## 919.

## ON THE PROBLEM OF TACTIONS.

[From the Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. xxv. (1891), pp. 104-127.]

1. I remark that the problem "to draw a circle touching each of three given circles" is not properly a problem with eight solutions, but it is a set of four problems each with two solutions: viz. if $a, b, c$ are the radii of the given circles, 2 the radius of the tangent circle, and $r, s, t$ the distances of its centre from the centres of the given circles respectively, then in the four problems respectively we have

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
r=a+9, & r=a+9, & r=a+9, & r=a+9 \\
s=b+9, & s=-b+9, & s=b+9, & s=-b+9 \\
t=c+9, & t=c+9, & t=-c+9, & t=-c+9
\end{array}
$$

and thence also

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
s-t=b-c, & s-t=-b-c, & s-t=b+c, & s-t=-b+c, \\
t-r=c-a, & t-r=c-a, & t-r=-c-a, & t-r=-c-a, \\
r-s=a-b, & r-s=a+b, & r-s=a-b, & r-s=a+b,
\end{array}
$$

where $a, b, c$ may be regarded each of them as positive; but the sign of each distance $r, s, t$, and of the radius 9 is not assumable at pleasure, but analytically it comes out as a result in the solution, or it may be found by geometrical considerations. Thus, if the given circles are external to each other, then in the first problem we have two solutions, a first tangent circle touched externally by each of the given circles, and a second tangent circle touched internally by each of the given circles; and taking $r, s, t, \mathscr{I}_{1}, \mathscr{I}_{2}$, each of them as positive, the signs in the two solutions respectively are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r=a+ף_{1}, & r=-a+ף_{2}, & \text { or say } \\
s=b+r=a-ף_{2}, & s=-b+ף_{2}, & -s=b-ף_{2}, \\
t=c+ף_{1}, & t=-c+ף_{2}, & -t=c-ף_{2},
\end{array}
$$

and so in other cases. The second, third, and fourth problems are, it is clear, derived from the first problem by the change of $(b, c)$ into $(-b, c),(b,-c),(-b,-c)$ respectively: so that only the first problem need be considered, viz. this is as above
whence also

$$
r=a+9, \quad s=b+9, \quad t=c+9
$$

$$
s-t=b-c, \quad t-r=c-a, \quad r-s=a-b,
$$

where $b-c, c-a, a-b$ are given magnitudes the algebraical sum of which is $=0$, viz. they are one of them positive, and the other two each negative, or else two of them each positive, and the remaining one negative.
2. The most simple and straightforward geometrical solution is that given in the Principia, Book I. Lemma XVI.; I reproduce this as given in Motte's translation (The Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy, by Sir Isaac Newton, translated into English by Andrew Motte, $8^{\circ}, 2$ vols., London, 1729).
"Lemma XVI. From three given points to draw to a fourth point which is not given three right lines whose differences shall be either given or none at all.

Case 1. Let the given points be $A, B, C$ (see figure) and $Z$ the fourth point which we are to find: because of the given difference of the line $A Z, B Z$, the locus

of the point $Z$ will be a hyperbola whose foci are $A$ and $B$ and whose principal axe is the given difference. Let that axe be $M N$. Taking $P M$ to $M A$ as $M N$ is to $A B$, erect $P R$ perpendicular to $A B$, and let fall $Z R$ perpendicular to $P R$; then from the nature of the hyperbola $Z R$ will be to $A Z$ as $M N$ is to $A B$. And by the like argument the locus of the point $Z$ will be another hyperbola whose foci are $A, C$, and whose principal axe is the difference between $A Z$ and $C Z$; and $Q S$ a perpendicular on $A C$ may be drawn to which $(Q S)$, if from any point $Z$ of this hyperbola a perpendicular $Z S$ is let fall, this $(Z S)$ shall be to $A Z$ as the difference between $A Z$ and $C Z$ is to $A C$. Wherefore the ratios of $Z R$ and $Z S$ to $A Z$ are given and consequently the ratio of $Z R$ to $Z S$ one to the other: and therefore if the right lines $R P, Q S$ meet in $T$, and $T Z$ and $T A$ are drawn, the figure $T R Z S$ will be given in specie, and the right line $T Z$, in which the point $Z$ is somewhere placed, will be given in position. There will be given also the right line TA and the angle $A T Z$; and because the ratios of $A Z$ and $T Z$ to $Z S$ are given, their ratio to each other is given also; and thence will be given also the triangle $A T Z$ whose vertex is the point $Z$. Q.E.I.

Case 2. If two of the three lines, for instance $A Z$ and $B Z$, are equal, \&c.
Case 3. If all the three are equal, \&c.
This problematic lemma is likewise resolved in Apollonius's Book of Tactions restored by Vieta."
3. Newton, in fact, considers the hyperbolas $A B$ and $A C$, each of given axis, having the foci $(A, B)$ and $(A, C)$ respectively, and having $P R, Q S$ for the directrices which in the two hyperbolas respectively belong to the common focus $A$. The required point $Z$ thus lies on a given line through the intersection $T$ of these two directrices; and its position on this line is determined by the condition that the distances $A Z, T Z$ shall be in a given ratio: the locus of the points which satisfy this last condition is of course a circle; and the position of $Z$ is thus determined as the intersection of the given line by a given circle (which I will call a Newton-circle); there are two intersections giving points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$, which are the centres of the two tangent circles respectively: and the line as a locus in quo of these two points is of course a determinate line, but Newton's circle is only one of a singly infinite series of circles through the two points: any other solution of the problem gives therefore the same line, but not in general the same circle.
4. In what immediately follows, I use for convenience the letter $F$ in place of the foregoing letter $T$.

Effecting Newton's construction, first as above, with the points $A\left(B, C^{\gamma}\right)$; and then in like manner, secondly with the points $B(C, A)$, and thirdly with the points $C(A, B)$; and in regard to a hyperbola $A B$ or $B A$, writing $A B$ for the directrix which belongs to the focus $A$, and $B A$ for the directrix which belongs to the focus $B$; then

For hyperbolas $A B, A C$, we have intersection of directrices $A B, A C$ is a point $F$; for hyperbolas $B C, B A$, we have intersection of directrices $B C, B A$ is a point $G$; for hyperbolas $C A, C B$, we have intersection of directrices $C A, C B$ is a point $H$.

Hence these three points $F, G, H$ lie in a line, which is the line containing the required points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$; or say it is the line $Z_{1} Z_{2}$.

The points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are determined as the intersections of this line by a circle which is the locus of the points whose distances $A, F$ are in a given ratio; similarly they are determined as the intersections by a circle which is the locus of the points whose distances from $B, G$ are in a given ratio; and they are determined as the intersections by a circle which is the locus of the points whose distances from $C, H$ are in a given ratio. We have thus three Newton-circles: if the centres of these are $F^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, H^{\prime}$ respectively, then clearly these points lie on a line $F^{\prime} G^{\prime} H^{\prime}$, which bisects at right angles the line (or chord) $Z_{1} Z_{2}$; the points $A, F, F^{\prime \prime}$ are obviously in a line, as are also the points $B, G, G^{\prime}$, and the points $C, H, H^{\prime}$; or (what is the same thing) considering for a moment the line $F^{\prime} G^{\prime} H^{\prime}$ as a given line bisecting $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ at right angles, then the centres $F^{\prime \prime}, G^{\prime}, H^{\prime}$ would be found as the intersections of this line $F^{\prime} G^{\prime} H^{\prime}$ with the lines $A F, B G, C H$ respectively.

