Remarks on the Species of Cicindela and Elaphrus, mentioned in Olivier. By The Rev. F. W. Hope, F.R.S. F.L.S.

Biblioteka

## CICINDELA, Linnæus.

## Cicindelidæ, Leach. Cicindeloidea, Hope.

	Olivier's Species. Country. Genera of Authors.
1	maxillosaCape of Good Hope Manticora, Fabricius.
2	apteraEast IndiesTricondyla, Latreille.
3	longicollisSiam
4	megacephalusSenegal
5	grossa
6	ChinensisChina)
	cinctaSierra Leone
	bicolor East Indies
10	campestris
11	nemoralisFrance.
	purpureaNorth America.
	sylvaticaEngland.
	tristisNorth AmericaOxycheila, De Jean.
	interruptaSierra LeoneCalochroa, Hope.
16	lamelata
17	lunulata
10	flexuosaSpain
10	CapensisCape of Good Hope.
20	catenaEast Indies.
20	GermanicaEnglandCylindera, Westwood.
99	tylogoglata New Zeelend
02	tuberculataNew Zealand
20	CajennensisCayenneDiplocheila, Brullé.
24	sexpunctataMalabar
20	guadrilingata )
20	quadrilineata biramosa East IndiesCicindela, Linnæus.
	sexquttataCarolina.
	punctulataNorth America.
29	octoguttataSierra LeoneCicindela, Linnæus.
	trifasciataGuadeloupe.
	Canolina Carolina
	VirginicaVirginia
	angung Aloripro
	minutaEast Indies
	emarginataParisDrypta, Fabricius.
30	emaryman and

## Elaphrus, Fabricius.

1 2	riparius	Engla	nd	Elaphrus,	Fabricius.
3	caraboides	Austri	a. 		

Olivier's Species.	Country.	Genera of Authors.
5 aquaticus	England	Nothiophilus, Dumeril.
7 flavipes E	ngland	Bembidium, Illiger.

Remarks and Annotations on the Species of Cicindela and Elaphrus mentioned in the above Tables.

Sp. 2. aptera. This insect, according to M. Brullé, is a Tricondyla of Latreille, and Colliuris major, Lat. appears to be the same insect as Collyris aptera, Fab. In a letter lately received from Westermann of Copenhagen this opinion is incorrect, as he writes Col. major Latr. is quite distinct from Col. aptera Fab. He remarks it is certainly not apterous, but is a true winged Colliuris. It is nearly as large as longicollis, black, and quite different from all the blue species of Colliuris: vide Westermann in litt.

Sp. 3. grossa. Now an Apteroessa, Mihi: for an account of its characters vide 'Manual,' part ii. page 159, fig. 1.

Sp. 9. campestris. The true type of Cicindela: the green varieties of Cic. purpurea, Olivier, according to Mr. Kirby, seem to be the American representatives of the European campestris. In Africa, at the Cape of Good Hope, we meet with Cic. rotundicollis, which may be regarded as representing it on that continent. In a collection of insects also made by Mr. Strickland in Asia Minor, there appears to be two undescribed species which closely resemble Cic. campestris, Lin.

Sp. 11. hybrida. For various observations respecting this species, the reader is referred to Mr. Stephens's 'Illustrations of British Entomology,' vide vol. i. page 8, &c. Cicindela hirticollis, Say, appears in the New World to represent the

European hybrida.

Sp. 12. purpurea. This insect is subject to vary considerably; by inexperienced entomologists some varieties are regarded as distinct species. I suggest the adoption of the Fabrician name of marginalis instead of the above, on the ground of priority.

Sp. 15. interrupta. This species belongs to my genus Calochroa; it closely resembles some of the dark varieties of

C. Chinensis.

Sp. 16. lunulata. From Dr. Gistl's description I suspect that the insect which he has named Cic. Hopei, is only a

variety of the Fabrician lunulata.

