

663.

FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS ON THE DOUBLE \mathfrak{S} -FUNCTIONS.

[From the *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* (Crelle), t. LXXXIII. (1877), pp. 220—233.]

I CONSIDER six letters

$$a, b, c, d, e, f;$$

a duad ab not containing f may be completed into the triad abf , and then into the double triad $abf.cde$; there are in all ten double triads, represented by the duads

$$ab, ac, ad, ae, bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de,$$

and the whole number of letters and of double triads is = 16.

Taking x, x' as variables, I form sixteen functions; viz. these are

$$[a] = a - x . a - x',$$

$$[ab] = \frac{1}{(x-x')^2} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{a-x}{c-x'} \cdot \frac{b-x}{d-x'} \cdot \frac{f-x}{e-x'}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{a-x'}{c-x} \cdot \frac{b-x'}{d-x} \cdot \frac{f-x'}{e-x}} \right\}^2,$$

where the function under each radical sign is the product of six factors, the arrangement in two lines being for convenience only: the sign \pm has the same value in all the functions, and it will be observed that the irrational part is

$$= \pm \frac{2}{(x-x')^2} \sqrt{\frac{a-x}{a-x'} \cdot \frac{b-x}{b-x'} \cdot \frac{c-x}{c-x'} \cdot \frac{d-x}{d-x'} \cdot \frac{e-x}{e-x'} \cdot \frac{f-x}{f-x'}}$$

viz. this has the same value in all the functions.

The general property of the double \mathfrak{S} -functions is that the squares of the sixteen functions are proportional to constant multiples of the sixteen functions $[a], [ab]$; but this theorem may be presented in a much more definite form, viz. we can determine, and

that very simply, the actual expressions for the constant factors; and so we can enunciate the theorem as follows; the squares of the sixteen double \mathfrak{S} -functions are proportional to sixteen functions $- \{a\}, + \{ab\}$; where, in a notation about to be explained,

$$\{a\} = \sqrt{a} [a], \quad \{ab\} = \sqrt{ab} [ab].$$

Here in the radical \sqrt{a} , a is to be considered as standing in the first place for the pentad $bcdef$, which is to be interpreted as a product of differences,

$$= bc \cdot bd \cdot be \cdot bf \cdot cd \cdot ce \cdot cf \cdot de \cdot df \cdot ef,$$

(where bc , bd , etc., denote the differences $b-c$, $b-d$, etc.). Similarly, in the radical \sqrt{ab} , ab is to be considered as standing in the first instance for the double triad $abf.cde$, which is to be interpreted as a product of differences, $= ab \cdot af \cdot bf \cdot cd \cdot ce \cdot de$, (where ab , af , etc., denote the differences $a-b$, $a-f$, etc.).

It is convenient to consider a, b, c, d, e, f as denoting real magnitudes taken in decreasing order: in all the products $bcdef$, etc., and in each term abf or cde of a product $abf.cde$, the letters are to be written in alphabetical order; the differences bc , bd , etc., ab , af , etc., which present themselves in the several products, are thus all of them positive; and the radicals, being all of them the roots of positive quantities, may themselves be taken to be positive.

We have to consider the values of the functions $[a]$, $[ab]$, or $\{a\}$, $\{ab\}$, in the case where the variables x, x' become equal to any two of the letters a, b, c, d, e, f ; it is clearly the same thing whether we have for instance $x=b, x'=c$, or $x=c, x'=b$, etc.: we have therefore to consider for x, x' the fifteen values $ab, ac, \dots, af, \dots, ef$; there is besides a sixteenth set of values x, x' each infinite, without any relation between the infinite values.

Taking this case first, x, x' each infinite, and in $[ab]$, etc., the sign \pm to be $+$, we have

$$[a] = xx', \quad [ab] = \frac{4x^2 x'^2}{(x-x')^2},$$

or, attending only to the ratios of these values,

$$[a] = 1, \quad [ab] = \frac{4x^2 x'^2}{(x-x')^2},$$

where $\frac{4x^2 x'^2}{(x-x')^2}$ is infinite, and the values may finally be written

$$[a] = 0, \quad [ab] = 1;$$

whence also, for x, x' infinite,

$$\{a\} = 0, \quad \{ab\} = \sqrt{ab},$$

the radical \sqrt{ab} being understood as before.

