## 191.

## NOTE ON THE EXPANSION OF THE TRUE ANOMALY.

[From the Quarterly Mathematical Journal, vol. II. (1858), pp. 229–232.]

IF the true anomaly and the mean anomaly are respectively denoted by u, m, and if e be the eccentricity, then as usual  $u - e \sin u = m$ ; and if we write

$$\lambda = \frac{1 - \sqrt{(1 - e^2)}}{e}$$

and take c to denote the base of the hyperbolic system of logarithms, we have

$$u = m + 2\Sigma_1^\infty A_r \frac{\sin rm}{r} \,,$$

and

$$A_r = \lambda^r c^{-\frac{1}{2}re(\lambda - \lambda^{-1})} + \lambda^{-r} c^{\frac{1}{2}re(\lambda - \lambda^{-1})},$$

where, after expanding the exponentials, the negative powers of  $\lambda$  are to be rejected and the term independent of  $\lambda$  is to be multiplied by  $\frac{1}{2}$  (see *Camb. Math. Journal*, t. I. [1839] p. 228 and t. III. [1843] p. 165, [4]).

It is easily seen that  $e^r$  is the lowest power of e which enters into the value of  $A_r$  and the question arises to find the numerical coefficient of the term in question; this is readily obtained from the formula; in fact considering first a term of the form

$$\lambda^{-r} e^{s} (\lambda - \lambda^{-1})^{s},$$

since  $\lambda$  is itself of the order *e*, when the negative powers of  $\lambda$  are rejected this is at least of the order  $e^s$  and it is consequently to be neglected if s > .. But if s < r all the powers of  $\lambda$  are negative and the term is to be rejected. The only case to be

18 - 2

considered is therefore that of s = r, in which case there is a term containing  $e^r$ . We thus obtain from  $\lambda^{-r} c^{\frac{1}{2}re(\lambda-\lambda^{-1})}$  the term

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{r^r e^r}{2^r \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots r}$$
.

In the next place a term of the form  $\lambda^r e^s (\lambda - \lambda^{-1})^s$  is at least of the order  $e^s$  if s > r, or the terms to be considered are those for which s = or < r. But in such term the only part of the order  $e^r$  is

$$(-)^s \lambda^{r-s} e^s$$
,

or, since neglecting higher powers of e we have  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}e$ , this is

$$(-)^{s} 2^{-r+s} e^{r},$$

and the set of terms arising from

$$\lambda^r c^{-\frac{1}{2}re(\lambda-\lambda-1)}$$

is

$$\frac{e^r}{2^r} \left\{ 1 + \frac{r}{1} + \frac{r^2}{1 \cdot 2} \dots + \frac{r^{r-1}}{1 \cdot 2 \dots (r-1)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r^r}{1 \cdot 2 \dots r} \right\},\,$$

the last term being divided by 2 because arising from a term independent of  $\lambda$ . Hence the first term of  $A_r$  is

$$rac{e^r}{2^r} \left\{ 1 + rac{r}{1} + rac{r^2}{1 \cdot 2} \dots + rac{r^r}{1 \cdot 2 \dots r} 
ight\}$$
 ,

a result which it may be remarked is contained in the general formula given in Hansen's Memoir "Entwickelung des Products u. s. w.," *Leipzig Trans.*, t. II. p. 277 (1853).

The preceding expression is

$$=\frac{e^{r}c^{r}}{2^{r}}\frac{1}{\Gamma(r+1)}\int_{r}^{\infty}x^{r}c^{-x}\,dx,$$

and to find its value when r is large, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{r}^{\infty} x^{r} c^{-x} dx &= \int_{0}^{\infty} (y+r)^{r} e^{-y-r} dy = r^{r} c^{-r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{y}{r}\right)^{r} e^{-y} dy \\ &= r^{r} c^{-r} \int_{0}^{\infty} c^{-y+r \log\left(1 + \frac{y}{r}\right)} dy \\ &= r^{r} c^{-r} \int_{0}^{\infty} c^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2r} + \frac{y^{3}}{3r^{2}} - \&c.} dy \\ &= r^{r} c^{-r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{y^{3}}{3r^{2}} + \dots\right) e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2r}} dy \\ &= r^{r} c^{-r} \sqrt{2r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{r}} z^{3} + \dots\right) e^{-z^{2}} dz, \end{split}$$

www.rcin.org.pl

[191

191]

or neglecting all the terms except the first, this is

$$= r^{r}c^{-r}\sqrt{2r}\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-z^{2}}dz$$
$$= \sqrt{2\pi r} r^{r}c^{-r}.$$

