

MESSENGER OF MATHEMATICS.

NUMBER OF PROPER TERNARY n -ICS.

By Lt.-Col. Allan Cunningham, R.E., Fellow of King's Coll., London.

1. Introduction. A QUANTIC of n^{th} degree in x, y may be said to be *complete* when all the possible terms are present, and to be *incomplete* when some of the possible terms are absent, so that it contains a number of terms r less than the full number of terms of the complete quantic.

A quantic of n^{th} degree may be said to be a *proper* quantic when it is not the product of algebraic factors of lower degrees. A quantic which contains a linear or other algebraic factor may be styled an *improper* quantic.

It is proposed to investigate in this Paper the number of *incomplete proper ternary n^{th} degree* quantics, arising from the complete ternary n^{th} degree quantic by erasure of some of its terms. This number is interesting as being the number of proper n -ic equations, and also the number of differential equations arising from an n -ic equation.

From the definitions it follows that:

(1) Every complete ternary quantic is (in general) a *proper* ternary quantic.

(2) Every binary quantic is an *improper* quantic.

2. Preliminary Formulae:—

Let n be the degree of a function in x, y .

Let u_n, U_n be the types of binary and ternary quantic for n^{th} degree, where

$$u_n = a_{n,0}x^n + a_{n-1,1}x^{n-1}y + a_{n-2,2}x^{n-2}y^2 + \dots + a_{1,n-1}xy^{n-1} + a_{0,n}y^n \quad \dots \dots \dots (1),$$

and

$$U_n = u_n + u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \dots + u_2 + u_1 + u_0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (2),$$

$$\text{so that } u_0 = c \text{ (a constant)} \quad \dots \dots \dots (3).$$

Let t_n , T_n = number of terms in u_n , U_n respectively;
therefore $t_n = (n + 1)$;

$$T_n = \{(n + 1) + n + (n - 1) + \dots + 3 + 2 + 1\} = \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)(n + 2) \dots \dots \dots (4).$$

Let $C(n, r)$ = number of combinations of n different things taken r together.

$$= n! / r!(n - r)! \dots \dots \dots (5).$$

Let $S(n, r)$ = number of sets, containing r terms, formable from U_n .

Let $s(n, r)$ = number of sets, containing r terms, formable from U_n , each set containing at least one term from u_n .

Let $\Sigma(n, r)$ = number of functions of n^{th} degree, containing r terms, (including improper quantics) formable from U_n .

Let $\sigma(n, r)$ = number of improper quantics of n^{th} degree, containing r terms, formable from U_n .

Let $N'''(n, r)$ = number of proper ternary quantics of n^{th} degree, containing r terms, formable from U_n .

Let $N'''(n)$ = total number of proper ternary quantics of n^{th} degree formable from U_n .

Thus $N'''(n, r)$ and $N'''(n)$ are the numbers sought.

[The accents in the symbols $N'''(n, r)$, $N'''(n)$ indicate that these symbols refer to *ternary* quantics: this distinction is required for use in a subsequent Paper (p. 8) on *quaternary* quantics.]

$$\text{Then } S(n, r) = C(T_n, r) = T_n! / r!(T_n - r)! \dots \dots \dots (6),$$

$$s(n, r) = S(n, r) - S\{(n - 1), r\} \dots \dots \dots (7),$$

$$= C(T_n, r) - C(T_{n-1}, r) \dots \dots \dots (7a),$$

$$\Sigma(n, r) = s(n, r) \dots \dots \dots (8),$$

$$N'''(n, r) = \Sigma(n, r) - \sigma(n, r) \dots \dots \dots (9),$$

$$= s(n, r) - \sigma(n, r) \dots \dots \dots (9a).$$

The computation of $\sigma(n, r)$ will occupy most of the rest of this paper, and in fact presents the only difficulty.

3. Decomposition of $\sigma(n, r)$ into parts.

A ternary n -ic is an *improper* quartic in following cases:

- I. When containing x or y , or both x and y as factors.
- II. When it is a function of x only (not of y), or of y only (not of x).
- III. When it is a homogeneous function of n^{th} degree (a binary n -ic).

These cases are to a considerable extent mutually involved, e.g.

$(a_{n_0}x^n + a_{m_0}x^m + a_{p_0}x^p)$ falls under both Cases I, II,

$(a_{n_0}x^n + a_{n-m_0}x^{n-m}y^m + a_{n-p_0}x^{n-p}y^p)$ falls under both Cases I, III, and the only difficulty consists in avoiding counting such cases twice.

Let $\sigma(n, r, x, y, xy) =$ the number of n -ic functions of r terms, containing x or y , or both x and y as a factor, formable from U_n .

Let $\sigma(n, r, fx, fy) =$ the number of n -ic functions of r terms, which are functions of x only (not of y), or of y only (not of x), but not containing either x or y as a factor, formable from U_n .

Let $\sigma(n, r, u_n) =$ the number of homogeneous n -ic functions of r terms, not containing x or y as a factor, nor functions of x only or of y only.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sigma(n, r) &= \sigma(n, r, x, y, xy) + \sigma(n, r, fx, fy) \\ &\quad + \sigma(n, r, u_n) \dots \dots \dots (10). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the three parts of $\sigma(n, r)$ are herein defined so as to exclude twice counting of functions falling under two of the Cases I, II, III.