Suppose next that x, x' denote any two of the letters, for instance a, b ; then two of the functions $[a]$ vanish, viz. these are $[a]$, $[b]$, but the remaining four functions acquire determinate values; and moreover four of the functions $[ab]$ vanish, viz. these are $[ab]$, $[cd]$, $[ce]$, $[de]$, for each of which the xx' letters a, b occur in the same triad (the

double triads for the four functions are, in fact, $abf.cde, cdf.abe, cef.abd, def.abc$); but the other six functions $[ab]$, for which the letters a, b occur in separate triads, acquire determinate values.

It is important to attend to the signs: for example, if $x, x' = b, e$, we have

$$[c] = ce.cb, = -bc.ce$$

$$[ce] = \frac{1}{(be)^2} cb.eb.fb = -\frac{cb.fb}{ae.de} = -\frac{bc.bf}{ae.de}$$

TABLE I. OF THE VALUES OF $[a], [ab], \text{ETC.},$

$x,$	$x' = \infty \infty$	ab	ac	ad	ae	af	bc	bd
$[a]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$+ ab.ac$	$+ ab.ad$
$[b]$	0	0	$- ab.bc$	$- ab.bd$	$- ab.be$	$- ab.bf$	0	0
$[c]$	0	$+ ac.bc$	0	$- ac.cd$	$- ac.ce$	$- ac.cf$	0	$- bc.cd$
$[d]$	0	$+ ad.bd$	$+ ad.cd$	0	$- ad.de$	$- ad.df$	$+ bd.cd$	0
$[e]$	0	$+ ae.be$	$+ ae.ce$	$+ ae.de$	0	$- ae.ef$	$+ be.ce$	$+ be.de$
$[f]$	0	$+ af.bf$	$+ af.cf$	$+ af.df$	$+ af.ef$	0	$+ bf.cf$	$+ bf.df$
$[ab]$	$+ abf.cde$	0	$+ ad.ae$ $+ bc.cf$	$+ ac.ae$ $+ bd.df$	$+ ac.ad$ $+ be.ef$	0	$+ ac.bd$ $+ be.cf$	$+ ad.bc$ $+ be.df$
$[ac]$	$+ acf.bde$	$- ad.ae$ $- bc.bf$	0	$+ ab.ae$ $+ cd.cf$	$+ ab.ad$ $+ ce.ef$	0	$+ ab.bf$ $+ cd.ce$	0
$[ad]$	$+ adf.bce$	$- ac.ae$ $- bd.bf$	$- ab.ae$ $- cd.cf$	0	$+ a^2.ac$ $+ de.ef$	0	0	$- ab.bf$ $- cd.de$
$[ae]$	$+ aef.bcd$	$- ac.ae$ $- be.bf$	$- ab.ad$ $- ce.cf$	$- ab.ac$ $- de.df$	0	0	0	0
$[bc]$	$+ bcf.ade$	$- ac.af$ $- bd.be$	$- ab.af$ $- cd.ce$	0	0	$- ab.ac$ $- df.ef$	0	$- ab.be$ $- cd.df$
$[bd]$	$+ bdf.ace$	$- ad.af$ $- bc.be$	0	$+ ab.af$ $+ cd.de$	0	$- ab.ad$ $- cf.ef$	$+ ab.be$ $+ cd.cf$	0
$[be]$	$+ bef.acd$	$- ae.af$ $- bc.bd$	0	0	$- ab.af$ $- ce.de$	$- ab.ae$ $- cf.df$	$+ ab.bd$ $+ ce.cf$	$+ ab.bc$ $+ de.df$
$[cd]$	$+ cdf.abe$	0	$+ ad.af$ $+ bc.ce$	$+ ac.af$ $+ bd.de$	0	$- ac.ad$ $- bf.ef$	$+ ac.bd$ $+ bf.ce$	$+ ad.bc$ $+ bf.de$
$[ce]$	$+ cef.abd$	0	$+ ae.af$ $+ bc.cd$	0	$- ac.af$ $- be.de$	$- ac.ae$ $- bf.df$	$+ ac.be$ $+ bf.cd$	0
$[de]$	$+ def.abc$	0	0	$- ae.af$ $- bc.cd$	$- ad.af$ $- be.ce$	$- ad.ae$ $- bf.cf$	0	$- ad.be$ $- bf.cd$

Here the symbols be , ce , etc., denote differences; $[ce]$ is the product of four differences: the arrangement in two lines is for convenience only.

