## 292.

## A THEOREM IN CONICS.

[From the Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. Iv. (1861), pp. 131-133.]

The following theorem is given in Todhunter's Conic Sections, [Ed. 7, p. 304], "If ellipses be inscribed in a triangle, each with one focus in a fixed straight line, the locus of the other focus is a conic section through the angular points of the triangle." A focus is the intersection of tangents to the conic from the circular points at infinity; and instead of the circular points at infinity we may substitute any two points whatever. This being so, let the equations of the sides of the triangle be $x=0, y=0, z=0$, and let a pair of tangents to the curve from the points $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ meet in the point $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$, and the other pair of tangents from the same two points meet in the point $(X, Y, Z)$. I find that we have the very simple relation

$$
X \xi: Y \eta: Z \zeta=\alpha \alpha^{\prime}: \beta \beta^{\prime}: \gamma \gamma^{\prime}
$$

and consequently, when the locus of the point $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is given, that of the point $(X, Y, Z)$ is at once determined by substituting in the equation of the first-mentioned locus, in the place of $\xi, \eta, \zeta$, the values $\frac{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}{\xi}, \frac{\beta \beta^{\prime}}{\eta}, \frac{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}}{\zeta}$, or as we may express it, the second locus is derived from the first by the method of reciprocal trilinear substitutions. And, in particular, when the first locus is a line, the second locus is a conic through the angular points of the triangle, which is Mr Todhunter's theorem. I have considered some of the properties of this substitution in a Memoir "Sur quelques transmutations des Courbes," Liouville, t. xiv. (1849), pp. $40-46$ and t. xv. (1850), pp. $351-356$, [80 and 81].

To demonstrate the theorem, I take for the equation of the conic

$$
\sqrt{ }(l x)+\sqrt{ }(m y)+\sqrt{ }(n z)=0
$$

C. IV.
and I write for shortness

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta \zeta-\gamma \eta, \gamma \xi-\alpha \zeta, \quad a \eta-\beta \xi=A, B, C, \\
\beta^{\prime} \zeta-\gamma^{\prime} \eta, \gamma^{\prime} \xi-\alpha^{\prime} \zeta, \alpha^{\prime} \eta-\beta^{\prime} \xi=A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}, \\
\xi\left(\beta \gamma^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime} \gamma\right)+\quad \eta\left(\gamma \alpha^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime} \alpha\right)+\quad \zeta\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime} \beta\right)=\Delta, \\
\eta \dot{\zeta} \alpha \alpha^{\prime}\left(\beta \gamma^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime} \gamma\right)+\zeta \xi \beta \beta^{\prime}\left(\gamma \alpha^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime} \alpha\right)+\xi \eta \gamma \gamma^{\prime}\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime} \beta\right)=\square
\end{gathered}
$$

so that in fact

$$
\Delta=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\xi, & \eta, & \zeta \\
\alpha, & \beta, & \gamma \\
\alpha^{\prime}, & \beta^{\prime}, & \gamma^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|, \square=\alpha \beta \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \xi \eta \zeta\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{\xi}, & \frac{1}{\eta}, & \frac{1}{\zeta} \\
\frac{1}{\alpha}, & \frac{1}{\beta}, & \frac{1}{\gamma} \\
\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}, & \frac{1}{\beta^{\prime}}, \frac{1}{\gamma^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B C^{\prime}-B^{\prime} C=\xi \Delta, \quad C A^{\prime}-C^{\prime} A=\eta \Delta, \quad A B^{\prime}-A^{\prime} B=\zeta \Delta \\
& \beta \gamma^{\prime} B C^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime} \gamma B^{\prime} C=\gamma^{\prime} C A^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime} \alpha C^{\prime} A=\alpha \beta^{\prime} A B^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime} \beta A^{\prime} B=\square
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions in order that the conic

$$
\sqrt{ }(l x)+\sqrt{ }(m y)+\sqrt{ }(n z)=0
$$

may touch the line through $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is

$$
\frac{l}{A}+\frac{m}{B}+\frac{n}{C}=0
$$

and the condition in order that it may touch the line through $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is

$$
\frac{l}{A^{\prime}}+\frac{m}{B^{\prime}}+\frac{n}{C^{\prime}}=0
$$

and we thus have

$$
l: m: n=\frac{1}{B C^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{B^{\prime} C}: \frac{1}{C A^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{C^{\prime} A}: \frac{1}{A B^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{A^{\prime} B}
$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$
l: m: n=A A^{\prime} \xi \quad: \quad B B^{\prime} \eta \quad: \quad C C^{\prime} \zeta
$$

which determine the constants in the equation of the conic.
Consider now the tangents to the conic from the point $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$; if the equation of the tangent is assumed to be

$$
p x+q y+r z=0
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \alpha+q \beta+r \gamma=0 \\
& \frac{l}{p}+\frac{m}{q}+\frac{n}{r}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and these equations are of course satisfied by $p: q: r=A: B: C$, since the line through $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is a tangent. They are also satisfied by

$$
p: q: r=\frac{l}{A \alpha}: \frac{m}{B \beta}: \frac{n}{C \gamma}
$$

as is obvious by substitution, we have therefore

$$
\frac{l}{A \alpha} x+\frac{m}{B \beta} y+\frac{n}{C \gamma} z=0
$$

or more simply

$$
\frac{A^{\prime} \xi}{\alpha} x+\frac{B^{\prime} \eta}{\beta} y+\frac{C^{\prime \prime} \zeta}{\gamma} z=0
$$

for the equation of the other tangent through $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, and we have in like manner

$$
\frac{A \xi}{\alpha^{\prime}} x+\frac{B \eta}{\beta^{\prime}} y+\frac{C \zeta}{\gamma^{\prime}} z=0
$$

for the equation of the other tangent through $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$; the last-mentioned two lines intersect in the point $X, Y, Z$, that is we have

$$
X \xi: Y \eta: Z \zeta=\frac{B^{\prime} C}{\beta \gamma^{\prime}}-\frac{B C^{\prime}}{\beta^{\prime} \gamma}: \frac{C^{\prime} A}{\gamma^{\prime}}-\frac{C A^{\prime}}{\gamma^{\prime} \alpha}: \frac{A^{\prime} B}{\alpha \beta^{\prime}}-\frac{A B^{\prime}}{\alpha^{\prime} \beta}
$$

or attending to an above-mentioned equation, we have

$$
X \xi: Y \eta: Z \zeta=\quad \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \quad: \quad \beta \beta^{\prime} \quad: \gamma \gamma^{\prime}
$$

which is the property in question. In the particular case, where the points $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ are the foci, the theorem is an immediate consequence of the well-known proposition that the product of the perpendiculars let fall from the two foci upon any tangent of the conic is a constant.
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