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#### Abstract

Recently, in [5] we have examined the solutions of the following distributive functional equation $I\left(x, S_{1}(y, z)\right)=S_{2}(I(x, y), I(x, z))$, when $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are either both strict or nilpotent t-conorms and $I$ is an unknown function. On the other side, in [3] and [4], we have discussed the distributive equation of implications $\mathcal{I}\left(x, \mathcal{T}_{1}(y, z)\right)=\mathcal{T}_{2}(\mathcal{I}(x, y), \mathcal{I}(x, z))$ over t-representable t -norms generated from strict or nilpotent t -norms in interval-valued fuzzy sets theory. In this work we continue these investigations, but for the following distributive functional equation $\mathcal{I}\left(x, \mathcal{S}_{1}(y, z)\right)=\mathcal{S}_{2}(\mathcal{I}(x, y), \mathcal{I}(x, z))$, when $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are t-representable t-conorms generated from either both strict or nilpotent t -conorms and $\mathcal{I}$ is an unknown function.
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## 1 Introduction

Distributivity of fuzzy implications over different fuzzy logic connectives has been studied in the recent past by many authors (see [1], [15], [6], [13], [14], [5],
[2]). These equations have a very important role to play in efficient inferencing in approximate reasoning, especially fuzzy control systems (see [7]).

Recently, in [5] we have examined the solutions of the following distributive functional equation $I\left(x, S_{1}(y, z)\right)=S_{2}(I(x, y), I(x, z))$, when $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are either both strict or nilpotent t -conorms and $I$ is an unknown function. On the other side, in [3] and [4], we have discussed the distributive equation of implications $\mathcal{I}\left(x, \mathcal{T}_{1}(y, z)\right)=\mathcal{T}_{2}(\mathcal{I}(x, y), \mathcal{I}(x, z))$ over t-representable t-norms generated from strict or nilpotent t-norms in interval-valued and intuitionistic fuzzy sets theories. In this work we continue these investigations, but for the following distributive functional equation

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(x, \mathcal{S}_{1}(y, z)\right)=\mathcal{S}_{2}(\mathcal{I}(x, y), \mathcal{I}(x, z))
$$

when $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are t-representable t-conorms generated from either both strict or nilpotent $t$-conorms and $\mathcal{I}$ is an unknown function.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the notion of intuitionistic (by Atanassov) fuzzy sets theory and interval-valued fuzzy sets theory. In [8] it is shown that both theories are equivalent from the mathematical point of view, thus in this article we discuss main results in the language of interval-valued fuzzy sets, but they can be easily transformed to the intuitionistic fuzzy case. Let us define

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{I}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in[0,1]^{2}: x_{1} \leq x_{2}\right\} \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq_{L^{I}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \Longleftrightarrow x_{1} \leq y_{1} \wedge x_{2} \leq y_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the sequel, if $x \in L^{I}$, then we denote it by $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. One can easily observe that $\mathcal{L}^{I}=\left(L^{I}, \leq_{L^{I}}\right)$ is a complete lattice with units $0_{\mathcal{L}^{I}}=[0,0]$ and $1_{\mathcal{L}^{I}}=[1,1]$. An interval-valued fuzzy set on $X$ is a mapping $A: X \rightarrow L^{I}$.

## 2 Basic fuzzy connectives

We assume that the reader is familiar with the classical results concerning basic fuzzy logic connectives, but we briefly mention some of the results employed in the rest of the work.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left(L, \leq_{L}\right)$ be a complete lattice. An associative, commutative operation $\mathcal{S}: L^{2} \rightarrow L$ is called a t-conorm if it is increasing and $0_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the neutral element of $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2. A t-conorm $S$ on $([0,1], \leq)$ is said to be
(i) strict, if $S$ is continuous and strictly monotone, i.e., $S(x, y)<S(x, z)$ whenever $x<1$ and $y<z$,
(ii) nilpotent, if $S$ is continuous and if for each $x \in(0,1)$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{S}^{[n]}=1$, where $x_{S}^{[n]}:= \begin{cases}x, & \text { if } n=1, \\ S\left(x, x_{S}^{[n-1]}\right), & \text { if } n>1 .\end{cases}$

The following characterizations of strict (nilpotent) t-conorms are well-known in the literature.

