# Homogeneous solutions and energy <br> of a linear anisotropic elastic strip 
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Homogeneous solutions for a linear anisotropic infinite elastic strip are given when the axis of anisotropy of the strip is not parallel to the boundary line. The solutions are then used to obtain the Airy stress function for a rectangular anisotropic disc subject to tension.

Przedstawiono rozwiazzania jednorodne dla liniowego anizotropowego nieskończonego pasma spręzystego, w którym oś anizotropii nie jest równoległa do brzegu. Rozwiązanie to wykorzystano nastẹpnie do otrzymania funkcji naprężé dla prostokątnej tarczy anizotropowej poddanej rozciaganiu.

Представлены однородные решения для линейной анизотропной бесконечной упругой полосы, в которой ось анизотропии не параллельна границе. Это решение использовано затем для получения функций напряжений для прямоугольного анизотропного диска, подвергнутого растяжению.

## 1. Introduction

Consider a classical problem of linear elastostatics for an infinite anisotropic strip when the axis of the strip does not coincide with the axis of anisotropy ("off axis" case). The boundaries of the strip are traction-free and loads are applied to the strip at infinity.

To analyse the stresses in the strip let us use the principle of complementary energy in which the functional is expressed in terms of the so-called homogeneous solutions $f_{s}$ (Fadle [1], Papkovitsch [2], Khachatrian [3]). The solutions meet the required boundary conditions as well as the compatibility equation. Similar solutions were employed by Choi and Horgan [4], to study an anisotropic strip in which the geometrical axis of the strip coincided with one of the anisotropy axis ("in axis" case). It turns out that, in our case, the energy functional depends explicitly on the three compliance constants only,

$$
b_{11}=C_{1111}, \quad b_{12}=C_{1122}, \quad b_{22}=C_{2222} .
$$

This observation allows us to work out simple formulae for stresses in a rectangular disc.

## 2. Basic relations

The field equations describing the behaviour of the linear elastic homogeneous anisotropic body in the absence of body forces and in the plane state of stress are as follows (cf. [5] and Appendix A):

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{x}=u_{, x}, \quad E_{y}=v_{, y}, \quad 2 E_{x y}=u_{, y}+v_{, x},  \tag{2.1}\\
S_{x, x}+S_{x y, y}=0, \quad S_{x y, x}+S_{y, y}=0,  \tag{2.2}\\
E_{x}=b_{11} S_{x}+b_{12} S_{y}+b_{16} S_{x y}, \\
E_{y}=b_{12} S_{x}+b_{22} S_{y}+b_{26} S_{x y},  \tag{2.3}\\
2 E_{x y}=b_{16} S_{x}+b_{26} S_{y}+b_{66} S_{x y},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u, v$ are components of the displacement in $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively, $E_{x}, E_{y}$, $E_{x y}$ are components of the deformation and $S_{x}, S_{y}, S_{x y}$ are the stress components. Coefficients $b_{11}, b_{12}, b_{22}, b_{16}, b_{26}, b_{66}$ are components of the compliance tensor.

Between the deformation components the following compatibility relation takes place

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x, y y}+E_{y, x x}=2 E_{x y, x y} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, expressed in terms of the stresses, is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b S_{x, x x}-2 b_{16} S_{x, x y}+b_{11} S_{x, y y}+b_{22} S_{y, x x}-2 b_{26} S_{y, x y}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=2 b_{12}+b_{66} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the stress components by a stress function $\Phi=\Phi(x, y)$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{x}=\Phi_{, y y}, \quad S_{y}=\Phi_{, x x}, \quad S_{x y}=-\Phi_{, x y} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following form of compatibility relation is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{22} \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi}{\partial x^{4}}-2 b_{26} \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi}{\partial x^{3} \partial y}+b \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}-2 b_{16} \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi}{\partial x \partial y^{3}}+b_{11} \frac{\partial^{4} \Phi}{\partial y^{4}}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the stress function be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\Phi(x, y)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}(y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions $f_{s}$ satisfy the following differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{11} f_{s}^{\mathrm{IV}}+2 b_{16} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+b \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{26} \lambda_{s}^{3} f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{4} f_{s}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}=C_{s} e^{\mu_{s} y} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we arrive at the following characteristic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{11} \mu_{s}^{4}+2 b_{16} \lambda_{s} \mu_{s}^{3}+b \lambda_{s}^{2} \mu_{s}^{2}+2 b_{26} \lambda_{s}^{3} \mu_{s}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{4}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, after introduction of a characteristic parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{\mu_{s}}{\lambda_{s}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{11} \omega^{4}+2 b_{16} \omega^{3}+b \omega^{2}+2 b_{26} \omega+b_{22}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Eq. (2.14) has, in general, four different roots, $\omega_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, functions $f_{s}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} C_{s i} e^{\lambda_{s} \omega_{i} y} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constants $C_{s i}$ should be determined from the boundary conditions (cf. Appendix B).