It remains now to compute the three parts of $\sigma(n, r)$.

4. Number of terms containing x, y .

Let ξ_n, X_n be the number of terms containing x in u_n, U_n .

Let η_n, Y_n be the " " " " y in u_n, U_n .

Let λ_n, L_n be the " " " " x and y in u_n, U_n .

$$\text{Then } \xi_n = n = \eta_n; \lambda_n = n - 1 \dots \dots \dots (11).$$

$$\text{Then } X_n = \Sigma(\xi_n) = \{n + (n - 1) + \dots + 3 + 2 + 1\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) = Y_n = T_{n-1} \dots \dots \dots (12).$$

$$\text{Then } L_n = \Sigma(\lambda_n) = \{(n - 1) + (n - 2) + \dots + 3 + 2 + 1\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}n(n - 1) = X_{n-1} = T_{n-2} \dots \dots \dots (13).$$

5. Computation of $\sigma(n, r, x, y, xy)$. This may be decomposed into the algebraic sum of three parts, viz.

2 parts, when only one of x, y enter as factors.

1 part, when both x, y enter as factors.

Let $S(n, r, x)$, $S(n, r, y)$, $S(n, r, xy)$ be the numbers of sets of r terms, containing x or y , or both x and y as factors, formable from the X_n , Y_n , or L_n terms of U_n , which contain x or y , or both x and y as factors in U_n respectively.

Let $s(n, r, x)$, $s(n, r, y)$, $s(n, r, xy)$ be the numbers of sets of r terms, containing x or y , or both x and y as factors, formable from the X_n , Y_n , or L_n terms of U_n , which contain x or y , or both x and y as factors; each set containing at least one term from u_n .

Let $\sigma(n, r, x)$, $\sigma(n, r, y)$, $\sigma(n, r, xy)$ be the number of n -ic functions of r terms, containing x or y , or both x and y as factors, formable from U_n .

Then

$$S(n, r, x) = C(X_n, r) = C(Y_n, r) = S(n, r, y) \dots \dots \dots (14),$$

$$s(n, r, x) = S(n, r, x) - S\{(n-1), r, x\} = s(n, r, y) \dots \dots \dots (15),$$

$$\sigma(n, r, x) = s(n, r, x) = s(n, r, y) = \sigma(n, r, y) \dots \dots \dots (16),$$

$$= C(X_n, r) - C(X_{n-1}, r) \dots \dots \dots (16a).$$

$$S(n, r, xy) = C(L_n, r) \dots \dots \dots (17),$$

$$s(n, r, xy) = S(n, r, xy) - S\{(n-1), r, xy\} \dots \dots \dots (18),$$

$$\sigma(n, r, xy) = s(n, r, xy) \dots \dots \dots (19),$$

$$= C(L_n, r) - C(L_{n-1}, r) \dots \dots \dots (19a).$$

And as the whole of the sets $\sigma(n, r, xy)$ are clearly included in both the numbers $\sigma(n, r, x)$, $\sigma(n, r, y)$, therefore

$$\sigma(n, r, x, y, xy) = \sigma(n, r, x) + \sigma(n, r, y) - \sigma(n, r, xy) \dots \dots \dots (20),$$

$$= 2\{C(X_n, r) - C(X_{n-1}, r)\} - \{C(L_n, r) - C(L_{n-1}, r)\} \dots \dots \dots (21),$$

$$= 2C(T_{n-1}, r) - 3C(T_{n-2}, r) + C(T_{n-3}, r) \dots \dots \dots (21a).$$

6. Number of terms containing only one variable.

Let X'_n , Y'_n be the number of terms of form $a_{m_0}x^m$ or $a_{m_0}y^m$ contained in U_n , where m takes all the values 1, 2, 3, ..., n (but not zero).

$$\text{Then } X'_n = n = Y'_n \dots \dots \dots (22).$$

7. Computation of $\sigma(nr, fx, fy)$. This may be decomposed into the sum of two parts as follows:

Let $\sigma(n, r, fx)$, $\sigma(n, r, fy)$ be the number of n -ic functions of r terms formable from U_n , which are functions of x only or of y only, and yet not containing either x or y as a factor.

It is clear that each set included in these numbers must contain the absolute term $u_0 (= c)$, and $(r - 1)$ other terms taken from the X'_n or Y'_n terms of Art. 6 of form $a_{m_0} x^m$ or $a_{m_0} y^m$; therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(n, r, fx) &= \sigma(n, r, fy) = C(X'_n - 1, r - 2) \\ &= C(n - 1, r - 2) \quad \dots \dots \dots (23).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as the sets included in $\sigma(n, r, fx)$, $\sigma(n, r, fy)$ are wholly different,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(n, r, fx, fy) &= \sigma(n, r, fx) + \sigma(n, r, fy) \\ &= 2C\{(n-1), (r-2)\} \dots \dots \dots (23a).\end{aligned}$$

8. *Computation of $\sigma(n, r, u_n)$.* By definition (Art. 3) this is the number of homogeneous quantics of r terms of n^{th} degree, not containing x or y as factors, nor yet functions of x only or of y only.