Theorem 1 ([12]). A function $S:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a strict $t$-conorm if and only if there exists a continuous, strictly increasing function $s:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ with $s(0)=0$ and $s(1)=\infty$, which is uniquely determined up to a positive multiplicative constant, such that

$$
S(x, y)=s^{-1}(s(x)+s(y)), \quad x, y \in[0,1]
$$

Theorem 2 ([12]). A function $S:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a nilpotent $t$-conorm if and only if there exists a continuous, strictly decreasing function $s:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $s(0)=0$ and $s(1)<\infty$, which is uniquely determined up to a positive multiplicative constant, such that

$$
S(x, y)=s^{-1}(\min (s(x)+s(y), s(1))), \quad x, y \in[0,1]
$$

In our article we shall consider the following special class of $t$-conorms.
Definition 3 (see [9]). A t-conorm $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ is called t-representable if there exist t-conorms $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ on $([0,1], \leq)$ such that $S_{1} \leq S_{2}$ and

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)=\left[S_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), S_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right], \quad\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \in L^{I} .
$$

It should be noted that not all t-conorms on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ are t-representable (see [9]).
One possible definition of an implication on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ is based on the well-accepted notation introduced by Fodor and Roubens [11] (see also [10]).

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left(L, \leq_{L}\right)$ be a complete lattice. A function $\mathcal{I}: L^{2} \rightarrow L$ is called a fuzzy implication on $\mathcal{L}$ if it is decreasing with respect to the first variable, increasing with respect to the second variable and fulfills the following conditions: $\mathcal{I}\left(0_{\mathcal{L}}, 0_{\mathcal{L}}\right)=\mathcal{I}\left(1_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\right)=\mathcal{I}\left(0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\right)=1_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{I}\left(1_{\mathcal{L}}, 0_{\mathcal{L}}\right)=0_{\mathcal{L}}$.

## 3 Some results pertaining to functional equations

In this section we show two results related to functional equations, which are crucial in presenting main results.

Proposition 1. Let $L_{\infty}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in[0, \infty]^{2}: u_{1} \leq u_{2}\right\}$. For a function $f: L^{\infty} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}+v_{1}, u_{2}+v_{2}\right)=f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+f\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \quad\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in L_{\infty} . \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Either

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=0, \tag{SA1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\infty \tag{SA2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{1}=0,  \tag{SA3}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}>0,\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{1}<\infty  \tag{SA4}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{2}=0  \tag{SA5}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{2}>0\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{1}=u_{2}<\infty  \tag{SA6}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}=\infty \text { or } u_{1}<u_{2}\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{1}=0 \text { and } u_{2}<\infty  \tag{SA7}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}>0 \text { or } u_{2}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{2}<\infty  \tag{SA8}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{2}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

or there exists unique $c \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=c u_{1}, \tag{SA9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}c u_{2}, & \text { if } u_{1}=u_{2},  \tag{SA10}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}<u_{2},\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}c u_{1}, & \text { if } u_{2}<\infty  \tag{SA11}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{2}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}c u_{2}, & \text { if } u_{1}=0  \tag{SA12}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}>0\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}c\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right), & \text { if } u_{1}<\infty  \tag{SA13}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=c u_{2}, \tag{SA14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or there exist unique $c_{1}, c_{2} \in(0, \infty), c_{1} \neq c_{2}$ such that

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}c_{1}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+c_{2} u_{1}, & \text { if } u_{1}<\infty  \tag{SA15}\\ \infty, & \text { if } u_{1}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in L_{\infty}$.
Proof. It is enough to define function $g\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):=f\left(u_{2}, u_{1}\right)$ and use the solutions described in [3, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 2. Fix real $a, b>0$. Let $L_{a}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in[0, a]^{2}: u_{1} \leq u_{2}\right\}$. For a function $f: L_{a} \rightarrow[0, b]$ the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\min \left(u_{1}+v_{1}, a\right), \min \left(u_{2}+v_{2}, a\right)\right)=\min \left(f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+f\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), b\right) \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in L_{a}$.
(ii) Either