The points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ being determined as above, then the points of contact $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$, $\gamma_{1}$ of the circle $Z_{1}$ with the circles $A, B, C$ respectively are points of intersection of the lines $Z_{1} A, Z_{1} B, Z_{1} C$ with these circles respectively; and similarly the points of contact $\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}$ of the circle $Z_{2}$ with the same circles respectively are points of intersection of the lines $Z_{2} A, Z_{2} B, Z_{2} C$ with these circles respectively.
5. I compare with Newton's the construction in which the centres $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are determined by means of the points of contact with the given circles: I may refer to Prop. 10, pp. 118-120 of Casey's Sequel to Euclid (12 ${ }^{\circ}$, Dublin, 1881). We have here the line $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ determined as the line through the radical centre $\Omega$ of the three circles $A, B, C$, perpendicular to an axis of symmetry (say the axis containing the three centres of direct symmetry) of the same circles: this point $\Omega$ is the centre of the orthotomic circle. And if the common chords of the orthotomic circle and the circles $A, B, C$ respectively meet the axis of symmetry in the points $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$; then we have $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ as the points of contact of the tangents from $a$ to the circle $A$; $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ as the points of contact of the tangents from b to the circle $B$; and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ as the points of contact of the tangents from c to the circle $C$ : the suffixes 1 and 2 can and must be so applied that the three lines $A \alpha_{1}, B \beta_{1}, C \gamma_{1}$ meet in a point $Z_{1}$ of the line $Z_{1} Z_{2}$, and the three lines $A \alpha_{2}, B \beta_{2}, C \gamma_{2}$ in a point $Z_{2}$ of the same line. We thus obtain the required points $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$.
6. Taking the equations of the three circles to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x-\alpha)^{2}+\left(y-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}=a^{2}, \\
& (x-\beta)^{2}+\left(y-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=b^{2}, \\
& (x-\gamma)^{2}+\left(y-\gamma_{1}\right)^{2}=c^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

I wish to obtain the equations of the line $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ and of the three Newton-circles; but I will first find, by a separate analytical investigation, an expression for the length of the chord $Z_{1} Z_{2}$.

Writing $f, g, h$ for the distances $B C, C A, A B$ of the points $A, B, C$ from each other ; $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}$ for the distances of $Z_{1}$ from these points respectively, and $r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ for the distances of $Z_{2}$ from these points respectively; we have a triangle whose sides are $f, g, h$, and two points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ whose distances from the vertices are $r_{1}, s_{1}$, $t_{1}$ and $r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ respectively; and we can in terms of these data find an expression for the distance $x$ of the points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ from each other. In fact, considering any four points $1,2,3,4$ and any other four points $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$, then if $11^{\prime}, 12^{\prime}$, \&c., denote the squared distances of the points 1 and $1^{\prime}$ from each other, of the points 1 and $2^{\prime}$ from each other, \&c., we have between the several distances the relation

| 0, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1, | $11^{\prime}$, | $12^{\prime}$, | $13^{\prime}$, | $14^{\prime}$ |
| 1, | $21^{\prime}$, | $22^{\prime}$, | $23^{\prime}$, | $24^{\prime}$ |
| 1, | $31^{\prime}$, | $32^{\prime}$, | $33^{\prime}$, | $34^{\prime}$ |
| 1, | $41^{\prime}$, | $42^{\prime}$, | $43^{\prime}$, | $44^{\prime}$ |$|=0$

and thence, taking the points $1,2,3$ and also the points $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ to be the points $A, B, C$ respectively, and the points 4 and $4^{\prime}$ to be the points $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ respectively, we have the required relation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
0, & 1, & 1, & 1, & 1 \\
1, & 0, & h^{2}, & g^{2}, & r_{1}^{2} \\
1, & h^{2}, & 0, & f^{2}, & s_{1}^{2} \\
1, & g^{2}, & f^{2}, & 0, & t_{1}^{2} \\
1, & r^{2}, & s^{2}, & t^{2}, & x^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

viz. putting for shortness

$$
\Delta=f^{4}+g^{4}+h^{4}-2 g^{2} h^{2}-2 h^{2} f^{2}-2 f^{2} g^{2}
$$

this equation is

$$
\Delta x^{2}+\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
0, & 1, & 1, & 1, & 1 \\
1, & 0, & h^{2}, & g^{2}, & r_{1}^{2} \\
1, & h^{2}, & 0, & f^{2}, & s_{1}^{2} \\
1, & g^{2}, & f^{2}, & 0, & t_{1}^{2} \\
1, & r^{2}, & s^{2}, & t^{2}, & 0
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where the determinant has the value

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(r^{2}+r_{1}^{2}\right) f^{2}\left(-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}\right)+f^{2}\left\{\left(t_{1}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)\left(r_{2}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(t_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}-s_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
&+\left(s^{2}+s_{1}^{2}\right) g^{2}\left(f^{2}-g^{2}+h^{2}\right)+g^{2}\left\{\left(r_{1}^{2}-s_{1}^{2}\right)\left(s_{2}^{2}-t_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(r_{2}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}\right)\left(s_{1}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
&+\left(t_{1}^{2}+t_{2}^{2}\right) h^{2}\left(f^{2}+g^{2}-h^{2}\right)+h^{2}\left\{\left(s_{1}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}\right)\left(t_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(s_{2}^{2}-t_{2}^{2}\right)\left(t_{1}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
&- 2 f^{2} g^{2} h^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

7. For the points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$, the distances $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}$ and $r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ have the values $a+ף_{1}, b+ף_{1}, c+ף_{1}$ and $a+ף_{2}, b+ף_{2}, c+ף_{2}$ respectively, where $\mathscr{I}_{1}, \mathscr{I}_{2}$ are the radii of the tangent circles; substituting these values, we find

$$
\Delta x^{2}+2 \mathfrak{A}+2 \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathscr{I}_{1}+\mathscr{I}_{2}\right)+\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}+\mathscr{I}_{2}^{2}\right)+2\left(\mathscr{I}_{1} \mathcal{I}_{2}=0\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{A}= & a^{2} f^{2}\left(-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}\right)+f^{2}\left(c^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)-f^{2} g^{2} h^{2} \\
& +b^{2} g^{2}\left(f^{2}-g^{2}+h^{2}\right)+g^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right) \\
& +c^{2} h^{2}\left(f^{2}+g^{2}-h^{2}\right)+h^{2}\left(b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}-a^{2}\right), \\
\mathfrak{B}= & a f^{2}\left(-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}\right)+f^{2}(c-a)(a-b)(2 a+b+c) \\
& +b g^{2}\left(f^{2}-g^{2}+h^{2}\right)+g^{2}(a-b)(b-c)(a+2 b+c) \\
& +c h^{2}\left(f^{2}+g^{2}-h^{2}\right)+h^{2}(b-c)(c-a)(a+b+2 c), \\
\mathfrak{D}= & f^{2}\left(-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}\right) \\
& +g^{2}\left(f^{2}-g^{2}+h^{2}\right) \\
& +h^{2}\left(f^{2}+g^{2}-h^{2}\right),=-\Delta, \\
\mathfrak{E}= & 4 f^{2}(c-a)(a-b) \\
& +4 g^{2}(a-b)(b-c) \\
& +4 h^{2}(b-c)(c-a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\mathscr{I}_{1}, \mathscr{I}_{2}$ are the roots of an equation in $\mathscr{Y}$, which is at once obtained from the foregoing equation by putting therein $x=0,9_{1}=ף_{2},=9$ : viz. if, for shortness,