## 3. Boundary conditions

The boundaries $y= \pm 1$ of the strip are free of mechanical tractions; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{y}(x, y)=0, \quad S_{x y}(x, y)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad y= \pm 1, \quad|x|<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions can be written in terms of the stress function in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{, x x}(x, y)=0, \quad \Phi_{, x y}(x, y)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad y= \pm 1, \quad|x|<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, using Eq. (2.9), in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}( \pm 1)=0, \quad f_{s}^{\prime}( \pm 1)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions $f_{s}$ are called homogeneous solutions since they satisfy homogeneous boundary conditions.

## 4. Quasi-orthogonality of the homogeneous solutions

Multiply Eq. (2.10) by $\lambda_{r}^{2} f_{r}$, and the same equation taken with index $r$ - by $\lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}$. Next, substract the equations and integrate the result over the interval ( $-1,1$ ). Integrating the first three terms by parts and using the conditions (3.3) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[-b_{11}\left(\lambda_{r}^{2} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{r}^{\prime}-\lambda_{s}^{2} f_{r}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)+2 b_{16} \lambda_{r} \lambda_{s}\left(\lambda_{s} f_{r}^{\prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime}-\lambda_{r} f_{s}^{\prime \prime} f_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
&\left.+2 b_{26} \lambda_{r}^{2} \lambda_{s}^{2}\left(\lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime} f_{r}-\lambda_{r} f_{r}^{\prime} f_{s}\right)+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{2} \lambda_{r}^{2}\left(\lambda_{s}^{2}-\lambda_{r}^{2}\right) f_{r} f_{s}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by virtue of (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(f_{r} f_{s}^{\prime}+f_{r}^{\prime} f_{s}\right) & =0  \tag{4.2}\\
\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(f_{r}^{\prime} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+f_{r}^{\prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime}\right) & =0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating once more the term with coefficient $b_{11}$ in Eq. (4.1) by parts and using (4.2)-(4.3) we arrive at the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(b_{11} f_{r}^{\prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \frac{\lambda_{r} \lambda_{s}}{\lambda_{r}-\lambda_{s}} f_{r}^{\prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime}+2 b_{26} \frac{\lambda_{r}^{2} \lambda_{s}^{2}}{\lambda_{r}-\lambda_{s}} f_{r} f_{s}^{\prime}-b_{22} \lambda_{r}^{2} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{r} f_{s}\right)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{r} \neq \lambda_{s}$.

If $b_{16}=0$ and $b_{26}=0$ ("in axis" case), we obtain the quasi-orthogonality relation given by Choi and Horgan [4],

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(b_{11} f_{r}^{\prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}-b_{22} \lambda_{r}^{2} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{r} f_{s}\right)=0
$$

In an isotropic case, when $b_{11}=b_{22}$, we get the relations of generalized orthogonality found by Papkovitsch, [2],

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(f_{r}^{\prime \prime} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda_{r}^{2} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{r} f_{s}\right)=0
$$

