

# LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

- Table 1.1. Results of the Likelihood Ratio Test in Estimated Models
- Table 2.1. Overview of Voter Turnout among POLPAN Respondents
- Table 2.2. Sex Differences in Voter Turnout among POLPAN Respondents
- Table 2.3. Logistic Regression Results for the Gender Gap in Voting Behavior, 1989–2007
- Table 2.4. Logistic Regression Results for Predictors of Voting Behavior among Men and Women, 2007
- Table 3.1. Proportion of Respondents Who Responded “Don’t know” by Party and Disadvantaged Group
- Table 3.2. Mean Value of Party Reputation for Representing Disadvantaged Groups of Women, Poor, Elderly, and All Disadvantaged Groups Combined
- Table 3.3. Differences between Positive and Nonpositive Evaluators of the Party System (in %)
- Table 3.4. Logistic Regression of Democratic Engagement on Selected Independent Variables
- Table A.3.1. Ten Political Parties in Poland in 2008 by Acronym, and Polish and English Name
- Table 4.1. Perceived Government Influence on Corruption: Crosstabulation of 2003 and 2008 Results
- Table 4.2. Logistic Regression Results of Evaluation of Government Effectiveness in Fighting Corruption, 2013
- Table 5.1. Political Knowledge: The Number of “Don’t Know” and Correct Answers for Men and Women, 2003

- Table 5.2. Political Interest in 2008 Regressed on Gender, Political Knowledge, Their Interaction, and Controls (N = 1,219)
- Table 5.3. Non-Democratic Attitudes in 2008 Regressed on Gender, Political Knowledge, Their Interaction, and Controls (N = 1,083)
- Table 5.4. Political Alienation in 2008 Regressed on Gender, Political Knowledge, Their Interaction, and Controls (N = 1,181)
- Table 6.1. Demographic Parameters and Attitudes of Those, who Had Positive, Negative or Neutral Expectations toward Changes in Their Personal Life (in %)
- Table 6.2. Answers to the Question *Do You Think that Poland's Entry into the European Union will have a Positive or Negative Impact on Your Life, or will it have no Impact at all?* in 2003 and *Had Poland's Entry into the European Union any Impact on Your Life?* in 2008 (in %)
- Table 6.3. Logistic Regression on the Positive Expectations about the Impact of the Access to the EU on the Respondent's Life in 2003
- Table 6.4. Multinomial Regression on the Groups of Attitudes on the EU's Impact which Have Not Changed in 1998–2003
- Table 6.5. Logistic Regression on the Satisfaction with the EU Entry's Results, and Control Variables, 2008
- Table 6.6. Multinomial Regression on Three Categories of Replies “No Impact”
- Table 7.1. The List of Occupational Titles Used in the Do-Earn and Should-Earn Questions in POLPAN
- Table 7.2. Results of Fitting Conditional Logistic Regression Models to the Do-earn and Should-Earn Data, with Standard Errors
- Table 7.3. Results of Fitting Conditional Logistic Regression Models to the Do-Earn and Should-Earn Data, with Standard Errors
- Table 8.1. Distribution of Responses to Five Welfare Propositions
- Table 8.2. Means and Relative Frequencies of Independent Variables
- Table 8.3. 14-, 7-, and 2-Category Class Schemes
- Table 8.4. Agreement Rates of Five Redistribution Propositions, by Independent Variable
- Table 8.5. Model 1: Results of Ordered Logistic Regression Models of Poles' Attitudes toward Four Redistribution Propositions
- Table 8.6. Model 2: Results of Ordered Logistic Regression Models of Poles' Attitudes toward Four Redistribution Propositions
- Table 8.7. Model 3: Results of Ordered Logistic Regression Models of Poles' Attitudes toward Four Redistribution Propositions

- Table 8.8. Model 4: Results of Ordered Logistic Regression Models of Poles' Attitudes toward Four Redistribution Propositions
- Table 8.9. Cross Tabulation of Self-Assessed Job Security by Contract Status
- Table 9.1. Frequent Church Attendance in Four Waves of POLPAN (in %)
- Table 9.2. Selected Demographic and Social Characteristics Variables - First Wave (1988; N = 851) – Mean Values (for Continuous Variables) and Percentage (for Categorical Variables)
- Table 9.3. Fit Indexes for Latent Class Growth Analysis (LCGA): Linear Unconditional (Row A) and Conditional (Row B) Models with Two to Four Classes
- Table 9.4. Estimated Parameters for the Growth Factor Conditional Latent Class Growth Analysis Model (LCGA) and Frequencies in the Latent Trajectory Groups, 1988, 1998, 2003, 2008
- Table 9.5. Predictors of Membership in the Latent Trajectory Classes (C1 High/Stable, C2 Very Low/Decreasing, C3 Middle/Decreasing) – Multinomial Logistic Regression
- Table 10.1. Mean Values of the Number of Friends and the Index of Network Quality for Different Levels of Church Attendance, 2013
- Table 10.2. Regression Analysis of Number of Friends on Church Attendance and Control Variables, 2013
- Table 10.3. Regression Analysis of Quality of Friendships on Church Attendance and Control Variables, 2013
- Table 11.1. Coefficients of Logistic Regression Models Explaining the Likelihood of Overeducation in 2013, Including Standard Errors and Average Marginal Effects
- Table 11.2. Coefficients of Random Effects Logistic Regression Models Explaining Determinants of Overeducation at  $t_{n+1}$ , 1988–2013
- Table 11.3. Overeducation at  $t_{n+1}$  among Those Overeducated at  $t_n$ , Persistently Overeducated among All Panel Respondents, and among Workers Aged 21–25 at  $t_n$  (in %)
- Table 12.1. Foreign Languages Preferences in Different Age Groups (in %), 1993 and 2013
- Table 12.2. Dynamics of the Individual Foreign Language Choices in 1993–2013
- Table 12.3. Results of the Logistic Regression: Declared Foreign Language Knowledge in the Age Group of 21–25-Year Olds, Controlling for Ascriptive Factors, 2013