We thus obtain the series of values of $[a]$, $[ab]$, etc., which although only required as subsidiary to the determination of the corresponding values of $\{a\}$, $\{ab\}$, I nevertheless give in a table.

The signs are given as they were actually obtained, but as we are concerned only with the ratios of the functions, it is allowable to change all the signs in any

FOR THE SIXTEEN SPECIAL VALUES OF x, x' .

be	bf	cd	ce	cf	de	df	ef
$+ ab . ae$	$+ ab . af$	$+ ac . ad$	$+ ac . ae$	$+ ac . af$	$+ ad . ae$	$+ ad . af$	$+ ae . af$
0	0	$+ bc . bd$	$+ bc . be$	$+ bc . bf$	$+ bd . be$	$+ bd . bf$	$+ be . bf$
$- bc . ce$	$- bc . cf$	0	0	0	$+ cd . ce$	$+ cd . df$	$+ ce . cf$
$- bd . de$	$- bd . bf$	0	$- cd . de$	$- cd . df$	0	0	$+ de . df$
0	$- be . ef$	$+ ce . de$	0	$- ce . ef$	0	$- de . ef$	0
$+ bf . ef$	0	$+ cf . df$	$+ cf . ef$	0	$+ df . ef$	0	0
$+ ac . bc$	0	0	0	$- ac . bc$	0	$- ad . bd$	$- ae . be$
$+ bd . ef$				$- df . ef$		$- cf . ef$	$- cf . df$
0	$+ ab . bc$	$- ad . bc$	$- ae . bc$	0	0	$- ad . bf$	$- ae . bf$
0	$+ df . ef$	$- ce . df$	$- cd . ef$			$- cd . ef$	$- ce . df$
0	$+ ab . bd$	$- ac . bd$	0	$+ ac . bf$	$+ ae . bd$	0	$- ae . bf$
	$+ cf . ef$	$- cf . de$		$+ cd . ef$	$+ cd . ef$		$- cf . de$
$+ ab . bf$	$+ ab . be$	0	$+ ac . be$	$+ ac . bf$	$+ ad . be$	$+ ad . bf$	0
$+ ce . de$	$+ cf . df$		$+ ef . de$	$+ ce . df$	$+ ce . df$	$+ ef . de$	
$- ab . bd$	0	$- ac . bd$	$- ac . be$	0	0	$- af . bd$	$- af . be$
$- ce . ef$		$- ce . df$	$- cd . ef$			$- cd . ef$	$- ce . df$
$- ad . bc$	0	$- ad . bc$	0	$+ af . bc$	$+ ad . be$	0	$- af . be$
$- de . ef$		$- cf . de$		$+ cd . ef$	$+ cd . ef$		$- cf . de$
0	0	0	$+ ae . bc$	$+ af . bc$	$+ ae . bd$	$+ af . bd$	0
0			$+ cf . de$	$+ ce . df$	$+ ce . df$	$+ cf . de$	
0	$- af . bc$	0	$+ ac . bc$	0	$+ ad . bd$	0	$- af . bf$
	$- bd . ef$		$+ de . ef$		$+ ce . ef$		$- ce . de$
$- ae . bc$	$- af . bc$	$- ac . bc$	0	0	$+ ae . be$	$+ af . bf$	0
$- bf . de$	$- be . df$	$- de . df$			$+ cd . df$	$+ cd . de$	
$- ae . bd$	$- af . bd$	$- ad . bd$	$- ae . be$	$- af . bf$	0	0	0
$- bf . ce$	$- be . cf$	$- ce . cf$	$- cd . cf$	$- cd . ce$			

column: and it appears that there are four columns in each of which the signs are or can be made all +; whereas in each of the remaining twelve columns the signs are or can be made six of them +, the other four -.

