Classic techniques for improvement of industrial yeast strains: Part III – A method for enucleation of *Saccharomyces* sp.

Anna Teresa Salek
University of Würzburg, Germany

Classic techniques for improvement of industrial yeast strains: Part III – A method for enucleation of *Saccharomyces* sp.

Summary

The first method for enucleation of yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is reported. Various strains, including some killer strain and respiratory-deficient mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were enucleated after treatment with cytochalasin B.

Removal of nuclei from protruding sphaeroplasts was induced by centrifugation in a Percoll density gradient. The enucleation yield (which averaged about 80%) and the quality of the cytoplasts were best when the yeast culture had been synchronized with nocodazole before the preparation. The presence of 1 mM $CaCl_2$ and ATP (10 μM) in the enucleation medium prevented the formation of fragile products or aggregation. Cytoplasts could be stored for at least 1 day without visible deterioration.

Key words:
Saccharomyces cerevisiae, cytochalasin B, cytoplast, nocodazole, enucleation, mitochondrial mutants.

Adres do korespondencji

Anna Teresa Salek,
MILAN-SCIENCE,
Institut für Mikrobiologie GmbH & Co.,
KG, Dr. Ernst Derra Str. 4,
94036 Passau, Germany,
e-mail:
Anna.Salek@T-Online.de

biotechnologia
1 (56) 187–194 2002

1. Introduction

The enucleation of yeast cells is of interest for the production (by fusion) of special industrial yeast hybrids, possessing mixed cytoplasms (cybrids) but only one nucleus. Such cybrids could be designed to have a modified phenotype without change

of ploidy. However, no methods for the enucleation of yeast cells have yet been reported.

In animal cells, enucleation usually uses the cytochalasins (1-7). In most cases, either cytochalasin B or D has been used to change the properties of the cytoskeleton in such a way as to give nuclear extrusion and, in a small number of species, enucleation (8). However, only in the case of some mammalian systems (BSC-1 cells, chicken embryo fibroblasts, mouse L cells and human polymorphonuclear lymphocytes) a larger number of enucleated cells (cytoplasts) has been obtained (2-5,7).

Yeast cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have many fundamental properties in common with cells of higher organisms (9,10). In particular, the fact that the structure of tubulin and actin filaments is conserved between animal and yeast cells (11,12), makes it likely that cytochalasins should be effective enucleation agents for yeast.

In this work the use of cytochalasin B (in combination with synchronization) for the enucleation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* is reported.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Strains

The following strains were used in this study: 1) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* H_3 [*rho*$^+$] [K$^+$R$^+$], diploid (from O. Bendova, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic); 2) *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* 34 (new name *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis*, brewery strain) [*rho*$^+$] [K-R-], aneuploid (from S. Donhauser, Technical University of Munich-Weihenstephan, Germany) and its derivative [***rho***$^-$], obtained earlier using ethidium bromide (13).

2.2. Media for yeasts and for enucleation

Strains of *Saccharomyces* sp. were grown in *YEPD* medium. *Enucleation medium I* contained: 20 μg/ml cytochalasin B, 400 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 55 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $CaCl_2$, 15 mM Na-Hepes buffer pH 7.5, 0.6 M sorbitol; osmolality about 1500 mOsm.

Enucleation medium II contained: 20 μg/ml cytochalasin B, 1.2 M sorbitol, 10 μM ATP, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 20 mM Tris/TrisHCl, pH 8.2; osmolality 1500 mOsm.

Cytoplasts were stored in *Storage medium*, consisting of: 1 M sorbitol, 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $CaCl_2$, 100 mM KH_2PO_4, 50 mM Tris/TrisCl, pH 7.5 (final pH 7.0).

2.3. Chemicals and stock solutions

Cytochalasin B, and nocodazole (methyl-N-5-thenoyl-2-benzimidazolyl carbamate) were from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Luis, MO. Cytochalasin B was dissolved at 3 mg/ml in DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide), and then diluted into a suitable medium (final concentration for enucleation 20 μg/ml). For synchronization of cultures, nocodazole from a freshly prepared stock solution (3.3 mg/ml in DMSO) was added to the YEPD-medium (final concentration 15 μg/ml), together with additional DMSO to reach a final concentration of 0.1%.

A stock solution of DAPI (5 mg/ml) was prepared in phosphate buffer pH 7.0. For fluorescence staining, the final concentration was 5 μg/ml (in 1.2 M sorbitol).

Percoll (sterile) and density marker beads were from Pharmacia LKB (Sweden).

