

85/2011

**Raport Badawczy**  
**Research Report**

**RB/31/2011**

**Optimization of prematured  
treasury bonds redemption  
associated with selling  
of new bonds**

**L. Klukowski**

**Instytut Badań Systemowych**  
**Polska Akademia Nauk**

**Systems Research Institute**  
**Polish Academy of Sciences**



# **POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK**

## **Instytut Badań Systemowych**

ul. Newelska 6

01-447 Warszawa

tel.: (+48) (22) 3810100

fax: (+48) (22) 3810105

Kierownik Zakładu zgłaszający pracę:  
Prof. zw. dr hab. inż. Zbigniew Nahorski

Warszawa 2011

**Leszek Klukowski**

## OPTIMIZATION OF PREMATURED TREASURY BONDS REDEMPTION ASSOCIATED WITH SELLING OF NEW BONDS

### **Abstract**

The paper presents an approach to optimization of prematured bond redemption connected with an issue of new bonds. Both transactions are assumed in the auction form. The decision variables are: redemption level and new issue level, the criterion function expresses total servicing cost of the debt. The constraints of the problem comprise budgetary requirements and other features of the debt issued. The approach has been applied with the use of actual data. The paper is based on the research made in Ministry of Finance (Poland).

### **Keywords**

Optimization of debt management, multiple criteria: bond redemption and bond issue, minimization of debt servicing costs

### **Introduction**

Redemption of prematured bonds is a tool for debt management, which allows replacement of old bonds (issued in the past) having some non-desirable features by new ones with appropriate properties. Such replacement can be done with the use of two simultaneous auctions; the first auction determines the value and structure of redeemed bonds, while the second – the value and structure of bonds providing financial means for redemption purpose. The result of both auctions can be optimized; the

criterion function comprises two factors, so the problem has multiple criteria form. The purpose of the paper is presentation of the problem and an example of its optimal solution. The optimization approach for debt management problems in Poland is presented in Klukowski 2003, 2010 (see also Cleassens et al 1995).

The components of optimization task under consideration are:

- decision variables expressing sale of new bonds and redemption of prematured bonds,
- criterion function expressing combined servicing costs of both bonds and
- constraints expressing budgetary requirements and other features of debt issued, e.g. maturity period.

The criterion function has typically non-linear form; the optimal solution cannot be determined without optimization methods.

In Poland auctions aimed at replacement of treasury securities are performed in simpler form, i.e. redemption - with constant (arbitrary) price, while the sale is usual multi-price auction. It is suggested to replace the constant (redemption) price by multi-price auction. The system of two simultaneous multi-price auctions allows obtaining the optimal solution (portfolio), which minimizes the total servicing costs of redeemed and sold bonds. It is so, because the feasible set of combined auctions is broadened, in relation to current auction. Moreover, an average price of redeemed bonds can be lower, than those determined in arbitrary way. Optimal solution can also assume a zero-form, i.e. nothing to redeem, nothing to sell.

The example of the approach proposed is based on data from two actual auctions: sell and redeem, performed in two consecutive days (not simultaneously). The financial market was "stable" in these days;

therefore the optimal solution has features of actual solution of the problem.

The paper consists of four sections. The main results – formulation of the problem and empirical results are presented in Sections 1 – 2. Last Section summarizes the results.

## 1. Problem formulation

The optimization problem under consideration can be formulated as follows. To optimize the result of two simultaneous multi-price auctions for treasury bonds: prematured redemption and issuance of new bonds under the following assumptions:

- criterion function expresses servicing costs of redeemed and new bonds,
- the new bonds have to provide means for redemption purpose.

The constraints of the problem comprise some features of the debt structure after the auctions. The optimization problem, i.e. criterion function (minimized) and constraints (inequalities) can be formulated in the following form.

