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#### Abstract

Morphological comparisons among three allopatric representatives of the Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides-group - Mesocyclops parentium sp. nov. (southern India, Sri Lanka), Mesocyclops woutersi Van de Velde, 1987 (New-Guinea-Indochina-Ryukyu Is.) and Mesocyelops dissimilis Defaye et Kawabata, 1993 (Honshu, Kyushu Is.) - revealed a very slight degree of divergence, as compared to that of sympatric species of the group. Clear-cut differences in some characters (presence/absence of spinules at base of antero- and posterolateral furcal setae, hair rows on dorsum of pediger 5) do nevertheless indicate genetic discontinuity among the species. The separation of M. dissimilis is also expressed in quantitative traits, some of them (increase of relative length of the apical exopod and baseoendopod setae of leg 5, the dorsal furcal setae and third endopodal segment of leg 4) being very probably adaptations for pelagic life. Mesocyclops guangxiensis Reid et Kay, 1992 is synonymyzed with M. woutersi Van de Velde, 1987, syn. nov.

Parsimony, zoogeographical and ecological considerations leave two hypotheses of relationships within the trio likely: either the ancestor of the group was M. parentium-like; or the ancestor was different from all three.
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## Introduction

All the species (ca. 60) of the genus Mesocyclops Sars, 1918, as generally species of the subfamily Cyclopinae that they belong to, live in inland waters. Their ability to enter dormancy has been already observed in M. leuckarti (Claus, 1857) (Fryer and Smyly 1954), M. edax (Forbes,1890) (Dobrzykowski and Wyngaard 1993), M. dissimilis Defaye et Kawabata, 1993 [referred to by Kawabata (1989) as M. thermocyclopoides Harada, 1931], M. aspericornis (Daday, 1906), M. australiensis (Sars, 1908), M. darwini Dussart et Fernando, 1988, and M. guangxiensis Reid et Kay, 1992 (Zhen et al. 1994). These well-studied species are the focus of either limnological studies, or mosquito control research, so we can assume with rather great certainty, that dormancy also occurs in the other, poorly known representatives of the genus. No exact data on salinity tolerance of different Mesocyclops species are known to me, but the types of biotopes from where they have been recorded show that a good few do tolerate oligohaline (M. brasilianus Kiefer, 1933, M. meridianus (Kiefer, 1926), M. longisetus longisetus (Thiébaud, 1914), M. ellipticus Kiefer, 1936 - Reid 1985;M. dissimilis - Ishida (in litt.); M. chaci Fiers, 1996, M. yut-
sil Reid, 1996 - Fiers et al. 1996), or even saline waters (M. ogntnus Onabamiro, 1957, M. salitus Onabamiro, 1957 - Van de Velde, 1984). This broad salinity tolerance and the capability to enter diapause can promote long-distance dispersal through, or even between, continents.

Mesocyclops woutersi Van de Velde 1987, M. guangxiensis, M. dissimilis and a new species from Sri Lanka and southern India, whose relationships and speciation are discussed below, belong to the speciose ( 18 spp .) thermocy-clopoides-group (Holynski and Fiers 1994, Holynska in press). The complex, confined mainly to Afro-Asia, has three representatives in Australia (M. notius Kiefer, 1981, M. australiensis, and M. brooksi Pesce et al., 1996), probably one - of still unsettled taxonomic position, referred to by Collado et al. (1984) as M. thermocyclopoides - in Central America, and one pantropical species (M. aspericornis).

Since the original description of $M$. woutersi from the North-coast of Papua New Guinea, no more record of this species appeared in the literature. Reid and Kay (1992) described M. guangxiensis from samples collected in China (Nanning), North Vietnam (Hanoi), and Laos (Vientiane). Rather far from these localities, Ishida (in litt.) found the species in Japan, in the Ryukyu (Iriomote, Ishigaki Is.) and Honshu Islands. In 1989 Kawabata

Table 1. Material examined. (For taxonomic status of M. guangxiensis see text.)

|  | Coordinates | Coll. date | Biotope | Specimens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. woutersi holotype |  |  |  |  |
| Papua New Guinea Madang Pr., Warawaranga paratypes | $04^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-144^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 02 Jun 1982 | coral gravel pit | 1 (KBIN, 26528/A 3291) |
| Papua New Guinea Madang Pr. Warawaranga non-types | 04* $14{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-144^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 02 Jun 1982 | coral gravel pit | 2 (KBIN, 26528/B-C 3291) |
| Papua New Guinea Madang Pr., 'Jp. airstrip' | $04^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-145^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 04 Mar 1989 | pond | 7 (MH) |
| M. guangxiensis paratypes |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { China } \\ & \text { Guangxi Pr., Nanning } \\ & \text { non-types } \end{aligned}$ | $22^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-108^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 25 Nov 1990 | culture | 9 (USNM, 251622) |
| Laos Vientiane, Sisavath | $18^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-102^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 03 Jun 1993 | - | 14 (USNM, 259637) |
| Vietnam |  |  |  |  |
| Ha Bac Pr., Phu Hoa | $21^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-106^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 02 Nov 1994 | concrete tank | 2 (USNM, 271912) |
| Hai Hung Pr. | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-106^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 12 Sep 1994 | concrete tank | 2 (USNM, 271917) |
| Hai Hung Pr., Di Su | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-106^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 23 Jun 1994 | concrete tank | 2(USNM, 271920) |
| Hai Hung Pr., Di Su | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-106^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 23 Jun 1994 | pond | 2 (USNM, 271923) |
| Hanoi | $21^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-105^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 25 Jun 1994 | lake | 4 (USNM, 271919) |
| Japan, Ryukyu Is. |  |  |  |  |
| Ishigaki | $24^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 12 May 1996 | - | 11 (T) |
| Ishigaki., Nakura | $24^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 12 May 1996 | small stream | 2 (TI) |
| Iriomote | $24^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-123^{\circ} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 13 May 1996 | ditch in ricefield | 34 (TI) |
| Iriomote, 0-hara | $24^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-123^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 13 Jan 1989 | small pond | 1 (T) |
| M. dissimilis holotype |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Biwa | $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-135^{\circ} 53{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 11 Jul 1988 | pelagic, S-Basin | 1 (MNHN,Cp. 941) |
| paratype |  |  |  |  |
| Japan, Honshu Lake Biwa | $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-135^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 11 Jui 1988 | pelagic, S-Basin | 1 (MNHN, Cp. 943) |
| non-types |  |  |  |  |
| Japan, Honshu |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Biwa | $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-135^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 11 Jul 1988 | pelagic, S-Basin | 4 (MNHN) |
| Lake Biwa | $35^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-136^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 27 Jul 1995 | pelagic, N-Basin | 10 (MilZ) |
| Lake Biwa | $35^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-135^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 22 May 1988 | littoral, S-Basin | 9 (TI) |
| Japan, Honshu |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Kitaura | $35^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-140^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 28 Oct 1987 | littoral | 1 (TI) |
| M. parentium sp. nov. |  |  |  |  |
| Holotype |  |  |  |  |
| Chalakudy | $10^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-76^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 02 Sep 1992 | marsh | 1 (MilZ) |
| Paratypes |  |  |  |  |
| India, Kerala |  |  |  |  |
| Chalakudy | $10^{\circ} 18 \mathrm{~N}-76^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 02 Sep 1992 | marsh | 2 (MilZ, MH) |
| Central | -* | 08 Mar 1896 | marsh | 3 (HNHM, MH) |