Passing to the values of $\{a\}$, $\{ab\}$, etc., we have for example, from the ab column of the foregoing table,

$$\begin{aligned}\{c\} &= +\sqrt{c} \cdot ac \cdot bc, \\ \{d\} &= +\sqrt{d} \cdot ad \cdot bd, \\ &\vdots \\ \{ac\} &= -\sqrt{ac} \cdot \frac{ac \cdot ae}{bc \cdot bf}, \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

where (since the radicals are all positive) the signs are correct: substituting for the quantities under the radical signs their full values, and squaring the rational parts in order to bring them also under the radical signs, this is

$$\begin{aligned}\{c\} &= +\sqrt{ab \cdot ad \cdot ae \cdot af \cdot bd \cdot be \cdot bf \cdot de \cdot df \cdot ef \cdot ac^2 \cdot bc^2}, \\ \{d\} &= +\sqrt{ab \cdot ac \cdot ae \cdot af \cdot bc \cdot be \cdot bf \cdot ce \cdot cf \cdot ef \cdot ad^2 \cdot bd^2}, \\ &\vdots \\ \{ac\} &= -\sqrt{ac \cdot af \cdot cf \cdot bd \cdot be \cdot de \cdot ac^2 \cdot ae^2 \cdot bc^2 \cdot bf^2},\end{aligned}$$

where all the expressions of this (the ab -column) have a common factor,

$$ac \cdot ad \cdot ae \cdot af \cdot bc \cdot bd \cdot be \cdot bf.$$

Omitting this factor, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\{c\} &= +\sqrt{ab \cdot ac \cdot bc \cdot d^2 \cdot df \cdot ef}, \\ \{d\} &= +\sqrt{ab \cdot ad \cdot bd \cdot ce \cdot cf \cdot ef}, \\ &\vdots \\ \{ac\} &= -\sqrt{ad \cdot ae \cdot de \cdot bc \cdot bf \cdot cf};\end{aligned}$$

viz. recurring to the foregoing condensed notation, this is

$$\begin{aligned}\{c\} &= +\sqrt{de}, \\ \{d\} &= +\sqrt{ce}, \\ &\vdots \\ \{ac\} &= -\sqrt{bc},\end{aligned}$$

and, in fact, the terms in the several columns have only the ten values \sqrt{ab} , \sqrt{ac} , etc. each with its proper sign. I repeat the meaning of the notation: ab stands in the first instance for the double triad $abf \cdot cde$, and then this denotes a product of differences $ab \cdot af \cdot bf \cdot cd \cdot ce \cdot de$. We have thus the following table in which I have in several cases changed the signs of entire columns.

TABLE II. OF THE FUNCTIONS $\{a\}, \{ab\}, \text{ETC.}, \text{FOR THE SIXTEEN SPECIAL VALUES OF } x, x'.$