$$
\mathfrak{E}=\mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{\S},=-\Delta+4\left\{f^{2}(c-a)(a-b)+g^{2}(a-b)(b-c)+h^{2}(b-c)(c-a)\right\},
$$

then the equation in 9 is

$$
\mathfrak{A}+2 \mathfrak{B 9}+\left(59^{2}=0 ;\right.
$$

we have therefore

$$
9_{1}+9_{2}=-\frac{2 \mathfrak{B}}{\mathfrak{b}}, \quad 9_{1} 9_{2}=\frac{\mathfrak{N}}{\mathfrak{6}} ;
$$

and consequently

$$
\Delta x^{2}+2 \mathfrak{A}-\frac{4 \mathfrak{B}^{2}}{\mathfrak{C}}+\mathfrak{D} \frac{4 \mathfrak{B}^{2}-2 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{E}^{2}}+\frac{2 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{E}}{\mathfrak{C}}=0,
$$

that is, or, reducing,

$$
\Delta \mathfrak{C}^{2} x^{2}+2 \mathfrak{A}\left(\mathscr{C}^{2}-4 \mathfrak{B}^{2} \mathfrak{C}+\mathfrak{D}\left(4 \mathfrak{B}^{2}-2 \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{C})+2 \mathfrak{H}(\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{D})=0,\right.\right.
$$

$$
\Delta \mathfrak{C}^{2} x^{2}+4\left(\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{C})(\mathfrak{D}-\mathfrak{C})=0,\right.
$$

that is,

$$
\Delta \mathfrak{C}^{2} x^{2}=4\left(\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{E},\right.
$$

where $\Delta, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C}$ have the values given above; we hence find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{E} & =-\left\{f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}\right\}\left\{g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}\right\}\left\{h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}\right\} \times\left(f^{4}+g^{4}+h^{4}-2 g^{2} h^{2}-2 h^{2} f^{2}-2 f^{2} g^{2}\right) \\
& =-\left\{f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}\right\}\left\{g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}\right\}\left\{h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}\right\} \Delta ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and, putting for $x$ its value $Z Z_{1}$, the equation thus reduces itself to

$$
\mathfrak{c}^{2}\left(Z Z_{1}\right)^{2}=-4\left\{f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}\right\}\left\{g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}\right\}\left\{h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}\right\} \text { § }
$$

8. The denominator factor $5^{2}$ and the several numerator factors of $\left(Z Z_{1}\right)^{2}$ may be accounted for. It is to be observed that $\left(Z Z_{1}\right)^{2}$ does not contain the factor $\Delta$ of $\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{N C}$. If $\Delta=0$, the centres of the circles $A, B, C$ are in a line; the two tangent circles are circles situate symmetrically in regard to the line $A B C$, that is, their radii are equal, and the line through their centres is bisected at right angles by the line $A B C$; the radii are equal, and thus $\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{H} \mathfrak{C}=0$, but the centres are not coincident, and thus $Z Z_{1}$ is not $=0$. The expression for $\left(Z Z_{1}\right)^{2}$ assumes a more simple form, for we have $(\tilde{\delta}=-\Delta+\S=(\mathfrak{\xi}$, and the formula thus becomes

$$
\mathscr{E}\left(Z Z_{1}\right)^{2}=-4\left\{f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}\right\}\left\{g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}\right\}\left\{h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}\right\} .
$$

If in this formula $\left(\mathscr{E}=0\right.$, then we have $Z Z_{1}=\infty$; in fact, the circles $A, B, C$ have here a pair of common tangents, and the tangent circles are these common tangents: each of the centres is thus a point at infinity, and the distance of the two centres is to be regarded as = infinity. In verification observe that, if the circles have a pair of common tangents, then, taking the intersection of these for the origin, if $P, Q, R$ be the distances of the centres from this origin, we have

$$
P: Q: R=a: b: c
$$

and therefore

$$
f: g: h=b-c: c-a: a-b
$$

whence

$$
\text { Ef, }=(c-a)(a-b) f^{2}+(a-b)(b-c) g^{2}+(b-c)(c-a) h^{2},
$$

contains a factor $(b-c)+(c-a)+(a-b)$, and is thus $=0$.

Supposing $\Delta$ not $=0$, then $\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{5}$ is $=0$, that is, the two radii are equal only if one of the factors $f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}, g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}, h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}$ is $=0$, and in this case we have also $Z Z_{1}=0$; the two tangent circles are here coincident. The equation $f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}=0$ signifies that the circles $B, C$ touch each other internally, or, what is the same thing (if, as was initially assumed, the radii $b, c$ be taken to be each of them positive), the point of contact is a direct centre of symmetry; hence, when $f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}=0$, the two tangent circles are coincident. And similarly if $g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}=0$, or if $h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}=0$.
9. If in the general formula we have $\left(\mathfrak{\delta}=0\right.$, then $Z Z_{1}=\infty$; the circles $A, B, C$ have here a common tangent and one of the tangent circles becomes this common tangent; we have thus a centre at infinity, and the distance of the two centres is thus also infinite.

To show that $\mathfrak{C}=0$ is the condition of a common tangent, suppose that the three circles have a common tangent, and let $P, Q, R$ denote the distances of the points of contact from any fixed point on this tangent: we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{2}=(Q-R)^{2}+(b-c)^{2} \\
& g^{2}=(R-P)^{2}+(c-a)^{2} \\
& h^{2}=(P-Q)^{2}+(a-b)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

equations which I represent by $f^{2}, g^{2}, h^{2}=\alpha^{2}+l^{2}, \beta^{2}+m^{2}, \gamma^{2}+n^{2}$, where $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$, $l+m+n=0$. We have

$$
-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}=-\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}-l^{2}+m^{2}+n^{2},=-2 \beta \gamma-2 m n
$$

and forming the corresponding values of $f^{2}-g^{2}+h^{2}, f^{2}+g^{2}-h^{2}$, and multiplying by $f^{2}, g^{2}, h^{2}=\alpha^{2}+l^{2}, \beta^{2}+m^{2}, \gamma^{2}+n^{2}$ respectively, we find

$$
-\Delta=-2 \alpha^{2} m n-2 \beta^{2} n l-2 \gamma^{2} l m-2 l^{2} \beta \gamma-2 m^{2} \gamma \alpha-2 n^{2} \alpha \beta
$$