Abbrevations used: KBIN - Koninklijk Belgisch Insituut voor Natuurwetenschappen, Brussels, Belgium; MH - Author's collection deposited in Museum i Instytut Zoologii PAN, Warsaw, Poland; TI - collection of Teruo Ishida, Irifunecho, Hokkaido, Japan; USNM - National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, U. S. A.; MNHN Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; MilZ - Muzeum i Instytut Zoologii PAN, Warsaw, Poland; HNHM Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum, Budapest, Hungary: 'Jp airstrip' - Japanese airstrip; Pr: -province; Coll. date date of collection
$\because$ Precise locality data are not available.
changed the taxonomic position of the Mesocyclops living in Lake Biwa (Japan, Honshu) and mentioned in previous limnological literature as M. lenckarti, to M. thermocyclopoides Harada, 1931. Having compared this animal with topotypes of M. thermocyclopoides, however, Defaye and Kawabata (1993) came to the conclusion that the Mesocyclops in question actually represents a new species, and named it M. dissimilis. In a vial of the Collectio Dadayana (Hungarian Natural History Museum) labelled as "Mesocyclops leuckarti" from Sri Lanka, and in a sample sent by Dr. Sunny George from southern India I found a species, which showing minute but clear-cut differences from M. woutersi is considered as new, and is described here.

Comparison of the morphological features, completed with simple analysis of some morphometric characteristics, and evaluation of the zoogeographical distribution patterns and habitat preference data provide an opportunity to formulate a hypothesis of speciation of the three Mesocyclops species mentioned above.

## Materials and Methods

The material examined is shown in Table 1.
Only adult females have been used in the morphological comparisons. All the observations and measurements, with exception of preparata of $M$. woutersi from Ishigaki Island, mounted in gum chloral, were made on specimens in glycerine. Drawings were done by camera lucida attached to a Wild M 20 microscope. Measurements were taken following the method of Koźmiński (1933), however the length of the pediger 5 was not taken into consideration in either the body or urosome length. The width of the third endopodal segment of the leg 4 was measured across its widest part. All the linear dimensions were measured with an accuracy of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ except for the length of the body, urosome, and inner terminal furcal seta, where an accuracy of $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ was used.

I made pair-wise comparisons of six traditionally used body ratios and the body length between $M$. dissimilis, M. woutersi, and $M$. parentium. The sample of $M$. dissimilis was divided into two subsamples, one including specimens collected from the shore, the other from the pelagic region. Specimens belonging to the pelagic group and found in the more shallow, southern basin of Lake Biwa, were collected ca. 500 m from the nearest (western) shore in 2 meters deep water, where the bottom is usually covered with rooted, submerged macrophytes, but in the upper open water planktonic organisms are present (Kawabata in litt.). In the northern basin of L. Biwa the collecting site was 3 km from the western shore, and 75 m deep (Kawabata in litt.).

In the graphic representation (Dice-Leraas diagrams) (Fig. 25), the mean, range and confidence intervals ( $\pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ) of those linear dimensions from which the particular body ratio is derived are shown. If these intervals do not overlap in two samples, we can assume that the differ-
ence between the means is significant. This method makes rapid recognition of significant changes in body parameters possible, and also shows the component of the body ratio, the shift of which is actually responsible for the change in proportions. The method has however its own limitations, when the sizes and/or standard errors of the samples are too different. Therefore a t -test has been used as well to compare the means of the ratios. The $t$ formula adapted for comparison of small samples (Simpson and Roe, 1939) is as follows:

$$
\mathrm{t}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}-\mathrm{M}_{2}\right) \sqrt{\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left(\mathrm{N}_{1} \sigma_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}-2\right)}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}
$$

in which $M_{1}, N_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ are respectively the mean, total frequeney, and standard deviation for one sample and $\mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{~N} 2$, and $\sigma_{2}$ are the same for the other sample, df is degree of freedom. Basic statistical data are shown in Appendix 1-4.

Abbreviations used in the text: enp=endopod; s=seta; $\mathrm{sp}=$ spine; $a \mathrm{e}=$ aesthetas .