The criterion function - minimized:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x, w} & \sum_{i \in I_t} x_i (M - d^{(i)}(x_i)) \varphi_i(x_i) + \\ & + \sum_{j \in I_{t+\tau}} \sum_{\tau \in N_j} (W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau}) P_{j,t+\tau} (W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau}) \times \\ & \times \phi_{j,t+\tau}(W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{j,t+\tau}(W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau}) = \\ = \left( \sum_{w=0}^{cut(t+\tau)} R_{j,w}^{(w)} \prod_{k=i+1}^H (1 + r_{j,j+k}^{(w)}) + M \prod_{k=cut(t+\tau+1)}^H (1 + r_{j,j+k}^{(w)}) \right)^{1/H} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times (1/P_{j,t+\tau}(W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau}))^{1/H} - 1$$

and:

$\text{ent}(\mu)$  – integer part of number  $\mu$ ;

$t$  – current year;

$t$  – time from the start of a (current) year  $t$  to a current moment ( $t < 1$ );

$t + t + \tau$  ( $\tau > 0$ ) a time moment in future;

$x_i$  ( $i \in I_x$ ) – a decision variable - number of nominals of  $i$ -th bond (sale auction);  $I_x$  - the set of bonds issued;

$x$  – vector of decision variables  $x_i$ ,  $i \in I_x$ ;

$d^{(i)}(x_i)$  - average discount of one nominal of  $i$ -th bond, corresponding to  $x_i$  nominals;

$\varphi_i(x_i)$  - componed rate of return (profitability) of  $i$ -th bond, corresponding to  $x_i$  nominals, for optimization horizon  $H$ ;

$I_{w,t}$  - the set of bonds redeemed;

$w_{j,t+\tau}$  ( $j \in I_{w,t+\tau}$ ) – decision variable - number of nominals of  $j$ -th bond, with (original) redemption time  $t + t + \tau$ ,  $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ ,  $\Lambda_j$  - a set of redemption times of  $j$ -th bond;

$w$  – vector of decision variables ( $w_{j,t+\tau}$ ;  $j \in I_{w,t+\tau}$ );

$P_{j,t+\tau}(W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau})$  – average price of non-redeemed bonds ( $W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau}$  nominals) of  $j$ -th type ( $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ ), under assumption of increasing bids on auction;

$P_{j,t+\tau}^{(w)}(w_{j,t+\tau})$  - average price of redeemed bonds ( $w_{j,t+\tau}$  nominals) of  $j$ -th type ( $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ ), under assumption of increasing bids on auction;

$\Phi_{t,t+\tau}(W_{j,t+\tau} - w_{j,t+\tau})$  – compound rate of return (average) of non-redeemed bonds of  $j$ -th type refinanced in optimization horizon ( $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ );

$R_{j,t+\tau}^{(w)}$  - amount of interests of  $j$ -th redeemed bond with maturity time  $t + t + \tau$  ( $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ ) paid in a year  $t + \omega$  ( $\omega \geq 0$ ), for actual redemption time;

$H$  – optimization horizon (in years);

$r_{j,t+i+\tau}^{(w)}$  - (market) interest rates of  $j$ -th bond ( $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ ), with maturity time  $t+i+\tau$ , in the year  $t+\omega$  ( $\omega \geq 0$ ) in the case of actual redemption time;

$\Delta_{j,t+i+\tau}$  - discount of  $j$ -th redeemed bond with maturity time  $t+i+\tau$  ( $\tau \in \Lambda_j$ ), in the case of actual redemption time;

$R_{i,t+\omega}$  ( $\omega \geq 1$ ) - amount of interests of  $i$ -th obond ( $i \in I_x$ ), sold for redemption purpose, paid in the year  $t+\omega$ ;

$M$  - nominal value of the bond.

