accents, but recollecting always that the curves belong to the figure of the circle, the first equation gives

$$
(r-1)^{2}=4 m^{2} r \cos ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime}, \quad=2 m^{2} r(1+\cos \theta)=2 m^{2}(r+x)
$$

that is,

$$
\left(r^{2}+1-2 m^{2} x\right)^{2}=4\left(m^{2}+1\right)^{2} r^{2}
$$

Transforming to the point $B^{\prime}$ as origin, we must write $x+1$ for $x$, and then

$$
r^{2}=(x-1)^{2}+y^{2} ;
$$

the equation thus becomes

$$
\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}-2\left(m^{2}+1\right) x+2\left(m^{2}+1\right)\right\}^{2}=4\left(m^{2}+1\right)^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+2 x+1\right)
$$

that is,

$$
\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}-2\left(m^{2}+1\right) x\right\}^{2} \quad=4 m^{2}\left(m^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right),
$$

or say

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(m^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) x+4\left(m^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{2}-m^{2} y^{2}\right)=0,
$$

showing that the point $B^{\prime}$ is a crunode, the tangents there being $x= \pm m y$.
Writing in the equation $y=0$, we have $x^{2}=0$, the crunode, and

$$
x=2 \sqrt{ }\left(m^{2}+1\right)\left\{\sqrt{ }\left(m^{2}+1\right) \pm m\right\} ;
$$

the product of these two values is $=4\left(m^{2}+1\right)$, that is, $=\left(P+\frac{1}{P}\right)^{2}$, viz. one value is greater, the other less, than 2 . We see also that

$$
2 \sqrt{ }\left(m^{2}+1\right)\left\{\sqrt{ }\left(m^{2}+1\right)-m\right\},
$$

the smaller root, is greater than 1. The curve corresponding to a parabola $y^{2}=4 p^{2}\left(p^{2}-x\right)$ is thus a crunodal limaçon, the crunode at $B^{\prime}$, and the loop lying wholly within the circle. Moreover, the loop includes within itself the centre $O^{\prime}$ of the circle.

The other curve is in like manner

$$
\left\{r^{2}+1+2 n^{2} x\right\}^{2}=4\left(n^{2}-1\right) r^{2}
$$

viz. transforming to the origin $B^{\prime}$, and therefore putting $x-1$ for $x$, and

$$
r^{2}=(x-1)^{2}+y^{2},
$$

the equation is

$$
\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}+2\left(n^{2}-1\right) x-2\left(n^{2}-1\right)\right\}^{2}=4\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x+1\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}+2\left(n^{2}-1\right) x\right\}^{2}=4 n^{2}\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right),
$$

or say

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) x-4\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{2}+n^{2} y^{2}\right)=0,
$$

viz. the point $B^{\prime}$ is an acnode with the imaginary tangents $x= \pm i n y$.
Writing in the equation $y=0$, we have $x^{2}=0$ the acnode, and

$$
x=-\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left\{\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right) \pm n\right\},
$$

where

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ }\left(Q-\frac{1}{Q}\right)^{2}
$$

is real and may be taken to be positive; there is thus one root

$$
-\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left\{\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right)+n\right\}
$$

which is negative ; the other root, say

$$
\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left\{n-\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}-1\right)\right\},
$$

is positive and less than 1 ; the curve is thus an acnodal limaçon, having $B^{\prime}$ for the acnode and cutting the axis outside the circle on the negative side of $B^{\prime}$, and inside the circle between $B^{\prime}$ and $O^{\prime}$.

Taking $B^{\prime}$ as origin, the equation of the circle is $x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x=0$, and we hence find for the intersection of the limaçon with the circle $n^{2} x=2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$, that is, $x=2\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$; whence also $y^{2}=\frac{4}{n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$, values which belong to a real intersection; for $q=0$, we have $Q=0, n=\infty$, and therefore $(x, y)=(2,0)$, viz. the intersection is at $B^{\prime}$; for $q=\infty$, we have $Q=1, n=1$, and therefore $(x, y)=(0,0)$, viz. the intersection is at $A^{\prime}$, results which are obviously right. Observe that for the other limaçon we have $x=2\left(1+\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right), y=-\frac{4}{m^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right)$, viz. there is no real intersection with the circle.

The Table above referred to.