Mesocyclops parentium sp. nov.
Mesocyclops woutersi: Holynski 1994: 58-63, Figs 30. A-C,E, 31. A,C,
32. A,C.F
Types. Holotype (\$) India, Kerala, Chalakudy, $10^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-76^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, in marsh. Leg. Dr. Sunny George, 02 September 1992. Two paratypes (\%) of same origin as holotype, three paratypes (\%) from Sri Lanka, found together . with M. ogumnus, M. if. pelipeiensis, M. splendidus Lindberg, 1943 and M. isabellae Dussart et Fernando 1988 in a vial (No: III-253) deposited in "Collectio Dadayana", Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest. From the note "Cyclops leuckarti 1070 1896. Ceylon. Madarász" on the original label of the vial I infer that the collection data of "Cyclops leuckarti" collected by J. Madarász in Sri Lanka 1896 published in Daday's paper (1898), concern these paratypes. Daday mentioned swamp areas at Madatugama, Kalawewa (nearby localities in central Sri Lanka, coordinates: $7^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-80^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and the River Mahaweli as collecting sites of "Cyclops leuckarti". All types are dissected and mounted on two slides each: the first one contains A1-P4, the second P5-abdomen. Holotype and one paratype (Sri Lanka) are deposited in the Museum and Institute of Zoology PAS, Warsaw. Two paratypes (one from India and one from Sri Lanka) each are in the Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest and in the author's collection (deposited in the Museum and Institute of Zoology, PAS, Warsaw). No male found.

## Description of the holotype (female)

Length of the body $=1050 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; prosome/urosome $=1.70$; cephalothorax length/width $=1.20$

Antennula. 17-segmented, last two segments with hyaline membrane. Hyaline membrane of segment 17 with one large notch (Fig. 1). Articles 1, 4, 5, 7-13 anteriorly adorned with spinule rows, segments $1,4,5$ with scattered


Figures 1-9. Mesocyclops parentium sp. nov., female. 1-3,5-9 Holotype; 4. Paratype (South-India). (1) last antennulary segment; (2) antennary basis - caudal; (3) antennary basis - frontal; (4) leg 1 coxa, basis - caudal; (5) leg 4 coxa, basis - caudal; (6) pediger 5 , genital double somite - ventral; (7) copulatory pore, copulatory and transverse ducts; (8) leg 4 enp3; (9) genital double somite - dorsal. Scales: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figures 10-14. Mesocyclops parentium sp. nov., female. 10-13 Holotype; 14 Paratype (Sri Lanka). (10) maxilliped - frontal; (11) maxillula - frontal; (12) mandibula - caudal; (13) maxilla - frontal; (14) labrum, epistoma, rostrum - ventral. Scales: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
shallow pits on posterior surface. Armature formula as $M$. leuckarti and M. thermocyclopoides: $8 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{~s}+1 \mathrm{sp}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~s}, 0,1 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~s}+1 \mathrm{ae}, 1 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}+1 \mathrm{ae}$, $7 \mathrm{~s}+1 \mathrm{ae}$.

Antenna. Coxa, basis, and three-segmented endopod with $0,3,1,7,7$ setae respectively.

Basis, caudal spinule pattern (Fig. 2): "Leuckarti-type" spinule pattern, which includes groups a, b, c, d and e supplemented with two fields of spinules (groups $f$ and $g$ ) next to implantation of inner setae and near distal rim of segment; 16 spinules of distally increasing size (largest/smallest=2) in group d.

Basis, frontal spinule pattern (Fig. 3): 30 spinules in longitudinal row on outer rim (group u); no spinule group next to implantation of exopod seta; transverse row of tiny spinules near base of segment (group w)

Labrum. (Fig. 14) On external surface, scattered and transverse row of hairs above the moustache-like hair row overhanging the toothed distal rim. Epistoma and the vertical cleft separating epistoma from rostrum also hairy.

Mandibula. (Fig. 12) Gnathobase with strongly chitinized teeth. One-segmented palp with two long and one short setae. Near the palp three groups of spinules.Those forming transverse row next to praecoxal-coxal boundary conspicuously larger than others.

Maxillula. (Fig. 11) Praecoxal arthrite with three distal claws, at their base one spiniform seta on ventral surface. Two medium-sized, three small spiniform setae, and one large setulose seta on inner rim, and one small spine at base of praecoxal arthrite. Palp with one spine and two setae apically, one outer seta proximally, and three setae on outer lobe. No spinules on palp.
Maxilla. (Fig. 13) Syncoxa, basis and one-segmented endopod. Praecoxa bears one endite with two setae (not shown on the drawing), coxa has one endite with one seta at distal third, and one distal endite with one strong spiniform and one slender setae, ca. half as long as spiniform seta. Basis with two setae, shorter seta placed caudally, longer seta inserted in front of the claw-like basal endite, which is armed with strong teeth. One-segmented endopod with five setae. Coxa with longitudinal spinule row on frontal surface.

Table 2. Armature of leg 1-4 of Mesocyclops parentium sp . nov. (Spines are denoted by Roman, setae by Arabic numerals. The armature on the outer margin of any segment is given first, followed by the elements on the apical and inner margins.)

|  | Coxa | Basis | Exopod | Endopod |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leg 1 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\|-1 ;\|-1 ;\|-\| I, 1-2$ | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1-1,1-3$ |
| Leg 2 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\|-1 ;\|-1 ;\|-1,1-3$ | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1-1,1-3$ |
| Leg 3 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\|-1 ;\|-1 ;\|-1\|, 1-3$ | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1-1,1-3$ |
| Leg 4 | $0-1$ | $1-0$ | $\|-1 ;\|-1 ;\|-1\|, 1-3$ | $0-1 ; 0-2 ; 1-\mid-2$ |

Maxilliped. (Fig. 10.) Syncoxa, basis and two-segmented endopod. Syncoxa with three setae. Basis with one long inner seta at distal third and one spiniform seta displaced to frontal surface. Long spinules on medial margin and frontal surface below insertion of the basal setae, and two groups of scale-like spinules caudally and on outer margin. Enp 1 bearing one stout seta and few spinules on frontal surface. Enp2 with three setae of medially increasing length.

Leg 1-4. (Figs 4, 5, 8) Spine and seta formula as in M. leuckarti and M. thermocyclopoides (Table 2).

Lateral rim of leg 1-4 coxa with hairs. Intercoxal sclerites of all swimming legs naked on both frontal and caudal surface. Leg 1 basis frontally adorned with small spinules in semicircular arch.

Apical hairs on medial expansion of basis present in leg $1-4$, supplemental caudal group of hairs in leg 4. Two small acute outgrowths on distal rim of intercoxal sclerite of leg 4. Coxal seta conspicuously ( 1.4 x ) longer than height of medial expansion of leg 4.

