PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON

# SOCIAL SECURITY REFORMS

Roman Kulikowski Gordon J. MacDonald *Editors* 



Systems Research Institute · Polish Academy of Sciences International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

# SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM

Proceedings of the International Workshop held in Warsaw on 23-24 September 1997

### Roman Kulikowski Gordon MacDonald

Editors

Warsaw 1998

Publication of this volume was made possible due to financial assistance of:

- International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis
- Polish Committee for Cooperation with IIASA
- State Committee for Scientific Research of the Republic of Poland

© Systems Research Institute Polish Academy of Sciences Warsaw, 1998

ISBN 83-85847-14-6

Social Security Reform

## Chapter 2:

## A Way to Formalization

### A new model of asset allocation in risky and risk-free assets<sup>\*</sup>

#### Leszek S. Zaremba

Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences

Our model concerns finding an optimal fraction  $f^*$  of an initial stake S to be invested in risky assets on each single market system, such as Warsaw Stock Exchange, NYSE, etc., which is characterized by a gain of A dollars and a loss of B dollars per each dollar invested during one period (a week, a month, etc.). If the probability of winning equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  then each concrete sequence of n trades (each

scenario "*i*" with *i* gains and (*n*-*i*) losses) occurs with probability  $p_i = \left(\frac{n}{i}\right) \cdot 2^{-n}$ .

Depending on a scenario and fraction f to be invested in risky assets, the initial stake S will increase or decrease to the amount  $S(1 + fA)^i (1 - fB)^{n-i}$  during n trading periods. Knowing the probabilities of occurence of all scenarios, we assume in this model that during  $n \cdot 2^n$  periods each scenario  $ni^n$  will occur proportionally to its probability.

Based on this, one can compute the expected final wealth, EFW(f), after  $n \cdot 2^n$  sessions.

By a performance index to be maximized the money manager understands the average speed of fund growth per *n* periods during  $n \cdot 2^n$  periods, called by Vince (1990, 1995) the terminal wealth relative, *TWR(f)*. It can be shown that

<sup>\*</sup> Intervention at Panel Discussion.

Social Security Reform: A way to formalization

 $TWR(f) = \left[\sqrt{(1+fA)(1-fB)}\right]^n$ , and the optimal fraction  $f^* = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{A}\right]$ . Further,

*TWR(f\*)* depends solely on the ratio  $\frac{A}{B}$  rather than on A and B separately. After having computed optimal fractions for each market system, we determine the optimal fraction to be traded on two uncorrelated systems simultaneously, characterized by the same parameters A, B. Generalizing previous formulas from a single System I to the composite system I-II we obtain a formula for  $TWR^{I-II}(f)$ , to conclude that the optimal fraction  $f^{I-II}$  to be invested on the composite system I-II is the larger root of the equation

$$-f^{2}(A-B)AB + f\left[\frac{3}{4}(A-B)^{2} - AB\right] + (A-B) = 0.$$

It can be shown that  $f^{I-II} > f^*$  which suggests the composite system I-II is more advantageous than any single one it comprises. Summarizing, investing simultaneously on two similar and uncorrelated market systems is more advantageous than on any of the two single ones.

#### References

Vince R. (1990) Portfolio Management Formulas, Wiley. Vince R. (1995) The New Money Management, Wiley.

#### ISBN 83-85847-14-6

Systems Research Institute Polish Academy of Sciences

International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis