

## Corrections to »The Analysis of Data from Small Mammal Trappings«

I. J. LINN & F. DOWNTON

Owing to an unfortunate concatenation of circumstances, the paper by Linn & Downton (1975) describing a suggested statistical method for the analysis of data obtained from small mammal trappings in order to obtain indices of population density was printed with a number of typographical errors uncorrected.

Throughout the paper the Greek letter  $\nu$  appeared in place of the square-root sign and this should be corrected in the following places: p. 323, equations (7) and (9); p. 324, equations (13) and (15); p. 325, equations (17), (22) and (23); p. 326, line 6 up; p. 327, lines 9 and 23; and p. 330, line 17.

Roman i's have been used in place of italics in some of the formulae as a suffix for  $\lambda_i$  and  $a_i$ : p. 322, equations (2) and (3); and p. 325, equations (18) and (22).

Other minor misprints are:

- p. 320, line 14: 'definitely not difinitely.
- p. 321, line 10: involves not involes.
- p. 321, equation (1):  $\lambda_i(1-e^{-\lambda})/\lambda$  not  $\lambda_j(1-e^{-\lambda})/\lambda$ .
- p. 322, lines 2—3 after equation (4): The minus sign of line 2 should be attached to  $E\{\delta^2 \log L/\delta\lambda_i\delta\lambda_j\}$  in line 3.
- equation (3): Delete minus sign between log and  $\frac{a_0}{t}$ .
- equation (6): Bracket omitted in  $-te^{-\lambda}/(1-e^{-\lambda})$ .
- p. 323, line 4:  $\overset{\wedge}{\lambda}$  not  $\overset{\nu}{\lambda}$ .
- p. 324, line 3:  $\overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_i$  not  $\overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_1$
- lines 15—17: This sentence should read »This last comparison can only be made using this particular analysis, if there are only two species present or if it is required, within a sample, to compare one species with all other species taken together in the sample«.
- p. 325, line 6 up:  $\overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_i - \overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_j$  not  $\overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_j - \overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_j$ .
- p. 326, line 20:  $\overset{\wedge}{\lambda}_1 = -\log(a_{10}/t_1)$  not two equals signs.
- p. 327, line 15:  $a_{22} + a_{23}$  not  $a_{22} + a_{32}$ .
- p. 328, line 7: visited not visisted.
- line 3 up: carefully not cerefully.
- p. 331, line 9: 73—96 not 59—72.

### REFERENCE

Linn I. J. & Downton F., 1975: The analysis of data obtained from small mammal index trappings. Acta theriol. 20: 319—331.

[I. J. Linn, Dept. Biol. Sci., Univ. of Exeter, E. Downton, Dept. Mathematical Statistics, Univ. Birmingham, England]