But the last three terms hereof are
which is

$$
=l^{2}\left(-\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)+m^{2}\left(-\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)+n^{2}\left(-\gamma^{2}+\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right),
$$

and this is

$$
=\alpha^{2}\left(m^{2}+n^{2}-l^{2}\right)+\beta^{2}\left(n^{2}+l^{2}-m^{2}\right)+\gamma^{2}\left(l^{2}+m^{2}-n^{2}\right),
$$

$$
=-2 \alpha^{2} m n-2 \beta^{2} n l-2 \gamma^{2} l m
$$

Hence we have
that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta & =-4 \alpha^{2} m n-4 \beta^{2} n l-4 \gamma^{2} l m \\
& =-4 f^{2} m n-4 g^{2} n l-4 h^{2} l m
\end{aligned}
$$

or replacing $l, m, n$ by their values, we find

$$
-\Delta=-4\left\{f^{2}(c-a)(a-b)+g^{2}(a-b)(b-c)+h^{2}(b-c)(c-a)\right\}
$$

which is the required condition $\mathfrak{C}=0$.
10. It would at first sight appear that the distance $Z Z_{1}$ of the two centres
would always vanish if $\mathscr{E}=0$. But if $A, B, C$ are real circles, this condition $\mathscr{E}=0$, implies

$$
\frac{f^{2}}{(b-c)^{2}}=\frac{g^{2}}{(c-a)^{2}}=\frac{h^{2}}{(a-b)^{2}},
$$

whence $\Delta=0$, and this being so we have $\left(\mathbb{\delta}=-\Delta+\mathscr{E},=0\right.$, and the value of $Z Z_{1}$ instead of being $=0$, is or appears to be infinite. In proof, take for a moment the origin at $A$ and the line $A B$ for the axis of $x$; we have thus $(0, h)$ for the coordinates of $B$, and taking $(x, y)$ for the coordinates of $\mathfrak{c}$, we have $g^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2} ; f^{2}=(h-x)^{2}+y^{2}$. Writing as before $l, m, n$, to denote $b-c, c-a, a-b$ respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}(\S) & =m n\left\{(h-x)^{2}+y^{2}\right\}+n l\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+l m h^{2}, \\
& =m(n+l) h^{2}+n(l+m)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 m n h x, \\
& =-m^{2} h^{2}-n^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 m n h x, \\
& =-(m h+n x)^{2}-n^{2} y^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, for real values, (E) can only vanish for $y=0, x=-\frac{m h}{n}$; these values of $x, y$ give $f^{2}=\frac{l^{2} h^{2}}{n^{2}}, g^{2}=\frac{m^{2} h^{2}}{n^{2}}$, that is, $\frac{f^{2}}{l^{2}}=\frac{g^{2}}{m^{2}}=\frac{h^{2}}{n^{2}}$, or writing for $l, m, n$ their values, they give

$$
\frac{f^{2}}{(b-c)^{2}}=\frac{g^{2}}{(c-a)^{2}}=\frac{h^{2}}{(a-b)^{2}} .
$$

11. But for imaginary circles the condition $\mathfrak{F}=0$ does not imply $\Delta=0$, and supposing $\mathscr{E}=0$, the distance $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ is $=0$; the equation $\mathfrak{B}^{2}-\mathfrak{H}(\mathscr{E}=0$, is not satisfied, and thus the two radii are unequal; it would seem that we have concentric circles $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ each touching the three given circles $A, B, C$, and this would imply that the radii $a, b, c$ were equal to each other: this cannot be the case, for the .only relation is that given by the foregoing condition $\mathscr{F}=0$. The explanation of this paradox is that the two circles $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are not really concentric, but it is only the distance $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ of the centres which is $=0$, viz. the centres are points on an imaginary line $x-\alpha \pm i(y-\beta)=0$.

In verification hereof, I start from two circles $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x+1)^{2}+(y+i)^{2}=m^{2} \\
& (x-1)^{2}+(y-i)^{2}=n^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

having for centres the two points $(-1,-i),(1, i)$ the distance of which two points from each other is $=0$. Consider for a moment a conic having these two imaginary points for its foci ; viz. writing $\xi, \eta$ for the coordinates of a point of the conic, the equation is

$$
\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi+1)^{2}+(\eta+i)^{2}\right\}-\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\}=m-n ;
$$

we thence obtain

$$
(\xi+1)^{2}+(\eta+i)^{2}=(m-n)^{2}+2(m-n) \sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\}+(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2},
$$

that is,

$$
4(\xi+i \eta)-(m-n)^{2}=2(m-n) \sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\},
$$

or putting $m-n=2 k$, we have

$$
\xi+i \eta-k^{2}=k \sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\}
$$

and thence

$$
k^{2}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)-(\xi+i \eta)^{2}=k^{4}
$$

for the equation of the conic. The last preceding equation gives

$$
\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\}=-k+\frac{\xi+i \eta}{k},=-\frac{1}{2}(m-n)+\frac{\xi+i \eta}{k}
$$

or say

$$
\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\}-n=-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)+\frac{\xi+i \eta}{k}
$$

and we have similarly

$$
\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi+1)^{2}+(\eta+i)^{2}\right\}-m=-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)+\frac{\xi+i \eta}{k}
$$

This being so, it at once appears that, if $(\xi, \eta)$ are coordinates of a point on the conic, then the circle

$$
\begin{gathered}
(x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}=a^{2} \\
a=-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)+\frac{\xi+i \eta}{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

where
is a circle touching each of the given circles $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$. In fact, the distance of the centre from the point $Z_{1}$ is $\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi+1)^{2}+(\eta+i)^{2}\right\}$, which is $=a+m$, the sum of the two radii ; and similarly the distance of the centre from the point $Z_{2}$ is $\sqrt{ }\left\{(\xi-1)^{2}+(\eta-i)^{2}\right\}$, which is $=a+n$, the sum of the two radii.

Hence if $\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right),\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ belong to any other two points on the conic, and we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)+\frac{\xi+i \eta}{k} \\
& b=-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)+\frac{\xi^{\prime}+i \eta^{\prime}}{k} \\
& c=-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)+\frac{\xi^{\prime \prime}+i \eta^{\prime \prime}}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}=a^{2} \\
& \left(x-\xi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y-\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2}=b^{2} \\
& \left(x-\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=c^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the equations of three circles $A, B, C$ each touching the two circles $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$. Writing as before $f, g, h$ for the mutual distances $B C, C A, A B$ of the centres of these circles, then

$$
f^{2}=\left(\xi^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}
$$

and similarly for $g^{2}$ and $h^{2}$. But we have

$$
b-c=\frac{1}{k}\left\{\left(\xi^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)+i\left(\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\},
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{f^{2}}{b-c}=k\left\{\xi^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime \prime}-i\left(\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} ;
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{g^{2}}{c-a}=k\left\{\xi^{\prime \prime}-\xi-i\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}-\eta\right)\right\}, \\
& \frac{h^{2}}{a-b}=k\left\{\xi-\xi^{\prime}-i\left(\eta-\eta^{\prime}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{f^{2}}{b-c}+\frac{g^{2}}{c-a}+\frac{h^{2}}{a-b}=0, \text { that is, }(\lessdot=0
$$

viz. it thus appears that the condition $\mathfrak{E}=0$ applies to a pair of circles $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ which are not concentric, but which have for their centres two imaginary points the distance of which from each other is $=0$.