The constraints - specific for the problem under consideration - can be formulated as follows:

a) the constraint for the capital value necessary for redemption purpose:

$$\sum_{i \in I_x} x_i (M - d^{(i)}(x_i)) \geq \sum_{j \in I_w, \tau \in \Lambda_j} w_{j,t+i+\tau} P_{j,t+i+\tau}^{(w)}(w_{j,t+i+\tau}); \quad (2)$$

b) the constraint for change of nominal value of debt - as a result of the auctions:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\min} \leq M \left( \sum_{i \in I_x} x_i - \sum_{j \in I_w, \tau \in \Lambda_j} w_{j,t+i+\tau} \right) \leq \mathcal{G}_{\max}; \quad (3)$$

c) the constraints for changes of servicing costs of the debt in future years - as a result of the auctions:

$\omega=0$  and  $t+\tau \leq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in I_w, \tau \in \Lambda_j} \sum_{i+\tau < 1} w_{j,t+i+\tau} (M - P_{j,t+i+\tau}^{(w)}(w_{j,t+i+\tau})) - \\ & - \sum_{j \in I_w, \tau \in \Lambda_j} \sum_{i+\tau < 1} w_{j,t+i+\tau} R_{j,t+i+\tau}^{(w)} \leq b_t; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$\omega=1$  oraz  $1 < t+\tau \leq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in I_x} x_i R_{i,t+1} - \sum_{j \in I_w, \tau \in \Lambda_j} \sum_{1 \leq t+\tau < 2} w_{j,t+i+\tau} R_{j,t+i+\tau}^{(w)} - \\ & - \sum_{j \in I_w, \tau \in \Lambda_j} \sum_{1 < t+\tau \leq 2} w_{j,t+i+\tau} \Delta_{j,t+i+\tau} \leq b_{t+1}; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(the sum  $\sum_{j \in I_{w,j+t}} \sum_{1 \leq t+\tau < 2} w_{j,j+t+\tau} R_{j,j+t+\tau}^{(w)}$  comprises components satisfying conjunction of conditions, i.e. index  $j \in I_{w,j+t}$  and time moments  $t+\tau \in [1, 2)$ ; constraints of costs for the next years are similar).

Other constraints can be formulated similarly as optimization tasks for servicing costs, e.g.:

d) the constrain for maturity period of new debt:

$$\mu_{\min} \leq \sum_{i \in I_s} \nu_i x_i / \sum_{i \in I_s} x_i \leq \mu_{\max}, \quad (6)$$

where:

$\nu_i$  - maturity of  $i$ -th bond,

$\mu_{\min}, \mu_{\max}$  - minimal and maximal maturity period of issued bonds.

The criterion function comprises two types of variables: • redeemed bonds  $w_{j,j+t+\tau}$  and • bonds  $x_i$  providing capital for redemption. The optimal solution of the task is the mixture of old and new bonds; of course, some of the decision variables can be equal zero. The solution determines the debt structure with minimal servicing costs and other - necessary features of the debt.

The solution of the problem under consideration cannot be determined without optimization methods. Thus, the approach proposed in the paper allows achievement of actual basis for accurate decisions.

## 2. Example of application

An example of application of the task (1) – (6) is based on two actual auctions: sale and prematured redemption. Two bonds were sold: two-years  $x_1$  and five-years  $x_2$  and two redeemed: two-years  $w_1$  and three-years  $w_2$  (assimilative to five years). The auctions were performed



in two consecutive days – in stable situation on financial market. The components of criterion function (1) corresponding to the variables:  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , are not simple and has been approximated with polynomials (see Klukowski 2010). The bids corresponding to sale auction (variables  $x_i$  ( $i=1,2$ )) are ordered in accordance with increasing profitability, the bids corresponding to redemption auction (variables  $W_i - w_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, 2$ )) – in accordance with increasing price. The approximations, corresponding to the variables  $x_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ) are convex increasing functions, the approximations corresponding to the variables  $W_i - w_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ) are convex decreasing functions.

Numerical form of the task has been obtained on the basis actual data – forecasts of interest rates and auctions results. Two optimal solutions are presented below. The first one has been obtained for the following constraints:

$$0 \leq x_1 \leq 1500000,$$

$$0 \leq x_2 \leq 3000000,$$

$$0 \leq W_1 - w_1 \leq 342197,$$

$$0 \leq W_2 - w_2 \leq 134734.$$

The optimal solution of the task assumes the form  $x_1^* = 0$ ,  $x_2^* = 230\,918$ ,  $w_1^* = 212\,945$ ,  $w_2^* = 34\,568$ ; the value of criterion function equals 34 637 898 zł, the value of capital constraint (left-hand side of the inequality (2)) equals: 232 687 111 zł.