2.4. Procedure of enucleation

1. *Synchronization of yeast cultures*. The following procedure was used before enucleation (with *enucleation medium II*). Nocodazole was added (to give a final concentration of 15 μg/ml) 2 or 3 hours after the end of the logarithmic phase (after 10-11 hours of growth of cell density 10^8/ml) in order to arrest the growth of yeast populations, and gave almost exclusively large-unbudded cells. This drug causes microtubule disassembly and blocks nuclear division, including loss of nuclear movement to buds (11).

2. *Sphaeroplasting and protoplasting*. Protoplasts were prepared as described before (14). For sphaeroplasts, the incubation with zymolase was reduced to 15 minutes or less (depending on strain).

3. *Separation of nucleated sphaeroplasts or protoplasts*. Sphaeroplasts or protoplasts were prepared from nocodazole-synchronized cultures. After centrifugation for 5 min at 1950 x g in 1.2 M sorbitol, the pellet (either large sphaeroplasts or protoplasts) was resuspended in 1.2 M sorbitol, and centrifuged again (10 min at 1950 x g).

4. *Enucleation*. Sphaeroplasts or protoplasts of *Saccharomyces* sp. strains (prepared as above) were suspended (till 10^8/ml) in the required *enucleation medium* and shaken very slowly at 30°C for 24 hours. Afterwards, the suspension was harvested (10 min at 670 x g) and suspended in Percoll (density d_3 = 1.10 g/ml, osmolality about 1500 mOsm). This suspension (2 ml) was layered into a prewarmed (2 h at 30°C) Percoll (osmolality 1500 mOsm) density gradient, consisting 1 ml of: d_1 = 1.13 g/ml, d_2 = 1.11 g/ml, d_3 with sphaeroplasts or protoplasts and d_4 = 1.09 g/ml. The discontinuous density gradient was centrifuged at 100.000 x g for 1 hour. After centrifugation, the bands which were produced (Fig. 1), have been collected separately from the top of the tube, and diluted into isoosmotic sorbitol (1.2 M). The bands were examined for their content of enucleated spheroplasts or protoplasts by fluorescence staining with DAPI.



Fig. 1. Separation of enucleated protoplasts/spheroplasts from discontinuous density gradient of Percoll: a – density marker beads, from bottom: violet (d = 1.142 g/ml), red (1.121 g/ml), green (1.098 g/ml), orange (1.087 g/ml), blue (1.075 g/ml); b – separated spheroplasts of *S. carlsbergensis* 34, *rho*$^+$ strain, synchronized by nocozadole and treated with CB (enucleation medium II); cytoplasts were taken from a band of nucleated cells (d = 1.11 g/ml) between the red and green density marker beads; c – protoplasts of *S. carlsbergensis 34 rho*$^+$ strain, treated by CB in enucleation medium I, w/o density marker beads; cytoplasts were taken from a band marked "x"; d – separated spheroplasts of *S. cerevisiae* H_3, *rho*$^+$ strain; cytoplasts were taken from the band marked "y" (d = 1.12 g/ml); e – the band of enucleated cells (big buds w/o nucleus) from *S. carlsbergensis* 34, ***rho***$^-$ strain, w/o density marker beads; f – separated cells from *S. carlsbergensis* 34, ***rho***$^-$,w/o density marker beads; cytoplasts were taken from the band of nucleated cells, marked "z".

Fig. 2. Fluorescence micrographs of DAPI-stained spheroplasts (from non-synchronized culture) before enucleation: a – *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* 34 *rho*$^+$; b – *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* 34 ***rho***$^-$; c – *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* H_3 *rho*$^+$. The large bright spots are cell nuclei, whereas the small spots visible only in a) and c) are mitochondria, b) was exposed under the same conditions as a) and c); the uniform intracellular background in b) is typical of other ***rho***$^-$ strains (not shown). In all micrographs, the bar represents 8 μm.

Fig. 3. Fluorescence micrographs of DAPI-stained protoplasts (top row) and spheroplasts (bottom row) after enucleation using enucleation medium I (w/o synchronization by nocodazole, but including discontinuous density gradient centrifugation): a – *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* 34 *rho*$^+$; b – *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* 34 ***rho***$^-$; c – *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* H_3 *rho*$^+$. The large bright spots visible in some cells are nuclei, but the small spots in *rho*$^+$ strains are mitochondria. In all micrographs, the bar represents 8 μm.