## 5. Expression of displacements by homogeneous solutions

Stress components expressed by the homogeneous solutions read

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{x}=\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}, \quad S_{y}=\sum_{s} \lambda_{s}^{2} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}, \quad S_{x y}=\sum_{s} \lambda_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, according to the constitutive relation (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & =\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{11} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{12} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}+b_{16} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} & =\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{12} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & =\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{16} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}+b_{66} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (5.2) with respect to $x$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{12} \lambda_{s} f_{s}+b_{16} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)+g(y) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(y)$ is an unknown function. Hence, and in virtue of (5.4), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{16} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}+b_{66} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+b_{12} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{16} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)-g^{\prime}(y) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$ and after integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=-\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left[b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{12}+b_{66}\right) f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\right]-x g^{\prime}(y)+f(y) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(y)$ is an arbitrary function.
Substituting (5.7) into Eq. (5.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left[b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} f_{s}^{I \mathbf{v}}+2 b_{16} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(b_{12}\right.\right. & \left.\left.+b_{66}\right) f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}\right]-x g^{\prime \prime}(y)+f^{\prime}(y) \\
& =\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{12} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, in virtue of Eq. (2.10)

$$
-x g^{\prime \prime}(y)-f^{\prime}(y)=0
$$

Hence

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(y)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(y)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y)=g_{0} y+g_{1}, \quad f(y)=f_{0}, \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{0}, g_{1}$ and $f_{0}$ are constants.
Therefore the displacements field reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=-\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{16} f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{12} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\right)+g_{0} y+g_{1}  \tag{5.9}\\
& v=-\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left[b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{12}+b_{66}\right) f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\right]-x g_{0}+f_{0} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.1. Alternative expression for the displacement component $v$

Let us integrate both sides of the Eq. (2.10) from $y=-1$ to $y$. Taking into account the boundary conditions, we get

$$
\left(b_{11} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b \lambda_{s}^{2} f_{s}^{\prime}+2 b_{26} \lambda_{s}^{3} f_{s}\right)_{(y)}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{4} \int_{-1}^{y} f_{s} d \eta=\left(b_{11} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{(y=-1)} .
$$

Hence, taking also into account the definition (2.6) of $b$, we find

$$
b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{12}+b_{66}\right) f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}=h_{s}-b_{12} f_{s}^{\prime}-b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}-b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{2} \int_{-1}^{y} f_{s} d \eta
$$

where

$$
h_{s} \equiv\left(b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{(y=-1)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{12} f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{2} \int_{-1}^{y} f_{s} d \eta+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\right)-h-g_{0} x+f_{0}, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
h=h(x)=\sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} \tau} h_{s} .
$$

## 6. Energy of a rectangular strip element

Elastic energy contained in a strip element between $x=L_{1}$ and $x=L_{2}$ (cf. Fig. 1) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \int_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}} d x \int_{-1}^{1} d y S_{i j} E_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. Rectangular element of the strip with boundary $\Gamma$.
or, making use of the divergence theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} d l S_{i j} u_{i} n_{j} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{j}$ represents the outward normal to the contour $\Gamma$ of the element. Here tensor notation of the components is used and

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1} & =u, & u_{2} & =v, & & \\
E_{11} & =E_{x}, & E_{22} & =E_{y}, & & E_{12}=E_{x y},  \tag{6.3}\\
S_{11} & =S_{x}, & S_{22} & =S_{y}, & & S_{12}=S_{x y} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the segment $P_{1} P_{2}$ of the contour we have $d l=-d y$ and $\mathbf{n}=(-1,0)$. Similarly,

| on | $P_{2} P_{3}:$ | $d l=d x$ | and |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| on | $P_{3} P_{4}:$ | $d l=(0,-1)$, |  |
| on | $P_{4} P_{1}:$ | $d l=-d x$ | and |
| and | $\mathbf{u}=(1,0)$, |  |  |
| $\mathbf{n}=(0,1)$. |  |  |  |

Thus the energy expression is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left[\int_{1}^{-1}(-d y) S_{i 1} u_{i}(-1)\right]_{x=L_{1}}+\left[\int_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}} d x S_{i 2} u_{i}(-1)\right]_{y=-1}\right. \\
&\left.+\left[\int_{-1}^{1} d y S_{i 1} u_{i}(+1)\right]_{x=L_{2}}+\left[\int_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(-d x) S_{i 2} u_{i}(+1)\right]_{y=1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