Hence multiplying by  $\frac{1}{2^r} e^r c^r \frac{1}{\Gamma(r+1)}$  and observing that when r is large, we have, by a well-known formula,

$$\Gamma\left(r+1\right) = \sqrt{2\pi r} r^r c^{-r},$$

we obtain finally the result that when r is large the first term of  $A_r$  is approximately

$$=\left(rac{ec}{2}
ight)^r$$
 .

I take the opportunity of mentioning the following somewhat singular theorem, which seems to belong to a more general theory: viz. if  $u - e \sin u = m$ , then we have

$$\log\left(1-e\cos u\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\log\left(1-\alpha e\cos\phi\right),$$

where

$$\phi - \frac{1}{\alpha} \tan \phi = m,$$

provided that the negative powers of  $\alpha$  are rejected, and  $\alpha$  is then put equal to unity.

To show this, we have by Lagrange's theorem, observing that

$$\frac{d}{dm}F(1-e\cos m) = e\sin m F'(1-e\cos m),$$

$$F(1 - e \cos u) = F(1 - e \cos m) + \frac{e^2}{1} \sin^2 m F'(1 - e \cos m) + \frac{e^3}{1 \cdot 2} \frac{d}{dm} \sin^3 m F'(1 - e \cos m) + \&c.,$$

and the coefficient of  $e^r$  in  $F(1 - e \cos u)$  is

$$\frac{(-)^r}{1\cdot 2\dots(r-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} F_r \cos^r m + \frac{r-1}{1} F_{r-1} \cos^{r-2} m \sin^2 m - \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{1\cdot 2} \Big|_{r-2} \frac{d}{dm} (\cos^{r-3} m \sin^3 m) + \&c. \right\},$$

where  $F_r = F^r(1)$ .

www.rcin.org.pl

Hence in particular when  $F_x = \log x$ ,  $F_r = (-)^{r-1} 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (r-1)$  and thence the coefficient of  $e^r$  in  $\log (1 - e \cos u)$  is

$$-\left\{\frac{1}{r}\cos^{r}m-\frac{1}{1}\cos^{r-2}m\sin^{2}m-\frac{1}{1\cdot 2}\frac{d}{dm}(\cos^{r-3}m\sin^{2}m)-\&c.\right\},\$$

continued as long as the exponent of  $\cos m$  is not negative. Now in the expansion of  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \log (1 - \alpha e \cos \phi)$ , where  $\phi - \frac{1}{\alpha} \tan \phi = m$ , the coefficient of  $e^r$  is  $-\frac{1}{r} \alpha^{r-1} \cos^r \phi$ , and this (by Lagrange's theorem) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{r}\,\alpha^{r-1}\left\{\cos^r m - \frac{1}{1\,.\,\alpha}\,r\,\cos^{r-1}m\,\sin\,m\,\tan\,m - \frac{1}{1\,.\,2\,.\,\alpha^2}\,\frac{d}{dm}\,(r\,\cos^{r-1}m\,\sin\,m\,\tan^2m) - \&c.\right\} \\ &= -\left\{\frac{1}{r}\,\alpha^{r-1}\cos^r m - \frac{1}{1}\,\alpha^{r-2}\cos^{r-2}m\,\sin^2 m - \frac{1}{1\,.\,2}\,\alpha^{r-3}\cos^{r-3}m\,\sin^3 m - \&c.\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where the series is continued indefinitely; but if we reject the negative powers of  $\alpha$  and then put  $\alpha$  equal to unity this is precisely equal to the former expression for the coefficient of  $e^r$ , and the formula is thus shown to be true.

2, Stone Buildings, W.C., 17th Nov., 1857.