$x, x' = \infty$	ab	ac	ad	ae	af	bc	bd	be	bf	cd	ce	cf	de	df	ef
$\{a\}$	0	0	0	0	$+\sqrt{de}$	$+\sqrt{ce}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$-\sqrt{cd}$	$-\sqrt{ab}$	$-\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$-\sqrt{ae}$
$\{b\}$	0	$-\sqrt{de}$	$-\sqrt{ce}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$	0	0	0	0	0	$-\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$-\sqrt{be}$
$\{c\}$	0	0	$-\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	0	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	0	0	0	$+\sqrt{ab}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$	$-\sqrt{ce}$
$\{d\}$	0	$+\sqrt{be}$	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{ae}$	0	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	0	$-\sqrt{ab}$	$-\sqrt{cd}$	0	0	$-\sqrt{de}$
$\{e\}$	0	$+\sqrt{cd}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	0	$+\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	0	$+\sqrt{be}$	$-\sqrt{ab}$	0	$-\sqrt{ce}$	0	$-\sqrt{de}$	0
$\{f\}$	0	$-\sqrt{ab}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$-\sqrt{ae}$	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$-\sqrt{be}$	0	$-\sqrt{cd}$	$+\sqrt{ce}$	0	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	0
$\{ab\}$	$+\sqrt{ab}$	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$-\sqrt{be}$	0	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$-\sqrt{ae}$	0	0	0	$-\sqrt{de}$	0	$-\sqrt{ce}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$
$\{ac\}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$	$-\sqrt{ce}$	0	$+\sqrt{ab}$	0	0	$-\sqrt{de}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$-\sqrt{ae}$	0	0	$-\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$
$\{ad\}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	0	$-\sqrt{de}$	0	0	$-\sqrt{ab}$	0	$-\sqrt{ce}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	0	$+\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{ae}$	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$
$\{ae\}$	$+\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{be}$	$-\sqrt{ce}$	0	0	0	0	$-\sqrt{ab}$	$-\sqrt{cd}$	0	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	0	0
$\{bc\}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$-\sqrt{ab}$	0	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	$-\sqrt{cd}$	$+\sqrt{ce}$	0	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$-\sqrt{be}$	0	0	$-\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$
$\{bd\}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$\div \sqrt{ab}$	0	$+\sqrt{ce}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$	0	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	0	$+\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	0
$\{be\}$	$+\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{ae}$	0	$+\sqrt{ab}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$	$+\sqrt{ce}$	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	0	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	0	$+\sqrt{ab}$
$\{cd\}$	$+\sqrt{cd}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	0	$+\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	0	$+\sqrt{ae}$	0	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	$+\sqrt{ce}$	0	0
$\{ce\}$	$+\sqrt{ce}$	0	$+\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$+\sqrt{be}$	0	$+\sqrt{bc}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	0	$+\sqrt{cd}$	$+\sqrt{ab}$	0
$\{de\}$	$+\sqrt{de}$	0	$-\sqrt{ae}$	$+\sqrt{ad}$	$+\sqrt{bc}$	0	$-\sqrt{be}$	$+\sqrt{bd}$	$+\sqrt{ac}$	$+\sqrt{ce}$	$-\sqrt{cd}$	$-\sqrt{ab}$	0	0	0

Referring now to Göpel's memoir, *Crelle*, t. xxxv. (1847), pp. 277—312, we have the sixteen double \mathfrak{S} -functions

$$P, P_1, P_2, P_3; iQ, Q_1, iQ_2, Q_3; iR, iR_1, R_2, R_3; S, iS_1, iS_2, S_3,$$

where the six functions affected with the i ($=\sqrt{-1}$) are odd functions, vanishing for the values $u=0, u'=0$ of the arguments. It is convenient to take ∞, ∞ as the values of x, x' corresponding to these values $u=0, u'=0$: the expressions $\{a\}$ will thus correspond to the six squares $-Q^2, -Q_2^2, -R^2, -R_1^2, -S_1^2, -S_2^2$, and the expressions $\{ab\}$ to the remaining ten squares P^2, P_1^2, \dots, S_3^2 ; and after some *tâtonnement*, I succeed in establishing the correspondence as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & S_2^2, S_1^2, R_2^2, R^2, Q^2, Q_2^2, Q_1^2, P_1^2, P^2, S^2, P_2^2, P_3^2, S_3^2, Q_3^2, R_3^2, R_2^2, \\ & = \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{f\}, \{ab\}, \{ac\}, \{ad\}, \{ae\}, \{bc\}, \{bd\}, \{be\}, \{cd\}, \{ce\}, \{de\}, \end{aligned}$$

viz. the sixteen squared double \mathfrak{S} -functions are proportional to the sixteen expressions $-\{a\}, +\{ab\}$, as hereby appearing.