Sp. 20. catena. Olivier gives the East Indies and the Cape of Good Hope as the localities of this species; in the latter continent I am inclined to think it never occurs. The

specimens purchased at Cape Town, from Verreaux and other naturalists, are probably obtained from merchantmen trading with India. A few years ago I purchased a collection labelled as "Insects of the Cape." At first sight I was aware that they were peculiar to the East Indies, although I could not state the exact locality; on removing the paper I discovered a memorandum that they were collected at Singapore, and afterwards sold to a dealer at the Cape: the locality turned out correct.

Sp. 22. tuberculata. This insect appears to be exceedingly rare: it is rarely to be found in modern collections. The Banksian cabinet contains almost the only specimen which

has fallen under my notice.

Sp. 23. unipunctata. Olivier gives South America as the locality for this species; I am inclined to think it peculiar

to North America.

Sp. 25. sexpunctata. This species enjoys a very wide range; it occurs at Bombay, Ceylon, Madras, Calcutta, Singapore and Assam: it is subject to considerable variation of markings and colour, some of its varieties have been considered as distinct. One, which in General Hardwicke's collection was named by me Cic. flavomaculata, is only a variety. Olivier's figure is execrable.

Sp. 26. quadrilineata. This species is exceedingly abundant. I have seen Indian basket-work ornamented with the elytra of this insect; the effect was good. From the account I received from my informant, the Malays and some of the races which inhabit Singapore, adorn their handy-

works with the wings of the above insect.

Sp. 30. octoguttata. I have thought proper to change Olivier's locality for this insect; he records it as a species from South America. Fabricius mentions North America; Palisot Beauvois the Island of St. Domingo; Schönherr, in his 'Synonymia Insectorum,' gives Sierra Leone as its native country; and with the latter authority I am inclined to side.

Sp. 31. trifasciata. This insect must not be confounded with our European species, which is evidently distinct. The trifasciata of the New World enjoys a very extended range, occurring in North and South America as well as in several of the West Indian isles.

Sp. 35. minuta. This species has not fallen under my notice; I give it as a Cicindela on the authority of French

entomologists.

Sp. 36. emarginata. Now a Drypta according to Fabricius. Olivier considered Drypta as a Cicindela; according to modern views the Dryptidæ constitute a particular family,

consisting of several genera. As far as is at present known respecting *Drupta*, it belongs to the Old World. European species are comparatively rare inland; in Sicily and Italy it is abundant under the rejectamenta maris; in England I believe it has only been found on the coast of Hastings and Devonshire. In Scotland, some years back, I captured it at Leith in a similar situation. Although it does not appear to be known in the New World, I think it not improbable that it will eventually be found there, occurring perhaps in North as well as South America. East Indies afford several species; those from tropical Africa are worthy of notice, some in my collection are from the banks of the Gambia, and others from Sierra Leone.

## ELAPHRUS, Fabricius.

The genus Elaphrus was by Linnæus regarded as a Cicindela, Geoffroy properly considered it as belonging to Carabus rather than to the former genus; he however injudiciously applied to the species the name of Buprestis. Fabricius first separated them from Carabus, and they now form a family by themselves, according to the views of Messrs. Stephens and Kirby. My friend the Comte de Castelneau arranges with them the *Lebiada*. Preferring the English authorities, in my Manual I have adopted their views. As a group it appears (as far as is known at present) to frequent northern climes, no instance having occurred of its appearance in southern regions.

Sp. 1. riparius of Linnæus and Olivier appears to be the selfsame species, the riparius of Schrank however is El. uliginosus of Fabricius.

This is probably only a variety of the Sp. 2. paludosus.

preceding species.

Sp. 3. caraboides. This insect is apparently unknown in the Parisian collections at present. Schönherr evidently regards it as a distinct species. It is singular that the Baron De

Jean does not mention it in his last Catalogue.

Sp. 4. littoralis. This species cannot be considered as an Elaphrus. The Baron De Jean, in his Catalogue of 1833, applies the name of littoralis, Megerle, to another species of Elaphrus from Hungary; it would be better to substitute that of Megerlei or Dejeanii for the last species, instead of the name already used by Olivier.

Sp. 5. aquaticus. Now a Nothiophilus of Dumeril: for an account of our British species I refer to Mr. Waterhouse's Monograph in the first volume of the 'Entomological Ma-

gazine,' in which eighteen species are described.

rcin.org.pl