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=0 \tag{SB1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=b \tag{SB2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{1}=0  \tag{SB3}\\ b, & \text { if } u_{1}>0\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } u_{2}=0  \tag{SB4}\\ b, & \text { if } u_{2}>0\end{cases}
$$

or there exists unique $c \in\left[\frac{b}{a}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\min \left(c u_{1}, b\right) \tag{SB5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\min \left(c u_{2}, b\right), & \text { if } u_{1}=u_{2},  \tag{SB6}\\ b, & \text { if } u_{1}<u_{2}\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\min \left(c u_{2}, b\right), & \text { if } u_{1}=0  \tag{SB7}\\ b, & \text { if } u_{1}>0\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\min \left(c u_{2}, b\right) \tag{SB8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or there exist unique $c_{1}, c_{2} \in\left[\frac{b}{a}, \infty\right), c_{1} \neq c_{2}$ such that

$$
f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\min \left(c_{1}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+c_{2} u_{1}, b\right), & \text { if } u_{1}<a  \tag{SB9}\\ b, & \text { if } u_{1}=a\end{cases}
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in L_{a}$.
Proof. It is enough to define function $g\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):=f\left(u_{2}, u_{1}\right)$ and use the solutions described in [4, Proposition 3.2].

## 4 Distributive equation for $t$-representable t-conorms

In this section we will show how we can use solutions presented in the previous section to obtain all solutions, in particular fuzzy implications, of our main distributive equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}\left(x, \mathcal{S}_{1}(y, z)\right)=\mathcal{S}_{2}(\mathcal{I}(x, y), \mathcal{I}(x, z)), \quad x, y, z \in L^{I} \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is an unknown function and the t-conorms $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{2}$ on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ are t-representable and generated from both strict or nilpotent t -conorms.

Assume that projection mappings on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ are defined as the following:

$$
p r_{1}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right)=x_{1}, \quad \operatorname{pr}_{2}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right)=x_{2}, \quad \text { for }\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \in L^{I}
$$

One can easily see that if $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{2}$ on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ are t-representable and generated from $S_{1}$, $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}, S_{4}$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[S_{1}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right), S_{2}\left(y_{2}, z_{2}\right)\right]\right)=S_{3}\left(g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right), g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]\right)\right), \\
& g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{2}\left(\left[S_{1}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right), S_{2}\left(y_{2}, z_{2}\right)\right]\right)=S_{4}\left(g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{2}\left(\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right), g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{2}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \in L^{I}$ is arbitrarily fixed and functions $g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}, g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{2}: L^{I} \rightarrow L^{I}$ are defined by

$$
g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}(\cdot):=p r_{1} \circ \mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \cdot\right), \quad g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{2}(\cdot):=p r_{2} \circ \mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \cdot\right) .
$$

Let us assume firstly that $S_{1}=S_{2}=T$ is a strict t -conorm. Using the representation theorem of strict $t$-conorms (Theorem 1) we can transform our problem to the following equation (for a simplicity we deal only with $g^{1}$ now):

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1} & \left(\left[s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{1}\right)+s\left(z_{1}\right)\right), s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{2}\right)+s\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right) \\
& =s^{-1}\left(s\left(g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)\right)+s\left(g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \circ g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{1}\right)+s\left(z_{1}\right)\right)\right.\right. & \left.\left., s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{2}\right)+s\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right) \\
& =s \circ g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)+s \circ g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \circ g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{1}\right)+s\left(z_{1}\right)\right), s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{2}\right)+s\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right) \\
& \quad=s \circ g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{1}\right)\right), s^{-1}\left(s\left(y_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right) \\
& \quad+s \circ g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[s^{-1}\left(s\left(z_{1}\right)\right), s^{-1}\left(s\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us put $s\left(y_{1}\right)=u_{1}, s\left(y_{2}\right)=u_{2}, s\left(z_{1}\right)=v_{1}$ and $s\left(z_{2}\right)=v_{2}$. Of course $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in[0, \infty]$. Moreover $\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right],\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right] \in L^{I}$, thus $y_{1} \leq y_{2}$ and $z_{1} \leq$ $z_{2}$. The generator $s$ is strictly increasing, so $u_{1} \leq u_{2}$ and $v_{1} \leq v_{2}$. If we put