The second solution has been obtained for the constraints:

$$0 \leq W_1 - w_1 \leq 130000,$$

$$0 \leq W_2 - w_2 \leq 110000.$$

The solution assumes the form:  $x_1^* = 115\,501$ ,  $x_2^* = 125\,999$ ,  $w_1^* = 212\,197$ ,  $w_2^* = 24\,734$ ; the value of the criterion function - equal 34 871 551 zł.

Both optimal solutions have decision values  $w_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) inside the constraints; they are non-trivial. The optimal solutions are sensitive on values of constraints, especially the constraints of the second task provide non-zero value of the variable  $x_1^*$ . Such feature cannot be detected without optimization methods.

### 3. Summary

The paper presents nonlinear, multiple criteria approach to optimization of prematured treasury bonds redemption associated with selling of new bonds. It is an element of optimization methodology of debt management developed by the author (Klukowski 2003). The approach exceeds current practice – it provides budgetary savings, decreases management costs, generates debt structure with optimal properties. Moreover, its complexity and computation cost is entirely acceptable in practice.

### Literature

- Cleassens S., Kreuser J., Seigel L., Wets R., J-B. (1995) *A Strategic Approach to External Asset/Liability Management in Developing Countries*. Research Proposal, The World Bank, July 11, 1995.
- Grabowski W. (1980) *Mathematical Programming*. PWE, Warsaw (in Polish).
- Jajuga K., Jajuga T. (1997) *Investments, financial instruments, financial risk, financial engineering*. PWN, Warsaw (in Polish).
- Klukowski L. (2010) *OPTIMIZATION OF PUBLIC DEBT MANAGEMENT IN THE CASE OF STOCHASTIC BUDGETARY CONSTRAINTS*. In: Trzaskalik T., Wachowicz T.: *MULTIPLE*

CRITERIA DECISION MAKING'09, The University of  
Economics in Katowice, 135 - 147.

Klukowski L. (2003) *Optimization of Decisions in Treasury Securities  
Management*. High School of Information Technology (WSiSiZ),  
Series: Monograph, Warsaw.







the 1990s, the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia has increased in many countries (e.g., England and Wales, 1999; World Health Organization, 1999). This increase has been attributed to a number of factors, including changes in diagnostic criteria, changes in the way in which people are diagnosed, and changes in the way in which people are treated (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). One of the most widely cited factors is the increase in the use of antipsychotic drugs (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). However, there is also evidence to suggest that the increase in schizophrenia may be due to changes in the way in which people are diagnosed (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). For example, the increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia may be due to changes in the way in which people are diagnosed, such as the use of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th Edition) (DSM-IV) criteria (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). The DSM-IV criteria are more stringent than the previous criteria, and this may lead to an increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999).

Another factor that may contribute to the increase in schizophrenia is the increase in the use of antipsychotic drugs (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). Antipsychotic drugs are used to treat schizophrenia, and they can help to reduce the symptoms of the illness (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). However, there is also evidence to suggest that the use of antipsychotic drugs may lead to an increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). For example, the use of antipsychotic drugs may lead to an increase in the number of people who are diagnosed with schizophrenia, as the drugs can help to reduce the symptoms of the illness (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). This may lead to an increase in the number of people who are diagnosed with schizophrenia, as the drugs can help to reduce the symptoms of the illness (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999).

There is also evidence to suggest that the increase in schizophrenia may be due to changes in the way in which people are treated (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). For example, the increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia may be due to changes in the way in which people are treated, such as the use of the DSM-IV criteria (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). The DSM-IV criteria are more stringent than the previous criteria, and this may lead to an increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). The DSM-IV criteria are more stringent than the previous criteria, and this may lead to an increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999).