Fig. 4. Fluorescence micrographs of DAPI-stained spheroplasts after enucleation using enucleation medium II (including discontinuous density gradient centrifugation): a – *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* 34 *rho*$^+$; b – *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* 34 ***rho***$^-$; c – *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* H_3 *rho*$^+$. Large bright spots visible in some cells are nuclei, but the small spots in *rho*$^+$ strains are mitochondria. In all micrographs, the bar represents 8 μm.

→



Fig. 2



Fig. 3





Fig. 4

The preparation of good quality cytoplasts can be summarized as: Growth of cells → Synchronization with nocodazole (p.1) → Harvest of cells → Sphaeroplasting (p.1+3) → Enucleation in *medium II* and gentle shaking (p.4) → Harvest of sphaeroplasts (p.4) → Discontinuous density gradient centrifugation (p.4) → Collection of bands with enucleated cells (cytoplasts) (p.4).

2.5. Fixation

Before fluorescence staining, sphaeroplasts or protoplasts were fixed by rapid heating (up to 65°C for 10 min).

2.6. Nuclear staining

After fixation sphaeroplasts or protoplasts were resuspended (up to 10^8 sphaeroplasts or protoplasts/ml) in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with 1.2 M sorbitol. The position of the nucleus (i.e. inside or outside sphaeroplasts or protoplasts) was examined directly by fluorescence staining with the DNA-specific dye DAPI at a final concentration of 5 μg/ml (15) for 45 min at room temperature, washed with 0.85% saline in 1.2 M sorbitol, and then examined by fluorescence microscopy (Axiophot, Carl Zeiss, Germany). Micrographs were taken with a fast slide film (Kodak Ektachrome 800) followed by "push processing" (EI 800, 1600, or 3200).

3. Results and Discussion

Preliminary experiments attempted enucleation (with *enucleation medium I*) of whole cells from non-synchronized and synchronized cultures. In this medium these cells showed a general and sustained contraction, which usually resulted only in the formation of a protrusion. Very few cells appeared to have enucleated (less than 1%). No significant differences in the enucleation yield could be found between cultures synchronized by nocodazole (or by other synchronisation methods, i.e. colchicine or killer toxin from *Kluyveromyces lactis*). Other methods of density-gradient centrifugation (e.g. 20 000 x g or 60 000 x g) did not give better results.

Due to the difficulties with whole cells, protoplasts and sphaeroplasts (Fig. 2 a,b,c) were prepared.

Attempts (without synchronization of the yeast culture) to enucleate protoplasts of *S. uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with *enucleation medium I* resulted in the formation, during discontinuous density gradient centrifugation, of much debris, and to aggregation (Fig. 3aA, 3bA, 3cA). This was due to the fact that enucleated, non-synchronised protoplasts were very fragile. Sphaeroplasts enucleated

in *medium I* showed much less damage (Fig. 3aB, 3bB, 3cB; compare with Fig. 2). Some cytoplasts were anchored to cell bodies via long threads and tended to aggregate (Fig. 3B). The yield of these cytoplasts was high, and varied with the strain (up to 70% of cytoplasts from all protoplasts, see Fig. 3), but these properties prevented subsequent purification.

Sphaeroplasts of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* derived from nocodazole-synchronized cultures also gave high yields of enucleated forms when using *enucleation medium II* (on average about 80%, see Fig. 4 a,b and compare with Fig. 2). Unlike the above, these cytoplasts showed neither contraction nor fragility, nor did they aggregate (Fig. 4). The cytoplasts from this procedure could be purified on a Percoll density gradient. Such cytoplasts remained viable (shown by staining with 0.003% methylene blue) for at least 1 day when they were stored in the *storage medium* at 30°C. After this period, extensive aggregation and contraction occurred.

It seems that three distinct processes were helpful in successful enucleation:

1) disassembly of the microtubules by the use of CB (6,8);

2) disruption of the structural framework of the mitotic spindle pole bodies (SPBs) (10);

3) synchronisation of the cells in G_1 phase.

The last two processes can both be accomplished with nocodazole (11), and the synchronisation is probably the reason for the much better quality of sphaeroplasts (and the resulting higher yield of cytoplasts) after nocodazole treatment.