This completes the explanation of the denominator and numerator factors in the expression for the distance $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ between the centres of the two tangent circles.
12. I consider now the analytical solution: the equations of the given circles $A, B, C$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X-\alpha)^{2}+\left(Y-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}-a^{2}=0, \\
& (X-\beta)^{2}+\left(Y-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}-b^{2}=0, \\
& (X-\gamma)^{2}+\left(Y-\gamma_{1}\right)^{2}-c^{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

viz. $\left(\alpha, \alpha_{1}\right),\left(\beta, \beta_{1}\right)$, and $\left(\gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)$ are the coordinates of the centres and $(a, b, c)$ are the radii. Taking $(x, y)$ for the coordinates of the centre of the tangent circle and 9 for its radius, the equation of the tangent circle is

$$
(X-x)^{2}+(Y-y)^{2}-9^{2}=0 ;
$$

and if we write $r, s, t$ for the distances of this centre from the points $A, B, C$ respectively, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\sqrt{ }\left\{(x-\alpha)^{2}+\left(y-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}, \\
& s=\sqrt{ }\left\{(x-\beta)^{2}+\left(y-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}, \\
& t=\sqrt{ }\left\{(x-\gamma)^{2}+\left(y-\gamma_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

then for the determination of the unknown quantities $x, y, 9$ we have the three equations

$$
r=a+9, \quad s=b+9, \quad t=c+9
$$

or eliminating 9 , the centre is determined by means of the hyperbolas

$$
s-t=b-c, \quad t-r=c-a, \quad r-s=a-b
$$

these three hyperbolas have, in fact, two common intersections which are the two centres $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$.

In all that follows, I write, as before, $b-c, c-a, a-b=l, m, n$; the lastmentioned equations are therefore

$$
s-t=l, \quad t-r=m, \quad r-s=n,
$$

and we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{r^{2}-s^{2}}{2 n}=-\frac{1}{2} m+\frac{t^{2}-r^{2}}{2 m} \\
& s=\frac{1}{2} l+\frac{s^{2}-t^{2}}{2 l}=-\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{r^{2}-s^{2}}{2 n} \\
& t=\frac{1}{2} m+\frac{t^{2}-r^{2}}{2 m}=-\frac{1}{2} l+\frac{s^{2}-t^{2}}{2 l}
\end{aligned}
$$

viz. writing

$$
R=\frac{s^{2}-t^{2}}{2 l}, \quad S=\frac{t^{2}-r^{2}}{2 m}, \quad T=\frac{r^{2}-s^{2}}{2 n} ;
$$

and therefore
these equations are

$$
l R+m S+n T=0
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
r & =S-\frac{1}{2} m, & s & =T-\frac{1}{2} n, \\
& t=R-\frac{1}{2} l \\
& =T+\frac{1}{2} n, & =R+\frac{1}{2} l, & =S+\frac{1}{2} m ;
\end{array}
$$

$R, S, T$ are each of them a linear function of the coordinates $(x, y)$, say we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\lambda x+\lambda_{1} y+\lambda_{2}, \\
& S=\mu x+\mu_{1} y+\mu_{2}, \\
& T=\nu x+\nu_{1} y+\nu_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}=-\frac{\beta-\gamma}{l}, & -\frac{\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}}{l}, & \frac{\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}-\gamma^{2}-\gamma_{1}^{2}}{2 l}, \\
\mu, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}=-\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{m}, & -\frac{\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}}{m}, & \frac{\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}-\alpha^{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}}{2 m} \\
\nu, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}=-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{n}, & -\frac{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}^{0}}{n}, & \frac{\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}}{2 n}
\end{array}
$$

13. From the two equations $r=S-\frac{1}{2} m=T+\frac{1}{2} n$, we deduce the equations of a line and a circle.

The line is $S-T-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)=0$, viz. substituting for $S$ and $T$ their values, this is

$$
\frac{t^{2}-r^{2}}{m}-\frac{r^{2}-s^{2}}{n}-(m+n)=0
$$

that is,

$$
n\left(t^{2}-r^{2}\right)-m\left(r^{2}-s^{2}\right)-m n(m+n)=0,
$$

or, since $l+m+n=0$, the equation is

$$
l r^{2}+m s^{2}+n t^{2}+l m n=0
$$

which is symmetrical in regard to the three circles. The equation may be written

$$
l\left(r^{2}-a^{2}\right)+m\left(s^{2}-b^{2}\right)+n\left(t^{2}-c^{2}\right)=0
$$

and it thus appears that the line passes through the radical centre of the three circles.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\nu-\mu) r=\nu\left(S-\frac{1}{2} m\right)-\mu\left(T+\frac{1}{2} n\right)=-\left(\mu \nu_{1}-\mu_{1} \nu\right) y+\nu \mu_{2}-\mu \nu_{2}-\frac{1}{2}(m \nu+n \mu), \\
& \left(\nu_{1}-\mu_{1}\right) r=\nu_{1}\left(S-\frac{1}{2} m\right)-\mu_{1}\left(T+\frac{1}{2} n\right)=\left(\mu \nu_{1}-\mu_{1} \nu\right) x+\nu \mu_{2}-\mu \nu_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(m \nu_{1}+n \mu_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and thence

$$
\left\{(\nu-\mu)^{2}+\left(\nu_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} r^{2}=\left\{\nu\left(S-\frac{1}{2} m\right)-\mu\left(T+\frac{1}{2} n\right)\right\}^{2}+\left\{\nu_{1}\left(S-\frac{1}{2} m\right)-\mu_{1}\left(T+\frac{1}{2} n\right)\right\}^{2},
$$

which is the equation of a circle; in fact, on the left-hand side and right-hand side the only terms of the second order in $(x, y)$ are $\left\{(\nu-\mu)^{2}+\left(\nu_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mu \nu_{1}-\mu_{1} \nu\right)^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ respectively. We have thus the equation of the Newton-circle $F$; but I reduce the form by substituting for $\mu, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \nu, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ their values. Writing for shortness

$$
\begin{gathered}
l \alpha+m \beta+n \gamma=K \\
l \alpha_{1}+m \beta_{1}+n \gamma_{1}=K_{1} \\
\beta \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1} \gamma+\gamma \alpha_{1}-\gamma_{1} \alpha+\alpha \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta=\Omega \\
(\beta-\gamma)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+(\gamma-\alpha)\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+(\alpha-\beta)\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)=\Pi \\
\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)=\Pi_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

after some easy reductions the equation is found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}{ }^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-2 \alpha x-2 \alpha_{1} y+\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}{ }^{2}\right) \\
&=\{2 \Omega y+\Pi+(\beta-\gamma) m n+(m-n) K \\
&+\left\{-2 \Omega x+\Pi_{1}+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right) m n+(m-n) K_{1}\right\}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