Caudal ornamentation of leg 4 coxa: intermittent group of spinules $(3+5)$ near distal rim; oblique row of long spinules (9) at outer distal angle; dense group of hairs on and next to lateral rim; conspicuously large spinules in outer half of spinule row near proximal rim.

Leg 4 enp3 (Fig. 8): length/width=2.50; inner apical spine/outer apical spine $=1.10$; inner apical spine/length of enp3 $=0.86$; outer edge of inner apical spine with few (4) teeth in proximal half.

Leg 5. (Fig. 6) Segmentation and setation typical of the genus. Length of medial and apical exopod setae and baseoendopod seta $86 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 83 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and $59 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ respectively.

Leg 6. (Fig. 9) Long medial seta and two short spines of about equal length.

Pediger 5. (Figs 6, 15) Lateral hairs continue as regular hair rows on dorsum. Two sensilla medially and two on outer distal angle on dorsal surface.

Genital double somite (Figs 6, 9) Dorsum naked, only six sensilla present. Ventrally two sensilla at distal fourth.

Receptaculum seminis (Figs 6, 7): Lateral arms short, weakly curved posteriorly; anterior margin of proximal part concave in the middle; one circular pore posterior to horseshoe-shaped copulatory pore; transverse ducts form acute angle before their connection with strongly curved copulatory duct; part of copulatory duct connecting copulatory pore and transverse ducts ('joint canal') seems to be short (the virtual length depends on the angle between the duct and the plane of observation), but recognizable.

Anal segment. (Figs 18, 19) Spinules on distal margin present ventrally and dorsally, absent laterally.

Furca. (Figs 18, 19) Length/width $=3.10$; dorsal seta/posterolateral seta $=0.73$; length of terminal setae from innermost to outermost: $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 440 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 310 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; inner terminal seta/urosome $=1.20$. Spinules at implantation of posterolateral furcal setae only. No hairs on furca.


## Variability

The variability in body ratios is shown in Table 3.
Table 3. Morphometrical variability of Mesocyclops parentium sp. nov. (Characteristics compared in the interspecific morphometric analysis are not shown here. In parentheses the numbers of specimens measured).

|  | Range | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cephthx 1/w (5): | 1.20-1.23 | 1.21 |
| Gen dos 1/w (6): | 1.17-1.30 | 1.27 |
| Pros/Uros (6): | 1.63-2.00 | 1.81 |
| P4 enp3 |  |  |
| ap $s p$, in/out (6): | 1.05-1.17 | 1.10 |
| in ap sp/ enp3 I (6): | 0.81-0.94 | 0.88 |
| Furcal s |  |  |
| ter acces (5): | 200-265 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $232 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| out ter (4): | 310-390 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Ant lat s/furca I (3): | 0.43-0.64 | 0.53 |

Abbreviations: cephthx $=$ cephalothorax, $1=$ length, $\mathrm{w}=$ width, gen dos=genital double somite, pros=prosome, uros=urosome, P4=leg 4, enp3=third segment of endopod, ap=apical, $\mathrm{sp}=\mathrm{spine}, \mathrm{in}=\mathrm{inner}$, out $=$ outer, $\mathrm{s}=$ seta, ter=terminal, acces=accessory, ant $=$ antero, lat $=$ lateral.

In specimens from Sri Lanka the circular pits on the anterior surface of the antennula are confined to the first segment or are absent. No variability in spinule pattern of the antennary basis has been observed, only the number of spinules in certain groups changes, e. g. there are 13-16 and 25-31 spinules in group $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, respectively (Figs 2, 3). In 5 of 6 specimens, the spinule ornamentation on the posterior surface of the leg 4 coxa is the same, only the number of spinules varies, e.g. there are $4-8$ spinules in the row parallel to the distal rim. In one animal from Sri Lanka, the basic pattern is supplemented with a group of hairs near the medial rim and an oblique hair row starting from the inner distal angle on coxal plate of the right-hand side. On the outer edge of inner apical spine of leg 4 enp 3 there are 1-8 teeth. In one specimen from Sri Lanka the distal rim of the anal segment is ornamented with spinules laterally as well as ventrally and dorsally.

## Diagnosis

Mesocyclops parentium sp. nov. can be distinguished from other congeners by the following combination of characters: no spine on medial expansion of the leg 1 basis; intercoxal sclerite of leg 4 naked, outgrowths small; lateral hairs of pediger 5 continue dorsally as regular hair rows; receptaculum seminis with short and wide lateral arms, transverse ducts directed at acute angle to one another before the connection with strongly curved copulatory duct; proximal and distal group of hairs on medial expansion of leg 4 basis, spinule ornamentation of the antennary basis and leg 4 coxa, furca without hairs, spinules at implantation of posterolateral furcal setae only.

## Relationships

Mesocyclops parentium belongs to the thermocy-lopoides-group as evidenced by the absence of a spine on the medial expansion of leg 1 basis, the frontal spinule ornamentation of the antennary basis, short outgrowths on leg 4 intercoxal sclerite, presence of lateral hairs on pediger 5 , shape of receptaculum seminis and caudal hair ornamentation on medial expansion of leg 4 basis, as polythetic character-assemblage, and the presence of a distinct spinule row on the frontal surface of the maxillary-coxa as a strongly diagnostic, synapomorphic feature. Within the complex, M. parentium, M. woutersi and M. dissimilis are united by almost identical caudal ornamentation of the leg 4 coxa (Figs 5, 22, 23). The conspicuous similarity of the spinule pattern on the leg 4 coxa in the three allopatric Mesocyclops species, a feature that I (Holynska in press) suppose to be a tactile part of the "Specific Mate Recognition System" suggests relatively recent separation of these species, or at least that they have never been sympatric. One element of this pattern, the shape of the spinule row next to the proximal rim, showing perceptible variability in members of the thermocyclopoides-group. seems to have special diagnostic value. This spinule group is represented by numerous large outer and very small inner spinules in all specimens of $M$. parentium, M. woutersi and M. dissimilis, but, except for a few specimens of $M$. ogumms, I have not found a similar instance outside the trio.