In the preliminary work using sphaeroplasts or protoplasts in *enucleation medium I*, there was much damage to the membrane, probably because *medium I* was damaging to the plasma membrane, leading to the loss of the SPBs (probably during centrifugation). This, with the consequent loss of cytoplasm, caused aggregation (Fig. 3A). A similar effect was reported for polymorphonuclear leukocytes (16). It also seems that the depolymerization of the actin cytoskeleton (which plays a central role in yeast morphogenesis) was not sufficiently complete in *enucleation medium I*, because of the presence of Mg^{2+}, the high level of Ca^{2+}, and the lack of ATP (17). A low level of ATP in the cell often leads to a loss of cytoplasm (1). A further problem with *enucleation medium I* was the pH, which at 7.5 was rather lower than that usually used for protoplasts (14). Finally, the culture was not synchronized by nocodazole before treatment with cytochalasin B in *medium I* and therefore, the actin was not depolymerized.

The above considerations led to the formulation of *enucleation medium II*, which contained 10 μM ATP and much lower concentrations of cations than *enucleation medium I* (a 10-fold decrease in Ca^{2+}, and no Na^+, K^+ or Mg^{2+}). It is possible that the low concentration of Ca^{2+} (1 mM $CaCl_2$) in *enucleation medium II* may also have enhanced enucleation (a similar effect is seen in exocytosis (18)). The explanation for the stimulation by Ca^{2+} may be that an increased extracellular concentration of free $Ca2^+$, facilitates the depolymerization of spindle microtubules (19). This correlates with the fact that the behaviour of the cytogel of sphaeroplasts or protoplasts was a

function of the Ca^{2+} concentration (see Fig. 3 and 4). It is also known that extracellular Ca^{2+} (about 1 mM) promotes the effect of cytochalasin B, because it binds to the actin filaments which support membrane tension (17,20).

Use of *enucleation medium II* and sphaeroplasts (instead of the more fragile protoplasts), increased the yield of higher-quality cytoplasts. Although the enucleation yield was similar to that with protoplasts (about 80% on average), but aggregation of the cytoplasts was avoided (Fig. 4). This allowed purification of the cytoplasts.

The fact that yeast cells could be enucleated is of interest in itself. In addition, the method described here may be useful for investigations of the nature of nuclear involvement in virus infections in yeast cells (virus-yeast cell interactions) and for production of cybrids (see "Introduction").

Further work will be directed towards increasing the lifetime of the cytoplasts, and determination of whether regeneration of cytoplast-sphaeroplast fusion products is possible.

References

1. Cooper J. A., (1987), J. Cell Biol., 105, 1473-1478.
2. Pennington T. H., Follett E. A. C., (1974), J. of Virology, 13, 488-493.
3. Prescott D. M., Myerson D., Wallace J., (1972), Exptl. Cell. Res., 71, 480-485.
4. Radke K. L., Colby C., Kates J. R., Krider H. M., Prescott D. M., (1974), J. of Virology, 13, 623-630.
5. Roos D., Voetman A., Meerhof L. J., (1983), J. Cell Biol., 97, 368-377.
6. Weber K., Rathke P. C., Osborn M., Franke W. W., (1976), Exp. Cell Res., 102, 285-297.
7. Wigler M. H., Weinstein B., (1975), Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 63, 669-674.
8. MacLean-Fletcher S., Pollard T. D., (1980), Cell, 20, 329-341.
9. Darnell J., Lodish H., Baltimore D., (1986), in: Molecular Cell Biology, III, (Scientific American Books), 771-813, Inc., N. York.
10. Rout M. P., Kilmartin J. V., (1990), J. Cell Biol., 111, 1913-1927.
11. Jacobs C. W., Adams A. E. M., Szaniszlo P. J., Pringle J. R., (1988), J. Cell Biol., 107, 1409-1426.
12. Kilmartin J. V., Adams A. E. M., (1984), J. Cell Biol., 98, 922-933.
13. Salek A., Schnettler R., Zimmermann U., (1992), FEMS Microbiology Letters, 96, 103-110.
14. Broda A., Schnettler R., Zimmermann U., (1987), Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 899, 25-34.
15. Berlin V., Brill J.A., Trueheart J., Boeke J.D., Fink G.R., (1991), Methods Enzymol., 194, 774-792.
16. Malawista S. E., de Boisfleury Chevance A., (1982), J. Cell Biol., 95, 960-973.
17. Pollard T. D., Cooper J. A., (1986), Ann. Rev. Biochem., 55, 987-1035.
18. Lew D. J., Simon S. M., (1991), J. Membrane Biol., 123, 261-268.
19. Zhang D. H., Callaham D. A., Hepler P. K., (1990), J. Cell Biol., 111, 171-182.
20. Sachs F., (1989), in: *Cell Shape. Determinants, Reulation, and Regulatory Role*, Eds. Stein W. D., Bronner F., Academic Press, Inc., London, 63-98.