$$
f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}(a, b):=s \circ p r_{1} \circ \mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[s^{-1}(a), s^{-1}(b)\right]\right),
$$

for $a, b \in[0, \infty]$ and $a \leq b$, then we get the following functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(u_{1}+v_{1}, u_{2}+v_{2}\right)=f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in L_{\infty}$. In a same way we can repeat all the above calculations but for the function $g^{2}$, to obtain the following functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(u_{1}+v_{1}, u_{2}+v_{2}\right)=f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}(a, b):=s \circ p r_{2} \circ \mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[s^{-1}(a), s^{-1}(b)\right]\right) .
$$

Observe that (1) and (2) are exactly our functional equation (A). Therefore, using solutions of Proposition 1, we are able to obtain the description of the vertical section $\mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \cdot\right)$ for a fixed $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \in L^{I}$. Since in this proposition we have 15 possible solutions, we should have 225 different solutions of (D). Observe now that some of these solutions are not good, since the range of $\mathcal{I}$ is $L^{I}$.

Let us assume now that $S_{1}=S_{2}$ and $S_{3}=S_{4}$ are nilpotent t-conorms generated from additive generators $s_{1}$ and $s_{3}$, respectively. Using the representation theorem of nilpotent t -conorms (Theorem 2) we can transform our problem to the following equation (for a simplicity we deal only with $g^{1}$ now):

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1} & \left(\left[s_{1}^{-1}\left(\min \left(s_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)+s_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), s_{1}(1)\right)\right), s_{1}^{-1}\left(\min \left(s_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)+s_{1}\left(z_{2}\right), s_{1}(1)\right)\right)\right]\right) \\
& =s_{3}^{-1}\left(\min \left(s_{3}\left(g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)\right)+s_{3}\left(g_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}^{1}\left(\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]\right)\right), s_{3}(1)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly as earlier let us put $s_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=u_{1}, s_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=u_{2}, s_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=v_{1}$ and $s_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)=v_{2}$. Of course $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in\left[0, s_{1}(1)\right]$. Moreover $\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right],\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right] \in$ $L^{I}$, thus $y_{1} \leq y_{2}$ and $z_{1} \leq z_{2}$. The generator $s_{1}$ is strictly increasing, so $u_{1} \leq u_{2}$ and $v_{1} \leq v_{2}$. If we put

$$
f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}(a, b):=s_{3} \circ p r_{1} \circ \mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[s_{1}^{-1}(a), s_{1}^{-1}(b)\right]\right)
$$

for $a, b \in\left[0, s_{1}(1)\right]$ such that $a \leq b$, then we get the following functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(\min \left(u_{1}+v_{1}, s_{1}(1)\right), \min \left(u_{2}+v_{2}, s_{1}(1)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\min \left(f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), s_{3}(1)\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in L_{s_{1}(1)}$ and $f_{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}: L_{s_{1}(1)} \rightarrow\left[0, s_{3}(1)\right]$ is an unknown function. In a same way we can repeat all the above calculations, but for the function $g^{2}$, to obtain the following functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(\min \left(u_{1}+v_{1}, s_{1}(1)\right), \min \left(u_{2}+v_{2}, s_{1}(1)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\min \left(f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), s_{3}(1)\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f^{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]}(a, b):=s_{3} \circ p r_{2} \circ \mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[s_{1}^{-1}(a), s_{1}^{-1}(b)\right]\right)
$$

Observe that (3) and (4) are exactly our functional equation (B). Therefore, using solutions of Proposition 2, we are able to obtain the description of the vertical section $\mathcal{I}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \cdot\right)$ for a fixed $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \in L^{I}$. Since in this proposition we have 9
possible solutions, we should have 81 different solutions of (D). Observe now that some of these solutions are not good, since the range of $\mathcal{I}$ is $L^{I}$.

Finally, we need to notice that not all obtained vertical solutions in $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ in both cases can be used for obtaining fuzzy implications on $\mathcal{L}^{I}$ in the sense of Definition 4. We will investigate this problem in our future works.
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