The M. parentium-woutersi-dissimilis clade can be easily recognized by a combination of characters (the caudal spinule ornamentation of the antennary basis, the seven setae on the second endopodal segment of the antenna, and the $V$-shaped connection of transverse ducts). which nevertheless are not synapomorphies of the trio. Taking into consideration the simple caudal spinule ornamentation of the antennary basis in other genera of the subfamiliy Cyclopinae (Fiers and Van de Velde 1984), I assume the more complex pattern to be more advanced in the genus Mesocyclops. However, the addition of group "f" and " $g$ " (Fig. 2) to the leuckarti-type spinule pattern, a characteristic of M. parentium, M. woutersi and M. dissimilis, is not an unique feature of these taxa. Spinules in the same position also appear in several species within (M. isabellae, M. aequatorialis similis, M. affinis, M. tobae, M. aspericornis, M. brooksi), and outside (M. ruttneri, M. papuensis) the thermocyclopoides-group, indicating their plesiomorphic or homoplasic states. Similarly, the Vshaped connection of the transverse ducts present in the three species in question is also shared by many species within (M. microlasius, M. aspericornis, M. kieferi, M. granulatus, M. arcanus, M. brooksi and M. notius) and outside (e.g. M. ruttneri, M. papuensis, M. brevisetosus, M. salinus, M. temuisaccus and M. rarus) the thermocy-clopoides-group in the Old World. The tendency toward repeated reductions in the number of setae on the second endopodal segment of the antenna, observed in cyclopoids
in general (Huys and Boxshall 1991), holds within the genus Mesocyclops as well. Seven setae, which represent an advanced state in comparison with the eight- or nineseta states often occurring in this genus, are common both within (M. isabellae, M. aequatorialis, M. thermocyclopoides, M. affinis, M. tobae, M. microlasius) and outside (e. g. M. leuckarti, M. pehpeiensis, M. ruttneri, M. papuensis, M. salimus, M. brasiliamus) the thermory-clopoides-group.

The low degree of the morphological differentiation between M. parentium, M. woutersi and M. dissimilis, in comparison with that between other, sympatric representatives of the thermocyclopoides-group, is striking. In Table 4 I give a list of the differences observed between the species.

Table 4. Characters showing differentiation in M. parentium sp. nov., M. woutersi and $M$. dissimilis, + : feature present; - feature absent; $+/-$ intraspecific variability. Number of spinules (character 3) counted on antennae of both left and right side. (Characteristics compared in the interspecific morphometric analysis are not shown here. In parentheses the number of specimens examined.)

|  | parentium | woutersi | dissimilis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Notches on hyaline membrane of A1 | $1(6)$ | $1(79)$ | $1(22) / 2(1)$ |
| 2. Spinules on 14. antennulary segment: | $-(61$ | $+(5) /-(51)$ | $+(6) /-(13)$ |
| Antennary basis, spinule group d | $13-16 ; 14.4(9)$ | $13-25 ; 19.0(73)$ | $13-21 ; 15.1(22)$ |
| 3. - Number - range; mean: | $1.9-2.2 ; 2.1(6)$ | $2.1-4.6 ; 3.4(16)$ | $1.4-2.2 ; 1.8(10)$ |
| 4. - Largest/smallest - range; mean: | $+(6)$ | $+(481 /-(11)$ | $+(2) /-(16)$ |
| 5. Antennary basis, group g: | $1-8(6)$ | 0 -whole length $(73)$ | 7 -whole length(22) |
| Leg 4 enp3 | $+(11 /-(4)$ | $+(1) /-(70)$ | $+(22)$ |
| 6. - Teeth on outer edge of inner apical spine | $6(6)$ | $8(5)$ |  |
| 7. Anal segment, distal rim, lateral spinules: | $6(1)$ | $-(56)$ | $-(13)$ |
| 8. Pores posterior to leg 6 | $+(6)$ | $-(93)$ | $+(26)$ |
| 9. Pediger 5, dorsum, hair rows: | $-(93)$ | $+(26)$ |  |
| 10. Spinules at base of anterolateral furcal setae: | $-(6)$ | $+(6)$ |  |
| 11. Spinules at base of posterolateral furcal setae |  |  |  |

guangxiensis" collected in That Luang village (Laos, Vientiane), in the material examined by me there was no specimen having those spinules. Eight pores posterior to leg 6 very rarely occur in Old World Mesocyclops: besides M. dissimilis, M. aequatorialis similis is the only taxon known to me, where this feature is also present in some specimens (others show the 6 -pore state). The occurrence of six pores in Thermocyclops species (T. neglectus, T. dybowskii, T. oithonoides) suggests that the eight-pore state is derived. On the other hand, I see no way to polarize characters 10 and 11 (Table 4) a priori. The alternate states (spinules present/absent) of both characters unite otherwise unrelated species. A reason for the mysterious character-state distribution may be that these characters, as part of SMRS, are controlled by strong disruptive selection during the final, sympatric phase of speciation. Neither do I consider a priori polarization of character 9 to be well established in the present stage of analysis.

## Distribution

Fig. 24 shows the geographical distribution of the three taxa. Mesocyclops parentium is known so far only from Sri Lanka and southern India. Besides Lake Biwa, where it is one of the dominant plankters, M. dissimilis has been collected from shallow lakes (L. Kitaura, Ishida in litt; L. Teganuma, Kawabata in litt.) and small ponds in Honshu (unpublished record of Taira, Ishida in litt.) and Kyushu (Ueda et al. in press) also. The huge distributional area of $M$. woutersi stretches from

Comparisons made between Chinese, Laotian, Vietnamese and Japanese (Ryukyu Is.) material identified by J. Reid and T. Ishida as M. guang.xiensis, clearly suggest that these specimens are conspecific with M. woutersi. As such M. guangxiensis is considered here a junior synonym of the latter. The identity in the majority of the features, and great variability (e. g. number of teeth on outer margin of inner apical spine of the leg 4 enp 3 in M. woutersi, or the extension of distal spinulation (group f) on caudal surface of the antennary basis in M. guangxiensis) of the rest, observed even within one population, have not let me find a single character by which these animals can be distinguished from each other. Therefore in Table 4 the characteristics of M. guangxiensis from the above-mentioned localities and of M. woutersi from Papua New Guinea have been combined under the latter name.