There is also evidence to suggest that the increase in schizophrenia may be due to changes in the way in which people are treated (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). For example, the increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia may be due to changes in the way in which people are treated, such as the use of the DSM-IV criteria (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). The DSM-IV criteria are more stringent than the previous criteria, and this may lead to an increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999). The DSM-IV criteria are more stringent than the previous criteria, and this may lead to an increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia (e.g., Marder, 1997; World Health Organization, 1999).

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are illiterate has increased from 1.1 billion to 1.2 billion (UNESCO, 2003).

There are many reasons for the increase in illiteracy. One of the reasons is that the population of the world is growing rapidly. Another reason is that the quality of education is declining in many countries. A third reason is that the cost of education is increasing, making it difficult for many people to afford it.

There are many ways to reduce illiteracy. One way is to improve the quality of education. Another way is to make education more affordable. A third way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn.

There are many challenges to reducing illiteracy. One challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have access to education. Another challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have the resources to learn.

There are many ways to overcome these challenges. One way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn. Another way is to provide more resources for people to learn. A third way is to provide more support for people who are illiterate.

There are many benefits to reducing illiteracy. One benefit is that it helps people to find better jobs. Another benefit is that it helps people to improve their lives. A third benefit is that it helps people to become more active citizens.

There are many ways to measure illiteracy. One way is to count the number of people who cannot read and write. Another way is to measure the percentage of people who are illiterate. A third way is to measure the number of people who are illiterate who are under the age of 15.

There are many ways to reduce illiteracy. One way is to improve the quality of education. Another way is to make education more affordable. A third way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn.

There are many challenges to reducing illiteracy. One challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have access to education. Another challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have the resources to learn.

There are many ways to overcome these challenges. One way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn. Another way is to provide more resources for people to learn. A third way is to provide more support for people who are illiterate.

There are many benefits to reducing illiteracy. One benefit is that it helps people to find better jobs. Another benefit is that it helps people to improve their lives. A third benefit is that it helps people to become more active citizens.

There are many ways to measure illiteracy. One way is to count the number of people who cannot read and write. Another way is to measure the percentage of people who are illiterate. A third way is to measure the number of people who are illiterate who are under the age of 15.

There are many ways to reduce illiteracy. One way is to improve the quality of education. Another way is to make education more affordable. A third way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn.

There are many challenges to reducing illiteracy. One challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have access to education. Another challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have the resources to learn.

There are many ways to overcome these challenges. One way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn. Another way is to provide more resources for people to learn. A third way is to provide more support for people who are illiterate.

There are many benefits to reducing illiteracy. One benefit is that it helps people to find better jobs. Another benefit is that it helps people to improve their lives. A third benefit is that it helps people to become more active citizens.

There are many ways to measure illiteracy. One way is to count the number of people who cannot read and write. Another way is to measure the percentage of people who are illiterate. A third way is to measure the number of people who are illiterate who are under the age of 15.

There are many ways to reduce illiteracy. One way is to improve the quality of education. Another way is to make education more affordable. A third way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn.

There are many challenges to reducing illiteracy. One challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have access to education. Another challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have the resources to learn.

There are many ways to overcome these challenges. One way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn. Another way is to provide more resources for people to learn. A third way is to provide more support for people who are illiterate.

There are many benefits to reducing illiteracy. One benefit is that it helps people to find better jobs. Another benefit is that it helps people to improve their lives. A third benefit is that it helps people to become more active citizens.

There are many ways to measure illiteracy. One way is to count the number of people who cannot read and write. Another way is to measure the percentage of people who are illiterate. A third way is to measure the number of people who are illiterate who are under the age of 15.

There are many ways to reduce illiteracy. One way is to improve the quality of education. Another way is to make education more affordable. A third way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn.

There are many challenges to reducing illiteracy. One challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have access to education. Another challenge is that there are many people who are illiterate who do not have the resources to learn.

There are many ways to overcome these challenges. One way is to provide more opportunities for people to learn. Another way is to provide more resources for people to learn. A third way is to provide more support for people who are illiterate.