However, according to the boundary conditions (3.1), the integrals taken along the paths $P_{2} P_{3}$ and $P_{4} P_{1}$ vanish and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[\left(S_{i 1} u_{i}\right)_{x=L_{2}}-\left(S_{i 1} u_{i}\right)_{x=L_{1}}\right] \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\ldots)]_{L_{1}}^{L_{2}}=(\ldots)_{x=L_{2}}-(\ldots)_{x=L_{1}} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[S_{x} u+S_{x y} v\right]_{L_{1}^{2}}^{L_{1}^{2}} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{x}=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{6.7}\\
S_{x y}=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{s} \lambda_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime} \tag{6.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=-\operatorname{Re} \sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+b_{12} \lambda_{s} f_{s}+b_{16} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)+g_{0} y+g_{1}  \tag{6.9}\\
& v=-\operatorname{Re} \sum_{s}^{\prime} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left[b_{11} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 b_{16} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{12}+b_{66}\right) f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\right]-g_{0} x+f_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

or, alternatively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{s} e^{-\lambda_{s} x}\left(b_{12} f_{s}^{\prime}+b_{22} \lambda_{s}^{2} \int_{-1}^{y} f_{s} d \eta+b_{26} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\right)-\operatorname{Re} h(x)-g_{0} x+f_{0} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

After integration by parts and using the free boundary conditions (cf. relations (4.2)-(4.3)) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r} \sum_{s} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left\{b_{11}\right. & {\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{r} x} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.}  \tag{6.12}\\
+ & \left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{r} e^{-\lambda_{r} x} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}^{2}} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]-b_{66}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{r} x} \lambda_{r} f_{r}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& \left.-2 b_{16}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{r} x} \lambda_{r} f_{r}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{s} x} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]\right\}_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

when Eqs. (6.7)-(6.8) and (6.9)-(6.10) are inserted into Eq. (6.6), or alternatively

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
E=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r} & \sum_{s} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left\{-b_{11} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{r} x} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} e^{-\lambda_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right.\right. \tag{6.13}
\end{array}\right)
$$

when Eq. (6.10) is replaced with expression (6.11). In both expressions (6.12)-(6.13) only three compliance constants are present explicitly. Moreover, for the special case of anisotropy, i.e. orthotropy, the energy given by Eq. (6.13) is formally the same as that expressed in the principal axis.

## 7. Complementary energy principle

In order to solve a boundary value problem for the rectangular anisotropic elastic disc shown in Fig. 1, the vertical boundaries of which are subject to prescribed displacements $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$, while its horizontal boundaries are stress-free, we use the principle of complementary energy expressed by the homogeneous solutions introduced in the previous sections.

The complementary energy is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=E-E_{B} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E_{B}=\int_{\Gamma} S_{i j} n_{j} \hat{u}_{i} d l
$$

or

$$
E_{B}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[\hat{u} S_{x}+\hat{v} S_{x y} y_{\substack{x=L_{1} \\ x=L_{1}}}^{\substack{x \\ \hline}}\right.
$$

with

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\hat{u}(y)=u(x, y) \\
\hat{v}(y)=v(x, y)
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { on } \quad x=L_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad x=L_{2}, \quad|y|<1
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B}=\sum_{s} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[\hat{u} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i_{s} x} f_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\hat{v} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\lambda_{s} x} \lambda_{s} f_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{2}} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show (cf. Appendix B) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}=Z_{s} \Psi_{s} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{s}$ is an unknown constant and $\Psi_{s}$ is a known function of $y$. If we introduce the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{s}=P_{s}(x, y) \equiv e^{-\lambda_{s} x} \Psi_{s}(y)  \tag{7.4}\\
& Q_{s}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}} P_{s}, \quad R_{s}=\lambda_{s} P_{s}, \quad S_{s}=\lambda_{s}^{2} P_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

we get for the stress function (2.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\sum_{s} Z_{s} P_{s} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the complementary energy takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=\sum_{r} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s}[ \right. & -b_{11} \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{r} P_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{s} Q_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)+2 b_{12} \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{r} P_{r}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{s} R_{s}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.\left.-b_{22} \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{r} R_{r}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{s} S_{s}\right)\right]-\left[\hat{u} \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{r} P_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\hat{v} \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{r} R_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, if we put

$$
Z_{s}^{R}=\operatorname{Re} Z_{s}, \quad Z_{s}^{I}=\operatorname{Im} Z_{s}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{s}^{R}, Q_{s}^{R}, R_{s}^{R}, S_{s}^{R}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(P_{s}, Q_{s}, R_{s}, S_{s}\right) \\
\left(P_{s}^{I}, Q_{s}^{I}, R_{s}^{I}, S_{s}^{I}\right) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{s}, Q_{s}, R_{s}, S_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and keep in mind that e.g.