Clear-cut differences between $M$. parentium, M. woutersi and M. dissimilis have been found only in the last four characters shown in Table 4. Although Reid (1992) observed spinules at the implantation of posterolateral furcal setae in two of fourteen specimens of " $M$.

New Guinea, through Indochina at least to the Ryukyus. Ishida (in litt.) identified "M. guangxiensis" from a stream in Hyogo (Honshu), but I have not seen the specimen. The lack of records of M. woutersi from the Malay Archipelago should not be interpreted as a real distributional hiatus until a thorough faunistical survey in this region has been accomplished.

Experiments done on Chinese " $M$. guangriensis" specimens (Zhen et al. 1994) showed that supposedly both adults and copepodids of $M$. woutersi can survive disappearance of free water in sediment even with low (15-20\%) water content at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The desiccation tolerance of the species decreased with the decrease of temperature. These facts support the possibility of long-distance passive dispersal within the tropics. Transport organisms may be birds that migrate to New Guinea from the northern hemisphere to winter. A significant part of northern migrants in New Guinea are waders which, visiting different freshwater biotopes, have a good chance to encounter Mesocyclops and carry them on their legs or feathers. Habitat preference and range data of New Guinean birds


Figure 24. Distribution of M. parentium (square), M. woutersi (circle) and M. dissimilis (triangle). Empty symbols: literature data, or unpublished records not checked by me; Filled symbols: records checked.
(Beehler et al.1986), let us select some candidates for copepod transport: the wood sandpiper (Tringa glareola), grey-tailed tattler (Tringa brevipes), common sandpiper (Tringa hypoleucos), sharp-tailed sandpiper (Calidris acuminata), red-necked stint (Calidris ruficollis), and the greater sand plover (Charadrius leschenaultii). In another zoogeographic region, but probably also a result of passive dispersal, is the occurrence of two neotropical species (M. longisetus curvatus Dussart, 1987, and M. venezolanus Dussart, 1987) in a tundra depression far North (Yukon Territory, Canada) (Reid and Reed, 1994).

## Morphometric traits AND HABITAT PREFERENCES

Results of the morphometrical comparisons made by graphic estimation and t-test (Figs 26-32; Appendix 5) can be summarized as follows:

1. The graphical method shows a constant pattern (pelagic M. dissimilis $\rightarrow$ littoral M. dissimilis $\rightarrow$ M. woutersi $\rightarrow$ M. parentium) of the differences in body


Figure 25. Schematic representation of diagrams used in graphic comparisons of body ratios between M. dissimilis, M. woutersi and M. parentium. SE: Standard error; $\overline{\mathrm{x}}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}$ : mean values



Figures 26-32. Change of body length and proportions in M. dissimilis, pelagic form ( $\mathbf{d p}$ ) M. dissimilis, littoral form ( $\mathbf{d} \mathbf{1})$, M. woutersi ( $\mathbf{w}$ ) and M. parentium (p). Abbreviations of linear dimensions see in Appendix 1. Because of the very low frequencies, standard errors of length of leg 5 setae, urosome and furcal (inner terminal, dorsal and posterolateral) setae in M. parentium are not counted and shown here.
ratios. The only exception to this rule is the FU INTERS/UROS ratio (for abbreviations see Appendix 1), where the pelagial and littoral forms of M. dissimilis exchange places.
2. The t-test has not confirmed significant differences between M. woutersi and M. parentium in any body ratio,

which, considering the above-mentioned repeating pattern, can however be explained by the small size of the M. parentium sample (Appendix 4).
3. The tendency in body length (pelagic M. dissimilis, M. parentium < M. woutersi < littoral M. dissimilis) is totally different from those in body ratios, suggesting that the body ratios studied here are not size-correlated.
4. Except for FU INTERS/UROS, body ratios (in particular P5 MEDS/APS and P4 ENP3 L/W) of M. dissimilis specimens collected from littoral zone conspicuously shifted toward M. woutersi. This observation suggests that while FU INTERS/UROS is presumably a species-specific, non-environment-dependent feature, the remaining 5 body ratios are elastic, environment-dependent in M. dissimilis.
5. A tendency to elongation has been observed from M. parentium toward the pelagic M. dissimilis: relative lengths of apical exopod and baseondopod setae of leg 5 , and the dorsal furcal setae increase, furcal rami and third endopodal segment of leg 4 also lengthen (probably all the other leg segments show the same tendency, but no measurements have been made). The only trait not fitting in the general trend is FU INTERS/UROS. In comparison with M. parentium and M. woutersi, the inner terminal furcal setae significantly shorten in both littoral and pelagic groups of $M$. dissimilis.

Shifts in body proportions similar to those shown here as well within one species (M. dissimilis) as in a group of closely related species (M. parentium, M. woutersi, M. dissimilis) characterize other genuine plankters of the genus also (see Table 5).

The data in Table 5 give strong support for increase of relative lengths of the apical exopod and baseoendopod

Table 5. Shifts of body ratios in planktonic Mesocyclops taxa. [Closely related, reference taxa used in the comparisons: M. aequatorialis similis' (M. aequatorialis s. str.), M. affinis ${ }^{2}$ (M. tobae) and M. reidae ${ }^{3}$ (M. yutsil) + : tendency agrees with that observed in M. dissimilis; -: tendency does not agree with that observed in M. dissimilis)

|  | aequatorialis $s$. str. <br> L. Kivu, Tanganyika | tobae ${ }^{2}$ <br> L. Toba | yutsil ${ }^{4}$ <br> Large hypogean lakes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P5 MEDS/BASES | + | + | + |
| P5 MEDS/APS | + | + | + |
| FU LW | +? | - | - |
| P4 ENP3 LTW | + | + | + |
| FU DORS/PLATS | + | + | + |
| FU INTERS/UROS | no data | - | - |

setae of leg 5 , the dorsal furcal setae and the third endopodal segment of leg 4, as adaptations to the pelagic mode of life.Whereas, the decrease of relative length of the inner terminal furcal setae and elongation of furcal rami as pelagic characteristics remain questionable.