TABLE III. OF THE SIXTEEN FORMS OF

	0	A	B	A + B	K	K + A	K + B	K + A + B
$-S_2^2$	$-S_2^2 = a$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_1^2 = b$	$+R_2^2 = de$	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R^2 = -d$	$R_1^2 = -c$
$-S_1^2$	$-S_1^2 = b$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S_2^2 = a$	$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R^2 = d$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$	$-R_2^2 = -de$
$-R_1^2$	$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R^2 = +d$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$	$-R_2^2 = -de$	$-S_1^2 = b$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S_2^2 = a$
$-R^2$	$-R^2 = d$	$-R_1^2 = +c$	$-R_2^2 = -de$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$	$S^2 = ae$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_3^2 = be$
$-Q^2$	$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$	$-Q_2^2 = +f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$
$-Q_2^2$	$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$	$-Q^2 = +e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$
Q_1^2	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$
P_1^2	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$
P^2	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$	$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$
S^2	$S^2 = ae$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_3^2 = be$	$-R^2 = d$	$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R_2^2 = -de$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$
P_2^2	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$	$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$
P_3^2	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$
S_3^2	$S_3^2 = be$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S^2 = ae$	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R_2^2 = de$	$R_1^2 = c$	$R^2 = -d$
Q_3^2	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$
R_3^2	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R_2^2 = de$	$R_1 = -c$	$R^2 = -d$	$S_3^2 = be$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S^2 = ae$
R_2^2	$R_2^2 = de$	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R^2 = -d$	$R_1^2 = -c$	$-S_2^2 = a$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_1^2 = -b$
	$\infty \infty$	cd	ef	ab	bc	bd	ad	ac

We have, after Göpel (*l.c.* p. 283), a table showing how the ratios of the double \mathfrak{S} -functions are altered, when the arguments are increased by the quarter-periods

$$A, B, A+B, K, L, K+L,$$

that is, when u, u' are simultaneously changed into $u+A, u'+A'$ or into $u+B, u'+B'$ etc. If instead, we consider the squared functions, the table is very much simplified, inasmuch as in place of the coefficients $\pm 1, \pm i$, it will contain only the coefficients ± 1 : and we may complete the table by extending it to all the combinations $0, A, B, A+B, K, K+A, K+B, K+A+B, L, L+A, L+B, L+A+B, K+L, K+L+A, K+L+B, K+L+A+B$ of the quarter-periods: we have thus a table included in the annexed Table III., viz. attending herein only to the capital letters P, Q, R, S , the sixteen columns of the table show how the ratios of the terms $-S_2^2, -S_1^2$, etc., of the first column are altered when the arguments are increased by the foregoing combinations of quarter-periods, as indicated by the headings $0, A, B$, etc., of the several columns.

THE SQUARED DOUBLE \mathfrak{S} -FUNCTIONS.

L	$L+A$	$L+B$	$L+A+B$	$K+L$	$K+L+A$	$K+L+B$	$K+L+A+B$
$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$	$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$
$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$
$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$
$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$	$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$
$S^2 = ae$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_3^2 = be$	$-R^2 = d$	$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R_2^2 = -de$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$
$-S_2^2 = a$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_1^2 = b$	$R_2^2 = de$	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R^2 = -d$	$R_1^2 = -c$
$-S_1^2 = b$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S_2^2 = a$	$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R^2 = d$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$	$-R_2^2 = -de$
$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R^2 = d$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$	$-R_2^2 = -de$	$-S_1^2 = b$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S_2^2 = a$
$-R^2 = d$	$-R_1^2 = c$	$-R_2^2 = -de$	$-R_3^2 = -ce$	$S^2 = ae$	$S_1^2 = b$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_3^2 = be$
$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$	$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$
$R_2^2 = de$	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R^2 = -d$	$R_1^2 = -c$	$-S_2^2 = a$	$-S_3^2 = -be$	$-S^2 = -ae$	$-S_1^2 = b$
$R_3^2 = ce$	$R_2^2 = de$	$R_1^2 = -c$	$R^2 = -d$	$S_3^2 = be$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S^2 = ae$
$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$
$S_3^2 = be$	$S_2^2 = -a$	$S_1^2 = -b$	$S^2 = ae$	$R_3^2 = ce$	$R_2^2 = de$	$R_1^2 = -c$	$R^2 = -d$
$P_3^2 = bd$	$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$P^2 = ad$	$Q_3^2 = cd$	$Q_2^2 = -f$	$Q_1^2 = ab$	$Q^2 = -e$
$P_2^2 = bc$	$P_3^2 = bd$	$P^2 = ad$	$P_1^2 = ac$	$-Q_2^2 = f$	$-Q_3^2 = -cd$	$-Q^2 = e$	$-Q_1^2 = -ab$
<i>af</i>	<i>be</i>	<i>ae</i>	<i>bf</i>	<i>de</i>	<i>ce</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>cf</i>