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{q} P_{q}\right)=Z_{q}^{R} P_{q}^{R}-Z_{q}^{I} P_{q}^{I},
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\sum_{r} \int_{-1}^{1} d y\left\{\frac { 1 } { 2 } \sum _ { s } \left[-b_{11}\left(Z_{r}^{R} P_{r}^{R^{\prime \prime}}-Z_{r}^{I} P_{r}^{I^{\prime \prime}}\right)\left(Z_{s}^{R} Q_{s}^{R^{\prime \prime}}-Z_{s}^{I} Q_{s}^{I \prime^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right.\right. \\
&+\left.2 b_{12}\left(Z_{r}^{R} P_{r}^{\prime^{\prime}}-Z_{r}^{I} P_{r}^{I^{\prime}}\right)\left(Z_{s}^{R} R_{s}^{\prime^{\prime}}-Z_{s}^{I} R_{s}^{I \prime}\right)-b_{22}\left(Z_{r}^{R} R_{r}^{R}-Z_{r}^{I} R_{r}^{I}\right)\left(Z_{s}^{R} S_{s}^{R}-Z_{s}^{I} S_{s}^{I}\right)\right] \\
&\left.-\left[\hat{u}\left(Z_{r}^{R} P_{r}^{R^{\prime \prime}}-Z_{r}^{I} P_{r}^{I^{\prime \prime}}\right)+\hat{v}\left(Z_{r}^{R} R_{r}^{R \prime}-Z_{r}^{I} R_{r}^{I}\right)\right]\right\}_{x=L_{t}}^{x=L_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where primes denote $y$-derivatives, e.g.

$$
P_{s}^{R^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial P_{s}^{R}}{\partial y}
$$

The complementary energy minimum conditions read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_{q}^{R}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_{q}^{I}}=0, \quad q=1,2, \ldots \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and result in the set of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{s}\left(A_{q s} Z_{s}^{R}-B_{q s} Z_{s}^{I}\right)=E_{q} \\
& \sum_{s}\left(C_{q s} Z_{s}^{R}-D_{q s} Z_{s}^{I}\right)=F_{q} \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $A_{q s}, B_{q s}, C_{q s}$ and $D_{q s}$ are prescribed real-valued infinite symmetric matrices, expressed in terms of the four roots of characteristic equation (2.14) resulting from the compatibility equation, and of the infinite sequence $\lambda_{s},(s=1,2, \ldots)$. Also, $E_{q}$ and $F_{q}$ denote prescribed infinite vectors, cf. Appendix C.

If a solution $\left(Z_{s}^{R}, Z_{s}^{I}\right)$ of (7.7) is found, the elastic state in the rectangular elastic disc can be obtained by means of the stress function (7.5).
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## Appendix A. Anisotropic body in a plane state of stress

The following equations describe a static behaviour of three-dimensional linear anisotropic elastic body in the absence of body forces, [6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i j}+u_{j i}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i j, j}=0,  \tag{A.2}\\
E_{i j}=C_{i j m n} S_{m n} \tag{A.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $u_{i}, E_{i j}$ and $S_{i j}$ are, in this order, components of the displacement, deformation and stress. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j m n}=C_{j i m n}=C_{i j n m}=C_{m n i j} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are of the components compliance tensor being an inverse of the elasticity tensor ${ }^{1}$ ).
Consider a linear transformation of coordinates (rotation)

$$
x_{a}^{\prime}=c_{a b} x_{b}, \quad \text { where } \quad c_{a b}=\cos \left(x_{a}^{\prime}, x_{b}\right)
$$