## Conclusions

There was insufficient information to polarize characters $9,10,11$ showing clear-cut differences among M. parentium, M. woutersi and M. dissimilis (see Table 4) a priori, therefore I examined all ( $24=$ three different bifurcating trees for three taxa $\times 8$ different combinations of polarities in three characters with two states each) possibilities of character evolution using just paper and pencil. Three dichotomous and one polytomous tree emerge as the shortest trees, with a length of three steps (Fig. 33A-D).

Distribution and ecological characteristics make M. dissimilis unlikely to be closest to the ancestor of the trio (Fig. 33C). The species, which lives at the northern boundary of the distributional area inhabited by the thermocyclopoides-group, and adapted to the pelagic way of life of large deep lakes (a special environment conquered by only few Mesocyclops species), supposedly represents the most advanced form in the clade M. parentium--woutersi-dissimilis. The scenario with a woutersi-like ancestor and a clade consisting of two extremes both in ecological and zoogeographical sense (dissimilis-parentium) (Fig. 33A) is not plausible either. Two competing hypotheses remain: one assumes a parentium-like ancestor and M. woutersi-dissimilis clade (Fig. 33B), while the other supposes separate divergence of all three species from the ancestral stock (Fig. 33D). The difference between these two branching patterns
resulted from opposite polarization of the dorsal hair ornamentation of pediger 5 . As both states (hair rows present/absent) of this character appear in several species within the thermocyclopoides-group, and the relationship of M. parentium-woutersi-dissimilis group with those species has not yet been analyzed thoroughly, the choice of a convenient outgroup to polarization is problematic. However, the presence of regular hair rows in species (M. granulatus, M. aspericornis, M. ogunnus) that in having more than seven setae on the second endopodal segment of the antenna are probably close to root of thermocyclopoides-group points rather to plesiomorphy of this feature. Also the gradual reduction of hairiness on pediger 5 from M. parentium through $M$. woutersi to M. dissimilis (Figs 15-17), coinciding with series of changes in morphometric traits showing most advanced state in M. dissimilis, suggests the same polarization as above. As long as the phylogenetic relationships within thermocyclopoides-group are obscure, and knowledge of the distribution of M. parentium is poor, it is reasonable to keep both hypotheses in mind.
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| Appendix 1. Basic statistical data of M. dissimilis, pelagic form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P5-EXP-APS | P5-EXP-MEDS | P5-BASES |  |  |  |
| Total frequency | 13 | 15 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Mean $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 131 | 86 | 97 |  |  |  |
| Std dev. $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 13.5 | 7.45 | 12.1 |  |  |  |
| Minimun $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 103 | 76 | 76 |  |  |  |
| Maximum $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 149 | 101 | 116 |  |  |  |
| FU-L | FU-W | P4-ENP3-L | P4-ENP3-W |  |  |  |
| 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 99 | 27 | 81 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 3.70 | 2.00 | 3.68 | 1.89 |  |  |  |
| 90 | 25 | 73 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 106 | 33 | 88 | 28 |  |  |  |
| FU-INTERS | UROS | FU-DORS | FU-PLATS |  |  |  |
| 14 | 12 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 447 | 427 | 129 | 90 |  |  |  |
| 32.4 | 17.6 | 8.03 | 6.75 |  |  |  |
| 400 | 400 | 113 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 500 | 460 | 140 | 98 |  |  |  |
| B00Y-L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 965 | 1.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1185 | 0.149 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5 MEDS/APS | P5 MEDS/BASES | FU L/W | P4 ENP3 LW |  |  |  |
| 13 | 14 | 16 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 0.660 | 0.898 | 3.73 | 3.40 |  |  |  |
| 0.069 | 0.090 | 0.219 | 0.250 |  |  |  |
| 0.510 | 0.710 | 3.10 | 2.79 |  |  |  |
| 0.830 | 1.03 | 4.00 | 3.90 |  |  |  |
| FU INTERS/UROS | FU DORS/PLATS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.04 | 1.77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Abbreviations used: P5-EXP-APS=length of apical seta of leg 5 exopod; $\operatorname{P5-EXP-MEDS}=$ length of medial seta of leg 5 exopod; P5-BASES $=$ length of baseoendopodal seta of leg 5; FU-L = length of furca; FUW=width of furca; P4-ENP3-L= length of third endopodal segment of leg 4; P4-ENP3-W=width of third endopodal segment of leg 4; FUINTERS $=$ length of inner terminal furcal seta; UROS $=$ length of urosome; FU-DORS = length of dorsal furcal seta; FU-PLATS=length of posterolateral furcal seta; BODY-L=length of body; P5 MEDS/ APS $=$ ratio of length of medial and apical setae of leg 5 exopod; P5 MEDS/BASES = ratio of length of medial exopodal and baseoendopodal setae; FU L/W=ratio of length and width of furca; P4 ENP3 $L / W=$ ratio of length and width of third endopodal segment of leg 4; FU INTERS/UROS = ratio of length of inner terminal furcal seta and urosome; FU DORS/PLATS = ratio of length of dorsal and posterolateral furcal setae

Appendix 2. Basic statistical data of M. dissimilis, littoral form. (Abbreviations used are the same as in Appendix 1.)

