

646.

ON THE GENERAL EQUATION OF DIFFERENCES OF THE SECOND ORDER.

[From the *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. XIV. (1877), pp. 23—25.]

CONSIDER the equation of differences

viz. we have

$$u_x = a_{x-1} u_{x-1} + b_{x-2} u_{x-2},$$

$$u_2 = a_1 u_1 + b_0 u_0,$$

$$u_3 = a_2 u_2 + b_1 u_1,$$

$$u_4 = a_3 u_3 + b_2 u_2,$$

$$u_5 = a_4 u_4 + b_3 u_3,$$

$$u_6 = a_5 u_5 + b_4 u_4,$$

&c.,

and thence

$$u_3 = \left| \begin{array}{c} a_2 a_1 \\ + b_1 \end{array} \right| u_1 + a_2 b_0 u_0,$$

$$u_4 = \left| \begin{array}{c} a_3 a_2 a_1 \\ + a_3 b_1 \\ + a_1 b_2 \end{array} \right| u_1 + \left| \begin{array}{c} a_3 a_2 \\ + b_2 \end{array} \right| b_0 u_0,$$

$$u_5 = \left| \begin{array}{c} a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 \\ + a_4 a_3 b_1 \\ + a_4 a_1 b_2 \\ + a_2 a_1 b_3 \\ + b_1 b_3 \end{array} \right| u_1 + \left| \begin{array}{c} a_4 a_3 a_2 \\ + a_4 b_2 \\ + a_2 b_3 \end{array} \right| b_0 u_0,$$



$$\begin{array}{l|l}
 u_6 = & a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 \quad u_1 + \quad a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 \quad b_0 u_0, \\
 & + a_5 a_4 a_3 b_1 \quad + a_5 a_4 b_2 \\
 & + a_5 a_4 a_1 b_2 \quad + a_5 a_2 b_3 \\
 & + a_5 a_2 a_1 b_3 \quad + a_3 a_2 b_4 \\
 & + a_3 a_2 a_1 b_4 \quad + b_4 b_2 \\
 & + a_5 b_3 b_1 \\
 & + a_3 b_4 b_1 \\
 & + a_1 b_4 b_2 \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \&c.
 \end{array}$$

It is now easy to see the law; viz. writing for instance

$$u_6 = 54321 \cdot u_1 + 5432 \cdot b_0 u_0,$$

then 54321 has a leading term $a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1$: it has terms derived from this by changing any pair $a_2 a_1$ into b_1 , $a_3 a_2$ into b_2 , $a_4 a_3$ into b_3 , $a_5 a_4$ into b_4 : it has terms derived by changing any two pairs $a_4 a_3$, $a_2 a_1$ into $b_3 b_1$; $a_5 a_4$, $a_2 a_1$ into $b_4 b_1$; $a_5 a_4$, $a_3 a_2$ into $b_4 b_2$, and so on; where observe that the expression a pair denotes the product of two consecutive a 's.

And, similarly, 5432 has a leading term $a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2$; the other terms being derived from this in the same manner precisely.

The solution of $u_x = l x (a u_{x-1} - u_{x-2})$ is included in, and might be deduced from the foregoing, but it is convenient to obtain it separately. Supposing for greater simplicity that $u_{-1} = 0$, $u_0 = 1$ (or, what is the same thing, $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = l_1 a$), then we find

$$u_0 = 1,$$

$$u_1 = l_1 a,$$

$$u_2 = l_2 l_1 a^2 - l_2,$$

$$u_3 = l_3 l_2 l_1 a^3 - \left| \begin{array}{l} l_3 l_2 \\ + l_3 l_1 \end{array} \right| a.$$

$$u_4 = l_4 l_3 l_2 l_1 a^4 - \left| \begin{array}{l} l_4 l_3 l_2 \\ + l_4 l_3 l_1 \\ + l_4 l_2 l_1 \end{array} \right| a^2 + l_4 l_2,$$

$$u_5 = l_5 l_4 l_3 l_2 l_1 a^5 - \left| \begin{array}{l} l_5 l_4 l_3 l_2 \\ + l_5 l_4 l_3 l_1 \\ + l_5 l_4 l_2 l_1 \\ + l_5 l_3 l_2 l_1 \end{array} \right| a^3 + \left| \begin{array}{l} l_5 l_4 l_2 \\ + l_5 l_3 l_2 \\ + l_5 l_3 l_1 \end{array} \right| a,$$

&c.,

viz. we may for example write

$$u_5 = l_5 4321 \cdot \alpha^5 - 4321 (\cdot) \alpha^3 + 4321 (:)\alpha;$$

where

$$4321 \text{ denotes } l_4 l_3 l_2 l_1;$$

in 4321 (\cdot), we omit successively each number, viz. we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 432 + 431 + 421 + 321, \\ & = l_4 l_3 l_2 + l_4 l_3 l_1 + l_4 l_2 l_1 + l_3 l_2 l_1; \end{aligned}$$

in 4321 ($:$), we omit successively each two non-consecutive numbers, viz. the omitted numbers being 1, 3; 1, 4; 2, 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 42 + 32 + 31, \\ & = l_4 l_2 + l_3 l_2 + l_3 l_1; \end{aligned}$$

and so on, the omissions being each three numbers, each four numbers, &c., no two of them being consecutive; thus in 654321 ($\cdot\cdot$), the omissions are 5, 3, 1, and 6, 4, 2; or the symbol is

$$\begin{aligned} & 642 + 531, \\ & = l_6 l_4 l_2 + l_5 l_3 l_1. \end{aligned}$$

As an application, a solution of the differential equation $\frac{d}{dx} \left(x \frac{dy}{dx} \right) + (x-a)y = 0$ is $y = u_0 + u_1 x + u_2 x^2 + \&c.$, where $n^2 u_n = a u_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$, and in particular $1^2 u_1 = a u_0$; the equation of differences is thus of the form in question, and retaining l_n in place of its value, $= n^2$, the solution is $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = l_1 a$, $u_2 = l_2 l_1 a^2 - l_2$, &c. *ut supra*. The differential equation was considered by the Rev. H. J. Sharpe, who mentioned it to Prof. Stokes.