But I have also in the table inserted the values to which $-S_2^2, -S_1^2$, etc., are respectively proportional, viz. the table runs $-S_2^2 = a, -S_1^2 = b$, etc., (read $-S_2^2 = \{a\}, -S_1^2 = \{b\}$, etc., the brackets $\{ \}$ having been for greater brevity omitted throughout the table), and where it is of course to be understood that $-S_2^2, -S_1^2$, etc., are proportional only, not absolutely equal to $\{a\}, \{b\}$, etc. And I have also at the foot of the several columns inserted suffixes $\infty \infty, ab, cd$, etc., which refer to the columns of Table II.

Comparing the first with any other column of the table, for instance with the second column, the two columns respectively signify that

$$\begin{array}{l} -S_2^2(u) = \{a\}, \\ -S_1^2(u) = \{b\}, \\ \vdots \\ Q_1^2(u) = \{ab\}, \\ \vdots \end{array} \quad \left\| \quad \begin{array}{l} -S_2^2(u+A) = -\{be\}, \\ -S_1^2(u+A) = -\{ae\}, \\ \vdots \\ Q_1^2(u+A) = -\{e\}, \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

where, as before, the sign $=$ means only that the terms are proportional; u is written for shortness instead of (u, u') , and so $u+A$ for $(u+A, u'+A')$, etc.: the variables in the functions $\{a\}, \{be\}$, etc. are in each case x, x' . But if in the second column we write $u-A$ for A , then the variables x, x' will be changed into new variables y, y' , or the meaning will be

$$\begin{array}{l} x, x' \\ -S_2^2(u) = \{a\}, \\ -S_1^2(u) = \{b\}, \\ \vdots \\ Q_1^2(u) = \{ab\}, \\ \vdots \end{array} \quad \left\| \quad \begin{array}{l} y, y' \\ -S_2^2(u) = -\{be\}, \\ -S_1^2(u) = -\{ae\}, \\ \vdots \\ Q_1^2(u) = -\{e\}, \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

so that, omitting from the table the terms which contain the capital letters P, Q, R, S , except only the outside left-hand column $-S_2^2, -S_1^2$, etc., the table indicates that these functions $-S_2^2, -S_1^2$, etc., are proportional to the functions $\{a\}, \{b\}$, etc., of x, x' given in the first column; also to the functions $-\{be\}, -\{ae\}$, etc., of y, y' given in the second column; also to the functions $-\{ae\}, -\{be\}$, etc., of z, z' given in the third column; and so on, with a different pair of variables in each of the 16 columns.

Thus comparing any two columns, for instance the first and second, it appears that we can have simultaneously

$$\begin{array}{l} x, x' \quad y, y' \\ \{a\} = -\{be\}, \\ \{b\} = -\{ae\}, \\ \vdots \\ \{ab\} = -\{e\}, \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

(fifteen equations, since the meaning is that the terms are only proportional, not absolutely equal), equivalent to two equations serving to determine x and x' in terms of y and y' ,

or conversely y and y' in terms of x and x' . The functions in each column form in fact 16 sixes, such that any four belonging to the same six are linearly connected; and in any such linear relation between four functions in the left-hand column, substituting for these their values as functions in the right-hand column, we have the corresponding relations between four functions out of a set of six belonging to the right-hand column, or we have an identity $0 = 0$. I will presently verify this in a particular case.