14. To further abbreviate, I write

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\beta-\gamma) m n+(m-n) K=F, & \left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right) m n+(m-n) K_{1}=F_{1} \\
(\gamma-\alpha) n l+(n-l) K=G, & \left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) n l+(n-l) K_{1}=G_{1} \\
(\alpha-\beta) l m+(l-m) K=H, & \left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) l m+(l-m) K_{1}=H_{1}
\end{array}
$$

also

$$
l\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+m\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)=\Theta ;
$$

and then writing down the three equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) r^{2}=\left(-2 \Omega x+\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)^{2}+(2 \Omega y+\Pi+F)^{2} \\
& 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) s^{2}=\left(-2 \Omega x+\Pi_{1}+G_{1}\right)^{2}+(2 \Omega y+\Pi+G)^{2} \\
& 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) t^{2}=\left(-2 \Omega x+\Pi_{1}+H_{1}\right)^{2}+(2 \Omega y+\Pi+H)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which are the equations of the three Newton-circles, each meeting the chord

$$
l r^{2}+m s^{2}+n t^{2}+l m n=0,
$$

or, say

$$
-2 K x-2 K_{1} y+\Theta+l m n=0,
$$

in the points $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$.
c. XIII.
15. The first of these equations is
that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right. & \left.-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
& -2\left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha-2 \Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)\right\} x \\
& -2\left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+2 \Omega(\Pi+F)\right\} y \\
& +4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)^{2}-(\Pi+F)^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) x-4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha+2 \Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
+ & \left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) y-4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1}-2 \Omega(\Pi+F)\right\}^{2} \\
+ & 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)\left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)^{2}-(\Pi+F)^{2}\right\} \\
- & \left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha-2 \Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
- & \left\{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+2 \Omega(\Pi+F)\right\}^{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =16\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& - \\
& -4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& - \\
& -4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)(\Pi+F)^{2} \\
& -16\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right) \Omega^{2} \\
& - \\
& -16\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +16\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha \Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right) \\
& - \\
& =\quad 16\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1} \Omega(\Pi+F) \\
& \quad\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{-4\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)^{2}-4(\Pi+F)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad-16\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right) \Omega^{2}+16 \alpha \Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)-16 \alpha_{1} \Omega(\Pi+F)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It thus appears that the equation of the Newton-circle $F$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left(K^{2}+\right. & \left.K_{1}{ }^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}\left\{(x-\mathrm{f})^{2}+\left(y-\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
= & \left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)^{2}+(\Pi+F)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-4 \alpha \Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)+4 \alpha_{1} \Omega(\Pi+F)+4\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right) \Omega^{2}\right\} \\
= & \left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\Pi+F+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coordinates of the centre are
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}=\frac{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha-\Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)}{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{1}=\frac{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\Omega(\Pi+F)}{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{rad}^{2}=\frac{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}}{4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\Pi+F+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)^{2}\right\} ;
$$

and similarly for the Newton-circles $G$ and $H$.
16. The centres are in a line at right angles to

$$
-2 K x-2 K_{1} y+\Theta+l m n=0,
$$

say the equation of this line is

$$
K_{1} x-K y+\Psi=0
$$

then we ought to have

$$
\frac{K_{1}\left\{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha-\Omega\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)\right\}-K\left\{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\Omega(\Pi+F)\right\}}{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)}+\Psi=0,
$$

that is,
$2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K_{1} \alpha-K \alpha_{1}\right)-\left(K_{1} \Pi_{1}+K \Pi\right) \Omega-\left(K_{1} F_{1}+K F\right) \Omega+2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) \Psi=0$.
This should agree with

$$
2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K_{1} \beta-K \beta_{1}\right)-\left(K_{1} \Pi_{1}+K \Pi\right) \Omega-\left(K_{1} G_{1}+K G\right) \Omega+2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) \Psi=0,
$$

viz. we ought to have

$$
2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{K_{1}(\alpha-\beta)-K\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\right\}-\left\{K_{1}\left(F_{1}-G_{1}\right)+K(F-G)\right\} \Omega=0 .
$$

This can be true only if $K_{1}(\alpha-\beta)-K\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)$ is a multiple of $\Omega$; and, in fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & (\alpha-\beta)-K\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \\
& =(\alpha-\beta)\left(l \alpha_{1}+m \beta_{1}+n \gamma_{1}\right)-\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)(l \alpha+m \beta+n \gamma) \\
& =l\left(\alpha \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta\right)+m\left(\alpha \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta\right)+n\left\{-\left(\beta \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1} \gamma\right)-\left(\gamma \alpha_{1}-\gamma_{1} \alpha\right)\right\} \\
& =-n\left(\beta \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1} \gamma+\gamma \alpha_{1}-\gamma_{1} \alpha+\alpha \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta\right),=-n \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation to be verified thus is

$$
-2 n\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)-K_{1}\left(F_{1}-G_{1}\right)-K(F-G)=0,
$$

and here

$$
\begin{aligned}
F-G & =(\beta-\gamma) m n-(\gamma-\alpha) l n+(l+m-2 n) K \\
& =\alpha l n+\beta m n-\gamma(l+m) n-3 n K=(n-3 n) K=-2 n K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
and similarly

$$
-K(F-G)=2 n K^{2}
$$

$$
-K_{1}\left(F_{1}-G_{1}\right)=2 n K_{1}^{2}
$$

and thus the equation is verified.
17. Writing for shortness

$$
\beta \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1} \gamma, \quad \gamma \alpha_{1}-\gamma_{1} \alpha, \quad \alpha \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta=X, Y, Z,
$$

and therefore $\Omega=X+Y+Z$; we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) & \left(K_{1} \alpha-K \alpha_{1}\right)-\left(K F+K_{1} F_{1}\right) \Omega \\
= & K\{m n(\beta-\gamma)(X-Y-Z) \\
& +n l(\gamma-\alpha)(-X+Y-Z) \\
& +\quad \operatorname{lm}(\alpha-\beta)(-X-Y+Z)\} \\
& +K_{1}\left\{m n\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)(X-Y-Z)\right. \\
& +n l\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)(-X+Y-Z) \\
& \left.+\quad \operatorname{lm}\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)(-X-Y+Z)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To verify this, observe that the left-hand side is

$$
2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)(m Z-n Y)-\left\{(\beta-\gamma) m n K+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right) m n K_{1}+(m-n)\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) \Omega\right\},
$$

or putting herein $X+Y+Z$ for $\Omega$, this is

$$
\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\{(n-m) X+l Y-l Z\}-m n\left\{(\beta-\gamma) K+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\}(X+Y+Z),
$$

which is thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & m n\left\{(\beta-\gamma) K+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\}(X-Y-Z) \\
& +n l\left\{(\gamma-\alpha) K+\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\}(-X+Y-Z) \\
& +l m\left\{(\alpha-\beta) K+\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\}(-X-Y+Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation to be verified thus becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) & \{(n-m) X+l Y-l \boldsymbol{Z}\} \\
= & m n\left\{(\beta-\gamma) K+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\} 2 X \\
& +n l\left\{(\gamma-\alpha) K+\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\}(-X+Y-Z) \\
& +l m\left\{(\alpha-\beta) K+\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) K_{1}\right\}(-X-Y+Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