Table 12.4. Percentage of Respondents Speaking Foreign Language(s) in the 21–25 Age Group, Accounting for Father's Social Class and Mother's Education, 2013

Table 13.1. Logistic Regression of Overweight or Obesity (BMI > 25) and Obesity (BMI > 30) on Demographic and Socio-economic Variables, and Social Isolation (Odds Ratios)

Table 13.2. Regression of Poor Self-Rated Psychological Condition, Emotional Problems and Problems with Sleep on Respondents' Individual Characteristics (Odds Ratios)

Table 13.3. Regression of Poor Subjective of Health, Pain and Limited Mobility on Respondents' Individual Characteristics (Odds Ratios)

Table 14.1. The Dimensions of Health Included in the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP) and Statements Included in the NHP

Table 14.2. Regression for Reduced Energy Levels, Pain and Physical Limitations on Respondents' Individual Characteristics

Table 14.3. Regression of Sleep Problems, Emotional Problems and Social Isolation on Respondents' Individual Characteristics

Table 14.4. Regression of Self-Rated Health and Mood on Respondents' Individual Characteristics

Table 14.5. Regression of Change in Health (Lack of Energy, Pain, and Physical Limitations) in 2008–2013 on Respondent's Individual Characteristics

Table 14.6. Regression of Change in Health (Sleep Problems, Emotional Problems and Social Isolation) in 2008–2013 on Respondent's Individual Characteristics

Table 14.7. Regression of Changed Self-rated Health and Mood in 2008–2013 on Respondents' Individual Characteristics

Figure 1.1. Voting Behavior in Occupational Categories

Figure 1.2. Voting Behavior vs. Skill Requirements

Figure 1.3. Voting Behavior vs. Complexity of Work

Figure 1.4. Voting Behavior vs. 1979 Scale of Socio-Economic Status

Figure 1.5. Voting Behavior vs. Material Remuneration

Figure 1.6. Voting Behavior vs. 1979 Scale of Occupational Prestige

Figure 1.7. Voting Behavior vs. 2009 Scale of Occupational Prestige

Figure 2.1. Differences in Effect of Work Hours Between Men and Women in 2007

Figure 4.1. Perception of Corruption in the Ruling Government in Poland, 1993

- Figure 4.2. Perception of Corruption in the Ruling Government – Rescaled Illustration for Five Point Scale, 1993
- Figure 4.3. Influence of Government on Corruption, 2003 and 2008
- Figure 4.4. The Effectiveness of Current Government in Fighting Corruption, 2013
- Figure 5.1. Non-Democratic Attitudes (2008): The Political Knowledge and Gender Interaction
- Figure 6.1. Changes in Positive Estimations of the Expected Personal Improvements after Access to the EU among Urban and Rural Dwellers of the Three Regions, 1998–2003, and the Assessment of Factual Impact in 2008 (%)
- Figure 6.2. Changes in Incomes per Capita after Access to the EU among Urban and Rural Dwellers of the Three Regions, 2003–2008, in Polish Złoty
- Figure 7.1. Proportions of Non-response in the Do-earn and Should-earn Questions by Occupation, Wave, Subject's Work Status, and the Question Type
- Figure 8.1. Agreement Rates for Welfare Propositions by Aggregated Self-Identified Social Position
- Figure 9.1. Path Diagram for Conventional Linear Latent Growth Curve Models (LGCM), Latent Class Growth Analysis (LCGA) and Growth Mixture Model (GMM) with Four Occasions
- Figure 9.2. Growth Trajectories for Traditional Latent Growth Curve Model (LGCM)
- Figure 9.3. Growth Trajectories for Each Latent Class (the Conditional Three-Class Latent Class Growth Analysis [LCGA] Model)
- Figure 11.1. Overeducation in 1988–2013
- Figure 12.1. Foreign Language Skills in 2013
- Figure 12.2. Foreign Language Skills in Different Age Groups (in %), 2013
- Figure 12.3. Knowledge of Foreign Languages in Different Age Groups (in %), 1993 and 2013
- Figure 13.1. Overweight and Obesity in Selected Countries
- Figure 13.2. Overweight and Obesity in Poland by Age Group
- Figure 13.3. Overweight and Obesity in Poland by Education Level
- Figure 13.4. Overweight and Obesity in Poland by Household Income per Capita
- Figure 13.5. Overweight and Obesity in Poland by Self-Rated Psychological Condition

300 LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Figure 13.6. Overweight and Obesity in Poland against Subjective State of Health Compared to Most People of the Same Age

Figure 14.1. Lack of Energy, Pain and Physical Limitations (Dimensions of Health Problem from the Nottingham Health Profile) among People after the Age of 55

Figure 14.2. Social Isolation, Sleep Problems and Emotional Problems (Dimensions of Health Problem from the Nottingham Health Profile) among People after the Age of 55

Figure 14.3. Self-Rated Health among People after the Age of 55

Figure 14.4. Self-Rated Mood (Psychological Well-Being) among People after the Age of 55