It is clear that all the quantics included in this last number σ must be *binary* quantics, and must all contain the two terms $a_{n_0}x^n + a_{0_n}y^n$ and $(r - 2)$ other terms taken from the remaining $(n - 1)$ terms of u_n .

9. Reduction of $\sigma(n, r)$. Combining the different parts of $\sigma(n, r)$ by Results (10), (21a), (23a), (24),

10. *Final formula for $N'''(n, r)$.* By (9a), (7a), (28), the number sought is—

$$N'''(n, r) = [C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 3C(T_{n-2}, r) \\ - C(T_{n-3}, r)] - 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\} \dots \dots (29),$$

wherein, by (4)

Hence, from the meaning of C , or from first principles,
 r not < 2 , nor $> T$. i.e. not $> \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)\dots(31)$.

* There is a hiatus here in the numbering of the Results, (Nos. 25 to 27 being omitted): this is to allow of the numbering of the Results in the subsequent Paper (similar to this) on the "Number of Proper Quaternary n -ics," agreeing with the numbering of similar Results in this Paper.

Also, the greater the value of r , the simpler the general expression (29) for $N'''(n, r)$ becomes, because

$$C\{(n-1), (r-2)\} = 0, \quad \text{when } r > (n+1) \quad \dots \dots (32),$$

$$C(T_{n-8}, r) = 0, \quad \text{when } r > T_{n-8} \dots \dots \dots (32a),$$

$$C(T_{n-2}, r) = 0, \quad \text{when } r > T_{n-2} \dots \dots \dots (32b),$$

$$C(T_{n-1}, r) = 0, \quad \text{when } r > T_{n-1} \dots \dots \dots (32c).$$

Hence, the following Table of values of $N'''(n, r)$ for different values of r .

Value of n .	General expressions for $N'''(n, r)$.	Result. No.
$= 2$	$3(n-1)$	(33a)
$= 3$	$\frac{1}{2}\{5n^3 - 9n + 6\}$	(33b)
$= 4$	$\frac{1}{8}(n-1)\{n(n+1)(7n^2-8)-12(n-2)\}$	(33c)
\vdots	
$<(n+1)$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 3C(T_{n-2}, r) - C(T_{n-3}, r)]$ $- 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33d)
$=(n+1)$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 3C(T_{n-2}, r) - C(T_{n-3}, r)] - 3$	(33e)
$<T_{n-3}$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 3C(T_{n-2}, r) - C(T_{n-3}, r)]$ $- 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33f)
$= T_{n-3}$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 3C(T_{n-2}, r) - 1]$ $- 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33g)
$> T_{n-3}, < T_{n-2}$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 3C(T_{n-2}, r)] - 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33h)
$= T_{n-2}$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r) + 8] - 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33i)
$> T_{n-2}, < T_{n-1}$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3C(T_{n-1}, r)] - 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33j)
$= T_{n-1}$	$[C(T_n, r) - 3] - 3C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$	(33k)
$> T_{n-1}, < T_n$	$[C(T_n, r)]$	(33l)
$= T_n$	1	(33m)

Note that the term $C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}$ enters into the general formulae (33d...k) effectively only when n, r are both small, and quickly disappears as r increases.

11. Computation of $N'''(n)$.

From the definitions, Art 2, the final number sought,

$N'''(n) = \Sigma [N'''(n, r)]$ from $r=2$ to $r=T$(34).

But, by the theory of combinations, (m is any integer $> r$)

$\Sigma [C(m, r), \text{ from } r=2 \text{ to } r=m]$

$= \Sigma [C(m, r), \text{ from } r = 1 \text{ to } r = m] - C(m, 1)$

and $\Sigma [C\{(n-1), (r-2)\}, r=2 \text{ to } r=(n+1)] = \Sigma [C\{(n-1), \rho\}, \text{ from } \rho=0 \text{ to } \rho=(n-1)] = 2^{n-1} \dots (35b)$;
therefore by results (34), (29), (35a, b) the final formula is $N'''(n) = [2^T - 2^{T_{n-3}}] - 3(2^{T_{n-1}} - 2^{T_{n-2}})$
 $- [(T_n - T_{n-1}) - 3(T_{n-1} - T_{n-2})] - 3 \times 2^{n-1} = [(2^T - 2^{T_{n-3}}) - 3(2^{T_{n-1}} - 2^{T_{n-2}})] - 3 \times 2^{n-1}$ (36).

a remarkable formula.

The following Table shows the values of T_n , $N'''(n, r)$, and $N''''(n)$ for the ternary n -ic quantics defined by $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. It will be seen how very rapidly $N'''(x)$ increases with n .

[To ensure accuracy the values of $N^{(n)}$ have been computed from the general formula (36), and found to tally with those given by adding the values of $N^{(n, r)}$. The values of $N^{(n, r)}$ have also been verified for the cases $n = 1$ and 2 completely, and partly for the case $n = 3$, (viz. when $r = 2$ and 3) by actually writing out the ternary n -ics themselves.]