Then the components of compliance tensor are transformed according to the rule

$$
C_{a b c d}^{\prime}=c_{a i} c_{b j} c_{c m} c_{d n} C_{i j m n}
$$

In a plane state of stress parallel to the $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$-plane we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{13}=0, \quad S_{23}=0, \quad S_{33}=0 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and relations (A.3) take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{11} & =C_{1111} S_{11}+C_{1122} S_{22}+2 C_{1112} S_{12}, \\
E_{22} & =C_{2211} S_{11}+C_{2222} S_{22}+2 C_{2212} S_{12}, \\
E_{33} & =C_{3311} S_{11}+C_{3322} S_{22}+2 C_{3312} S_{12}  \tag{A.6}\\
2 E_{23} & =2 C_{2311} S_{11}+2 C_{2322} S_{22}+4 C_{2312} S_{12} \\
2 E_{13} & =2 C_{1311} S_{11}+2 C_{1322} S_{22}+4 C_{1312} S_{12}, \\
2 E_{12} & =2 C_{1211} S_{11}+2 C_{1222} S_{22}+4 C_{1212} S_{12} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we are interested in a solution of the ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) in-plane problem only, we ignore Eqs. (A.6) $3_{3,4,5}$. Applying the conditions (A.5) to the Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2) we arrive at the set of equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). In these equations notations are used

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x_{1}, & y & =x_{2}, & u & =u_{1}, \\
E_{x} & =E_{11}, & E_{y} & =E_{22}, & E_{x y} & =E_{12}, \\
S_{x} & =S_{11}, & S_{y} & =S_{22}, & S_{x y} & =S_{12},
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{(1)}$ In the monograph [6] the compliance tensor is denoted by $K_{i j m n}$.
and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b_{11}=C_{1111}, & b_{12}=C_{1122}, & b_{22}=C_{2222} \\
b_{16}=2 C_{1112}, & b_{26}=2 C_{2212}, & b_{66}=4 C_{1212}
\end{array}
$$

## Appendix B. Explicit form of $\Psi_{s}$

Substituting (2.15) into boundary conditions (3.3) we obtain the following set of four equations for every $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1} e^{\mu_{1}}+C_{2} e^{\mu_{2}}+C_{3} e^{\mu_{3}}+C_{4} e^{\mu_{4}}=0, \\
& C_{1} e^{-\mu_{1}}+C_{2} e^{-\mu_{2}}+C_{3} e^{-\mu_{3}}+C_{4} e^{-\mu_{4}}=0,  \tag{B.1}\\
& C_{1} \mu_{1} e^{\mu_{1}}+C_{2} \mu_{2} e^{\mu_{2}}+C_{3} \mu_{3} e^{\mu_{3}}+C_{4} \mu_{4} e^{\mu_{4}}=0, \\
&-C_{1} \mu_{1} e^{-\mu_{1}}-C_{2} \mu_{2} e^{-\mu_{2}}-C_{3} \mu_{3} e^{-\mu_{3}}-C_{4} \mu_{4} e^{-\mu_{4}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Subscript $s$ is omitted for the sake of simplicity. The set (B.1) admits non-zero solutions if and only if the determinant of $C_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, is identically zero, that is if

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{3}+\mu_{2} \mu_{4}\right) \operatorname{sh} \mu_{13} \operatorname{sh} \mu_{24}-\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2}+\mu_{3} \mu_{4}\right) \operatorname{sh} \mu_{12} & \operatorname{sh} \mu_{34}  \tag{B.2}\\
& -\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{4}+\mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right) \operatorname{sh} \mu_{14} \operatorname{sh} \mu_{23}=0
\end{align*}
$$

We have denoted here

$$
\mu_{i j}=\mu_{i}-\mu_{j}, \quad i, j=1,2,3,4
$$

In view of the relation (2.13) between $\mu$ and $\lambda$, the transcendental equation (B.2) yields an infinite sequence of values $\lambda_{s}$. We express solutions of (B.1) in terms of $C_{s 1}$ obtaining what follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{s 2}=\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{3}}{\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}} \frac{\operatorname{sh}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{4}\right) \lambda_{s}}{\operatorname{sh}\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{4}\right) \lambda_{s}} C_{s 1}, \\
& C_{s 3}=\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{\omega_{3}-\omega_{2}} \frac{\operatorname{sh}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{4}\right) \lambda_{s}}{\operatorname{sh}\left(\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right) \lambda_{s}} C_{s 1}, \\
& C_{s 4}=\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}} \frac{\operatorname{sh}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{3}\right) \lambda_{s}}{\operatorname{sh}\left(\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right) \lambda_{s}} C_{s 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the homogeneous solutions are of the form (7.3)

$$
f_{s}=Z_{s} \Psi_{s}
$$

where we have assumed

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{s} & \equiv C_{s 1} \\
\Psi_{s} & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} e_{s i}^{0} e^{\lambda_{s} \omega t y}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
e_{s i}^{0} \equiv C_{s i} / C_{s 1}, \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