|  | P5-EXP-APS | P5-EXP-MEDS | P5-BASES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total frequency | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Mean $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 114 | 89 | 85 |
| Std dev. $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 3.95 | 5.90 | 5.80 |
| Minimun $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 108 | 81 | 79 |
| Maximum $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 121 | 96 | 93 |
| FU-L | FU-W | P4-ENP3-L | P4-ENP3-W |
| 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| 105 | 31 | 82 | 29 |
| 3.85 | 1.36 | 4.27 | 2.21 |
| 100 | 29 | 75 | 25 |
| 111 | 34 | 86 | 32 |
| FU-INTERS | UROS | FU-DORS | FU-PLATS |
| 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| 485 | 477 | 103 | 94 |
| 16.7 | 9.80 | 9.78 | 4.91 |
| 460 | 465 | 79 | 86 |
| 510 | 495 | 111 | 101 |
| B0DY-L |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 1256 |  |  |  |
| 47.1 |  |  |  |
| 1200 |  |  |  |
| 1375 |  |  |  |
| P5 MEDS/APS | P5 MEDS/BASES | FU LW | P4 ENP3 L/W |
| 6 | 5 | 10 | 9 |
| 0.782 | 1.04 | 3.36 | 2.78 |
| 0.073 | 0.088 | 0.143 | 0.110 |
| 0.69 | 0.92 | 3.00 | 2.60 |
| 0.86 | 1.19 | 3.52 | 3.00 |
| FU INTERS/UROS | FU DORS/PLATS |  |  |
| 5 | 9 |  |  |
| 1.02 | 1.10 |  |  |
| 0.034 | 0.088 |  |  |
| 0.97 | 0.92 |  |  |
| 1.07 | 1.22 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Appendix 3. Basic statistical data of $M$. woutersi (including M. guangxiensis) (Abbreviations used are the same as in Appendix 1.)

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total frequency | 39 | P5P-EXP-MEDS | P5-BASES |
| Mean $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 112 | 51 | 50 |
| Std dev. $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 16.9 | 92 | 67 |
| Minimun $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 79 | 8.97 | 9.47 |
| Maximum $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 167 | 63 | 50 |
| FU-L | FU-W | P4-ENP3-L | P4-ENP3-W |
| 90 | 90 | 81 | 81 |
| 87 | 30 | 75 | 28 |
| 7.06 | 1.87 | 5.13 | 1.75 |
| 67 | 24 | 65 | 23 |
| 100 | 33 | 85 | 31 |
| FU-INTERS | UROS | FU-DORS | FU-PLATS |
| 76 | 67 | 72 | 77 |
| 540 | 418 | 76 | 84 |
| 37.1 | 31.9 | 10.2 | 8.29 |
| 460 | 315 | 54 | 64 |
| 610 | 480 | 95 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |


| BODY-L |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 |  |  |  |
| 1185 |  |  |  |
| 65.3 |  | FU L/W | P4 ENP3 L/W |
| 970 |  | 90 | 81 |
| 1320 |  |  | 2.92 |
| P5 MEDS/APS | P5 MEDS/BASES | 2.72 |  |
| 38 | 1.40 | 0.218 | 0.170 |
| 0.834 | 0.187 | 2.39 | 2.10 |
| 0.091 | 1.10 |  |  |
| 0.62 | 1.85 | 3.63 |  |
| 0.98 | 72 |  |  |
| FU INTERS/UROS | FU DORS/PLATS |  |  |
| 67 | 72.903 |  |  |
| 1.30 | 0.128 |  |  |
| 0.114 | 0.56 |  |  |
| 1.04 | 1.21 |  |  |
| 1.80 |  |  |  |

Appendix 4. Basic statistical data of M. parentium sp. nov. (Standard deviations not counted for the linear dimensions measured in very few specimens)
(Abbreviations used are the same as in Appendix 1.)

|  | P5-EXP-APS | P5-EXP-MEDS | P5-BASES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total frequency | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Mean $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 103 | 96 | 59 |
| Std dev. $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | - | - | - |
| Minimun $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 83 | 86 | 59 |
| Maximum $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 114 | 107 | 59 |
| FU-L | FU-W | P4-ENP3-L | P4-ENP3-W |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 81 | 29 | 70 | 26 |
| 6.07 | 1.77 | 6.15 | 1.34 |
| 73 | 26 | 64 | 24 |
| 92 | 31 | 83 | 28 |
| FU-INTERS | UROS | FU-DORS | FU-PLATS |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 502 | 387 | 69 | 82 |
| - | - | - | - |
| 440 | 365 | 66 | 75 |
| 580 | 405 | 75 | 90 |
| B0DY-L |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 1100 |  |  |  |
| 80.2 |  |  |  |
| 970 |  |  |  |
| 1205 |  |  |  |
| P5 MEDS/APS | P5 MEDS/BASES | FU L/W | P4 ENP3 LW |
| 3 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| 0.940 | 1.54 | 2.85 | 2.67 |
| 0.082 | 0.075 | 0.241 | 0.248 |
| 0.84 | 1.46 | 2.52 | 2.40 |
| 1.04 | 1.61 | 3.10 | 3.12 |


| FU INTERS/UROS | FU DORS/PLATS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 3 |
| 1.31 | 0.853 |
| 0.112 | 0.099 |
| 1.20 | 0.72 |
| 1.45 | 0.96 |

Appendix 5. t-fest results. ( +++ : difference significant at $\mathrm{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1} ;++$ : difference significant at $0.001<\mathrm{P}<0.01 ;+$ : difference significant at $0.01<\mathrm{P}<0.05$; -: no significant difference at $P>0.05$.
(Abbreviations used are the same as in Appendix 1.)

| diss p | * |  |  | P5 MEDS/APS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diss I | ++ | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | - | * |  |
| par | +++ | + | - | * |
|  | diss $p$ | diss I | wout | par |
| diss p | * |  |  | P5 MEDS/BASES |
| diss I | + | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | +++ | * |  |
| par | $+++$ | $+++$ |  |  |
|  | diss $p$ | diss I |  |  |
| diss p | * |  |  | FU LW |
| diss I | +++ | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | +++ | * |  |
| par | $+++$ | $+++$ | - | * |
|  | diss $p$ | diss I |  | par |
| diss p | * |  |  | P4 ENP3 L/W |
| diss I | +++ | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | - | * |  |
| par | +++ |  | - |  |
|  | diss $p$ | diss I | wout | par |
| diss p | * |  |  | FU INTERS/UROS |
| diss I | - | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | +++ | * |  |
| par | $+++$ | $++$ |  |  |
|  | diss $p$ | diss I | wout | par |
| diss p | * |  |  | FU DORS/PLATS |
| diss I | +++ | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | +++ | * |  |
| par | $+++$ | ++ | - | * |
|  | diss p | diss I | wout | par |
| diss p | * |  |  | BODY-L |
| diss I | +++ | * |  |  |
| wout | +++ | + + | * |  |
| par | - | +++ | ++ | * |
|  | diss p | diss I | wout | par |

