482.

NOTE ON A PAIR OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN THE LUNAR THEORY.

[From the Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, vol. XXXII. (1871-72), pp. 31-32.]

THE equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d\rho}{dt} - \rho \left(\frac{dv}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} = km^2\rho \left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\cos\left(2v - 2mt\right)\right\},\\ \frac{d}{dt}\rho^2 \frac{dv}{dt} = jm^2\rho^2 \left\{-\frac{3}{2}\sin\left(2v - 2mt\right)\right\},$$

taking therein j = k = 1 in effect present themselves in the Lunar Theory, and particular integrals in series have been obtained, the development being carried to a great extent; but I give the results only as far as m^4 , viz., writing

we have

$$t - mt = D$$
,

$$v = t + (\frac{11}{8}m^2 + \frac{59}{12}m^3 + \frac{893}{72}m^4)\sin 2D + \frac{901}{256}m^4\sin 4D, \frac{1}{\rho} = 1 + \frac{1}{6}m^2 - \frac{179}{288}m^4 + (m^2 + \frac{19}{6}m^3 + \frac{131}{18}m^4)\cos 2D + \frac{7}{8}m^4\cos 4D.$$

In the Lunar Theory j and k are properly each $=\frac{1}{1+\frac{E}{m'}}$ (E the mass of the

Earth, m' that of the Sun), but they are taken to be = 1; the numerical difference is inappreciable; but there would be a considerable theoretical advantage in retaining

536 NOTE ON A PAIR OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN THE LUNAR THEORY. 482

in the equations the coefficients j, k [regarded as each of them =k]: in fact, the developments could then be arranged according to the powers of k, that is according to the powers of the disturbing force; whereas, when k is taken =1, we have only a development in powers of m, and since m also presents itself through the coefficient 2-2m of t in 2v - 2mt, terms which are really of different orders in regard to the disturbing force, are united together into a single term: so that, instead of a term of the form $(Ak + Bk^2 + \&c.) m^p$, where A, B, are numerical, we have the term $(A + B + ...) m^p$, where of course A + B.. is given as a single numerical coefficient. There is no equal advantage in retaining the two coefficients k, j, as this only serves to show how a term arises from the central and tangential forces respectively; thus retaining these coefficients, the integrals as far as m^2 are

$$v = t + (\frac{1}{2}k + \frac{7}{8}j) m^2 \sin 2D,$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = 1 + \frac{1}{6} m^2 k + (\frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{2}j) m^2 \cos 2D,$$

agreeing with the former result when k=j=1; but there is, nevertheless, some interest in retaining the two coefficients. I hope to develope the results somewhat further, and to communicate them to the Society.

the most want with the state of the state of the set of the set of the set

which he is not not they are referrin