This breaks up into two equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\{(n-m) X+l Y-l \boldsymbol{Z}\}= & m n(\beta-\gamma) 2 X \\
& +n l(\gamma-\alpha)(-X+Y-Z) \\
& +\operatorname{lm}(\alpha-\beta)(-X-Y+Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and a like equation with the suffixed letters. And the equation just written down, observing that each side is a linear function of $X$ and $Y-Z$, again breaks up into the two equations

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
(n-m) K & =2 m n(\beta-\gamma)-n l(\gamma-\alpha)-l m(\alpha-\beta), \\
l K & =r & -n l(\gamma-\alpha)-l m(\alpha-\beta),
\end{array}
$$

which are at once verified: in fact, for the first equation the right-hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(n l-l m) \alpha+(2 m n+l m) \beta+(-2 m n-n l) \gamma, \\
& =(n-m) l \alpha+(2 n+l) m \beta+(-2 m-l) n \gamma, \\
& =(n-m)(l \alpha+\quad m \beta+\quad n \gamma),=(n-m) K ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly in the second equation the right-hand side is

$$
(-n l-l m) \alpha+\operatorname{lm} \beta+\ln \gamma, \quad=l(l \alpha+m \beta+n \gamma), \quad=l K .
$$

Writing then
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi= & K\{m n(\beta-\gamma)(X-Y-Z) \\
& +n l(\gamma-\alpha)(-X+Y-Z)+\operatorname{lm}(\alpha-\beta)(-X-Y+Z)\} \\
& +K_{1}\left\{m n\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)(X-Y-Z)\right. \\
& \left.+n l\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)(-X+Y-Z)+\operatorname{lm}\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)(-X-Y+Z)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Phi=\left(K_{1} \Pi_{1}+K \Pi\right) \Omega-2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) \Psi .
$$

This equation determines $\Phi$, and thus the equation of the line of centres is

$$
2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(K_{1} x-K y\right)+\left(K \Pi+K_{1} \Pi_{1}\right) \Omega-\Phi=0 .
$$

18. This line meets

$$
-2 K x-2 K_{1} y+\Theta+l m n=0
$$

in the mid-point of the chord $Z_{1} Z_{2}$. We thus have for the coordinates $x, y$ of this mid-point

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) x+K_{1}\left\{\left(K \Pi+K_{1} \Pi_{1}\right) \Omega-\Phi\right\}-K\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)(\Theta+l m n)=0, \\
& 2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right) y-K\left\{\left(K \Pi+K_{1} \Pi_{1}\right) \Omega-\Phi\right\}-K_{1}\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)(\Theta+l m n)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The perpendicular distance of the centre of the circle $F$ from the chord is

$$
=\frac{-2 K f-2 K_{1} f_{1}+\Theta+l m n}{2 \sqrt{ }\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)}
$$

here

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(K f+K_{1} f_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}} & {\left[2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)-\Omega\left\{K\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}\right)-K_{1}(\Pi+F)\right\}\right], } \\
K \Pi_{1}-K_{1} \Pi= & \left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{K\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)-K_{1}(\beta-\gamma)\right\} \\
& +\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{K\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)-K_{1}(\gamma-\alpha)\right\} \\
& +\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{K\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)-K_{1}(\alpha-\beta)\right\} \\
= & \Omega\left\{l\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+m\left(\dot{\beta}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}=\Omega \Theta, \\
K F_{1}-K_{1} F= & m n\left\{K\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)-K_{1}(\beta-\gamma)\right\}=l m n \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
2\left(K f+K_{1} f_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}}\left\{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)-\Omega^{2}(\Theta+l m n)\right\}
$$

and hence the numerator of the fraction is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}}\left\{-2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)+\Omega^{2}(\Theta+l m n)+\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)(\Theta+l m n)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}}\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)+\Theta+l m n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the perpendicular distance of the centre of the circle $F$ from the chord $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ is

$$
=\frac{\sqrt{ }\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)}\left\{-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)+\Theta+l m n\right\}
$$

moreover, by what precedes, we have

$$
\text { Radius }=\frac{\sqrt{ }\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)} \sqrt{ }\left\{\left(\Pi+F+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

19. Hence also

$$
\left(Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}}{\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\Pi+F+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2}-\left\{\Theta+l m n-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right\}^{2}\right] .
$$

We have

$$
K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}=(l \alpha+m \beta+n \gamma)^{2}+\left(l \alpha_{1}+m \beta_{1}+n \gamma_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\beta \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1} \gamma+\gamma \alpha_{1}-\gamma_{1} \alpha+\alpha \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta\right)^{2} ;
$$

but

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{2}=(\beta-\gamma)^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& g^{2}=(\gamma-\alpha)^{2}+\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& h^{2}=(\alpha-\beta)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}=-2(\gamma-\alpha)(\alpha-\beta)-2\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)
$$

whence

$$
-f^{2}\left(-f^{2}+g^{2}+h^{2}\right)=\left\{2(\gamma-\alpha)(\alpha-\beta)+2\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\right\}\left\{(\beta-\gamma)^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} ;
$$

or forming the like values of $-g^{2}\left(f^{2}-g^{2}+h^{2}\right)$ and $-h^{2}\left(f^{2}+g^{2}-h^{2}\right)$ and adding, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta= & f^{4}+g^{4}+h^{4}-2 g^{2} h^{2}-2 h^{2} f^{2}-2 f^{2} g^{2} \\
= & 2(\gamma-\alpha)(\alpha-\beta)\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{2}+2\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)(\beta-\gamma)^{2} \\
& +2(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\gamma)\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+2\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)(\gamma-\alpha)^{2} \\
& +2(\beta-\gamma)(\gamma-\alpha)\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}+2\left(\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)(\alpha-\beta)^{2} \\
= & -4\left\{\alpha_{1}(\beta-\gamma)+\beta_{1}(\gamma-\alpha)+\gamma_{1}(\alpha-\beta)\right\}^{2}=-4 \Omega^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
m n f^{2}+n l g^{2}+l m h^{2}= & m n\left\{\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}+\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}-2\left(\beta \gamma+\beta_{1} \gamma_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +n l\left\{\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}+a^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}-2\left(\gamma \alpha+\gamma_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +\operatorname{lm}\left\{\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}-2\left(\sim \beta+\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\right)\right\}, \\
= & -l^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)-m^{2}\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)-n^{2}\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -2 m n\left(\beta \gamma_{1}+\beta_{1} \gamma\right)-2 n l\left(\gamma \alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1} \alpha\right)-2 l m\left(\alpha \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta\right), \\
= & -(l \alpha+m \beta+n \gamma)^{2}-\left(l \alpha_{1}+m \beta_{1}+n \gamma_{1}\right)^{2}, \\
= & -K^{2}-K_{1}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus
and therefore

$$
4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)=-4\left(m n f^{2}+n l g^{2}+l m h^{2}\right), \quad-4 \Omega^{2}=\Delta
$$

$$
4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)=\Delta-4\left(m n f^{2}+n l g^{2}+l m h^{2}\right) .
$$