## Appendix C. The infinite matrices

The explicit formulae for matrices appearing in Eqs. (7.7) are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{q s}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[-b_{11}\left(P_{q}^{R^{\prime \prime}} Q_{s}^{R^{\prime \prime}}+P_{s}^{R \prime \prime} Q_{q}^{R^{\prime \prime}}\right)+2 b_{12}\left(P_{q}^{R \prime} R_{s}^{R^{\prime}}+P_{s}^{R^{\prime}} R_{q}^{R{ }^{\prime \prime}}\right)-b_{22}\left(R_{q}^{R} S_{s}^{R}+R_{s}^{R} S_{q}^{R}\right)\right)_{x=L_{1}=L_{1}}, \\
& B_{q s}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[-b_{11}\left(P_{q}^{R \prime \prime} Q_{s}^{I \prime \prime}+P_{s}^{I \prime \prime} Q_{q}^{I \prime \prime}\right)+2 b_{12}\left(P_{q}^{R^{\prime}} R_{s}^{I^{\prime}}+P_{s}^{I \prime} R_{q}^{R \prime}\right)-b_{22}\left(R_{q}^{R} S_{s}^{I}+R_{s}^{I} S_{q}^{R}\right)\right]_{x=L_{L}}^{x=L_{2}}, \\
& E_{q}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(\hat{u} P_{q}^{R^{\prime \prime}}+\hat{v} R_{q}^{R \prime}\right)_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{2}}, \\
& C_{q s}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[-b_{11}\left(P_{q}^{I \prime \prime} Q_{s}^{R^{\prime \prime}}+P_{s}^{R^{\prime \prime}} Q_{q}^{I \prime \prime}\right)+\ldots\right]_{x=L_{1}^{2}}^{x=}, \\
& D_{q s}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left[-b_{11}\left(P_{q}^{I \prime \prime} Q_{s}^{I \prime \prime}+P_{s}^{I \prime \prime} Q_{q}^{I \prime \prime}\right)+\ldots\right]_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{2}}, \\
& F_{q}=\int_{-1}^{1} d y\left(\hat{u} P_{q}^{I^{\prime \prime}}+\hat{v} R_{q}^{I^{\prime}}\right)_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that expression for $C_{q s}$ can be obtained from that for $B_{q s}$ by interchanging the upper index $R$ with $I$, and expression for $D_{q s}$ can be obtained from that for $A_{q s}$ by replacing $R$ by $I$. Now, after performing the integrations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{q s} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\Xi_{q s}+\Xi_{q^{* s}}\right\} \\
B_{q s} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}\{\text { ditto }\}, \\
C_{q s} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\Xi_{q s}+\Xi_{q s}\right\} \\
D_{q s} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\Xi_{q s}-\Xi_{q^{* s}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Xi_{q s}=\lambda_{q} \lambda_{s}\left(\lambda_{q}+\lambda_{s}\right)\left[e^{-\left(\lambda_{q}+\lambda_{s}\right) x}\right]_{x=L_{1}}^{x=L_{2}} \chi_{q s}
$$

and

$$
\chi_{q s}=\sum_{i}^{4} \sum_{j}^{4} e_{q i}^{0} e_{s j}^{0} H_{q s i j}\left(-b_{11} \omega_{i}^{2} \omega_{j}^{2}+2 b_{12} \omega_{i} \omega_{j}-b_{22}\right)
$$

with

$$
H_{q s i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \text { if } & \lambda_{q} \omega_{i}=-\lambda_{s} \omega_{j} \\
\frac{2}{\lambda_{q} \omega_{i}+\lambda_{s} \omega_{j}} \operatorname{sh}\left(\lambda_{q} \omega_{i}+\lambda_{s} \omega_{j}\right) & \text { if } & \lambda_{q} \omega_{i} \neq-\lambda_{s} \omega_{j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, $\Xi_{q^{* s}}$ is obtained from $\Xi_{q s}$ by replacing $\lambda_{q}$ by its conjugate $\lambda_{q}^{*}$.
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