But
and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \S=\quad 4\left(m n f^{2}+n l g^{2}+l m h^{2}\right) \\
& \S=-\Delta+4\left(m n f^{2}+n l g^{2}+l m h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\right)=-(, \\
& 4\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)=-(5,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\frac{K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}}{\left(K^{2}+K_{1}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{-4 \mathscr{E}}{\left(\varsigma^{2}\right.},
$$

and we have

$$
\left(Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{-4 \S}{\mathfrak{๒}^{2}}\left[\left(\Pi+F+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2}-\left\{\Theta+l m n-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right\}^{2}\right] .
$$

20. This should agree with the expression in No. 7, that is, we ought to have $\left(\Pi+F+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)^{2}+\left(\Pi_{1}+F_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2}-\left\{\Theta+l m n-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right\}^{2}=\left(f^{2}-l^{2}\right)\left(g^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(h^{2}-n^{2}\right)$,
and this breaks up into the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Pi+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)+\left(\Pi_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)^{2} & =f^{2} g^{2} h^{2} \\
2 F\left(\Pi+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega\right)+2 F_{1}\left(\Pi_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega\right)-\left\{\Theta-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right\}^{2} & =-g^{2} h^{2} l^{2}-h^{2} f^{2} m^{2}-f^{2} g^{2} n^{2} \\
F^{2}+F_{1}^{2}-2 l m n\left\{\Theta-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right\} & =f^{2} m^{2} n^{2}+g^{2} n^{2} l^{2}+h^{2} l^{2} m^{2} \\
& =-l^{2} m^{2} n^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be separately verified.
21. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega= & (\beta-\gamma)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+(\gamma-\alpha)\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+(\alpha-\beta)\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \alpha_{1}\left\{-(\beta-\gamma) \alpha_{1}-(\gamma-\alpha) \beta_{1}-(\alpha-\beta) \gamma_{1}\right\} \\
= & (\beta-\gamma)\left(\alpha^{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+(\gamma-\alpha)\left\{\beta^{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}+(\alpha-\beta)\left\{\gamma^{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}+\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
= & \alpha^{2}(\beta-\gamma)+\beta^{2}(\gamma-\alpha)+\gamma^{2}(\alpha-\beta)+(\gamma-\alpha)\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}+(\alpha-\beta)\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}, \\
= & -(\beta-\gamma)(\gamma-\alpha)(\alpha-\beta)+(\gamma-\alpha)\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}+(\alpha-\beta)\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

or, putting for shortness

$$
\beta-\gamma, \gamma-\alpha, \alpha-\beta=\lambda, \mu, \nu ; \beta_{1}-\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}=\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \nu_{1},
$$

(where the letters $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \nu_{1}$ have a meaning different from that assigned to them in No. 7), this is
and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega=-\lambda \mu \nu+\mu \nu_{1}{ }^{2}+\nu \mu_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& \Pi_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega=-\lambda_{1} \mu_{1} \nu_{1}+\mu_{1} \nu^{2}+\nu_{1} \mu^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
f^{2}, g^{2}, h^{2}=\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}, \mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}, \nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2},
$$

and the first equation thus becomes

$$
\left(-\lambda \mu \nu+\mu \nu_{1}^{2}+\nu \mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(-\lambda_{1} \mu_{1} \nu_{1}+\mu_{1} \nu^{2}+\nu_{1} \mu^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right),
$$

or if on the left-hand we write $\lambda=-\mu-\nu, \lambda_{1}=-\mu_{1}-\nu_{1}$, this is
which is

$$
\left\{\nu\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}+\left\{\nu_{1}\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}^{2},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+2 \mu \nu+\nu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}+2 \mu_{1} \nu_{1}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is right.
22. For the second equation, we use the values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi+2 \alpha_{1} \Omega=\nu\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \Pi_{1}-2 \alpha \Omega=\nu_{1}\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equation to be verified thus is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 F\left\{\nu\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}= \\
&+ 2 F_{1}\left\{\nu_{1}^{2}\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
&\left.-\left\{\Theta-2\left(K \alpha+\nu_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}\right) \\
&-\left\{\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) \\
&-n^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
F=m n \lambda+(m-n)(l \alpha+m \beta+n \boldsymbol{\gamma})=m n \lambda+(m-n)(-m \nu+n \mu),
$$

that is,

$$
F=-m^{2} \nu-n^{2} \mu ;
$$

and similarly

$$
F_{1}=-m^{2} \nu_{1}-n^{2} \mu_{1} .
$$

Also
$\Theta-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =l\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+m\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)-2\left\{l\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+m\left(\alpha \beta+\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\right)+n\left(\alpha \gamma+\alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}\right)\right\}, \\
& =-l\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+m\left(\beta^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}-2 \alpha \beta-2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\right)+n\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma_{1}^{2}-2 \alpha \gamma-2 \alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}\right), \\
& =m\left\{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}+n\left\{(\gamma-\alpha)^{2}+\left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}, \\
& =m\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The left-hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2\left(m^{2} \nu+n^{2} \mu\right)\left\{\nu\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& -2\left(m^{2} \nu_{1}+n^{2} \mu_{1}\right)\left\{\nu_{1}\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& -\left\{m\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & m^{2}\left\{-2\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)-2\left(\mu \nu+\mu_{1} \nu_{1}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +n^{2}\left\{-2\left(\mu \nu+\mu_{1} \nu_{1}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)-2\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +\left(-l^{2}+m^{2}+n^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right), \\
= & -l^{2}\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -m^{2}\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+2 \mu \nu+\nu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}+2 \mu_{1} \nu_{1}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -n^{2}\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+2 \mu \nu+\nu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}+2 \mu_{1} \nu_{1}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to the right-hand side.
23. For the third equation we have as above

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=-m^{2} \nu-n^{2} \mu, \quad F_{1}=-m^{2} \nu_{1}-n^{2} \mu_{1}, \\
\Theta-2\left(K \alpha+K_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)=m\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and the equation thus is

$$
\left(m^{2} \nu+n^{2} \mu\right)^{2}+\left(m^{2} \nu_{1}+n^{2} \mu_{1}\right)^{2}-2 l m n\left\{m\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right)+n\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

here the left-hand side is

$$
=\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) m^{2} n^{2}+\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right) n^{2} l^{2}+\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) l^{2} m^{2} ;
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(m^{4}-2 l m^{2} n\right)\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(n^{4}-2 l m n^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +m^{2} n^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}-\nu^{2}-\nu_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) m^{2} n^{2} \\
& +\left(\mu^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right) n^{2}\left(n^{2}-2 l m-m^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\nu^{2}+\nu_{1}^{2}\right) m^{2}\left(m^{2}-2 l n-n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to the right-hand side.
24. The fourth equation is the identity $-l^{2} m^{2} n^{2}=-l^{2} m^{2} n^{2}$, and the whole equation is thus verified: viz. the analytical solution leads to the expression

$$
\mathfrak{C}^{2}\left(Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{2}=-4\left\{f^{2}-(b-c)^{2}\right\}\left\{g^{2}-(c-a)^{2}\right\}\left\{h^{2}-(a-b)^{2}\right\} \mathfrak{๕},
$$

obtained by an independent process in No. 7 for the squared distance of the two centres.
C. XIII.