If in any column we give to the variables the values ∞, ∞ we obtain for the terms in the column the values which the terms of the first column assume on giving to x, x' the values shown at the foot of the column in question; thus, in the second column giving to the variables the values ∞, ∞ , the column becomes

$$-\sqrt{be}, -\sqrt{ae}, 0, 0, -\sqrt{ab}, -\sqrt{cd}, 0, \sqrt{ad}, \sqrt{ac}, 0, \sqrt{bd}, \sqrt{bc}, 0, 0, \sqrt{de}, \sqrt{ce}$$

which is, in fact, the cd -column of Table II.: this is of course as it should be, for the values in question are those of the functions $-S_2^2, -S_1^2$, etc., on writing therein

$$x, x' = c, d.$$

The formulæ show that

$$\sqrt{ab}, \sqrt{ac}, \sqrt{ad}, \sqrt{ae}, \sqrt{bc}, \sqrt{bd}, \sqrt{be}, \sqrt{cd}, \sqrt{ce}, \sqrt{de},$$

are, in fact, proportional to

$$k_1^2, \varpi_1^2, \varpi^2, \sigma^2, \varpi_2^2, \varpi_3^2, \sigma_3^2, k_3^2, \rho_3^2, \rho_2^2,$$

(k_1, k_2, \dots are Göpel's k', k'', \dots). This gives rise to a remarkable theorem, for the ten squares are functions of only four quantities $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ (Göpel's t, u, v, w). For greater clearness, I introduce single letters A, B, \dots, J and write

$$A = abc.def = (\sqrt{de})^2 = \rho_2^4, = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \delta^2)^2,$$

$$B = abd.cef = (\sqrt{ce})^2 = \rho_3^4, = 4(\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta)^2,$$

$$C = abe.cdf = (\sqrt{cd})^2 = k_3^4, = 4(\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma)^2,$$

$$D = abf.cde = (\sqrt{ab})^2 = k_1^4, = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2 + \delta^2)^2,$$

$$E = acd.bef = (\sqrt{be})^2 = \sigma_3^4, = 4(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta)^2,$$

$$F = ace.bdf = (\sqrt{bd})^2 = \varpi_3^4, = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2)^2,$$

$$G = acf.bde = (\sqrt{ac})^2 = \varpi_1^4, = 4(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)^2,$$

$$H = ade.bcf = (\sqrt{bc})^2 = \varpi_2^4, = 4(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta)^2,$$

$$I = adf.bce = (\sqrt{ad})^2 = \varpi^4, = 4(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)^2,$$

$$J = aef.bdc = (\sqrt{ae})^2 = \sigma^4, = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2 - \delta^2)^2;$$

viz. it has to be shown that A, B, \dots, J , considered as given functions of the six letters a, b, c, d, e, f , are really functions of four quantities $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$; or, what is the same thing, that A, B, \dots, J , considered as functions of a, b, c, d, e, f satisfy all those relations which they satisfy when considered as given functions of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$.

Now considering them as given functions of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, they ought to satisfy six relations; and inasmuch as, so considered, they are, in fact, linear functions of

$$\alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4 + \delta^4, \quad \alpha^2\beta^2 + \gamma^2\delta^2, \quad \alpha^2\gamma^2 + \beta^2\delta^2, \quad \alpha^2\delta^2 + \beta^2\gamma^2, \quad \alpha\beta\gamma\delta,$$

five of these relations will be linear: there is a sixth non-linear relation, expressible in a variety of different forms, one of them, as is easily verified, being

$$\sqrt{AJ} \pm \sqrt{CG} \pm \sqrt{DF} = 0.$$

Now considering A, B, \dots, J as given functions of a, b, c, d, e, f , there exist between them linear relations which may be obtained by the consideration of identities of the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} abcd \\ abcdef \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where the left-hand side is used for shortness to denote the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1, & 1, & 1, & 1 \\ a, & b, & c, & d \\ a^2, & b^2, & c^2, & d^2 \\ 1, & 1, & 1, & 1, & 1, & 1 \\ a, & b, & c, & d, & e, & f \\ a^2, & b^2, & c^2, & d^2, & e^2, & f^2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

We thus obtain between them a system of fifteen linear relations, which present themselves in the form

- (1) $A - J + E - B = 0,$
- (2) $-A - I + F - C = 0,$
- (3) $A - H + G - D = 0,$
- (4) $-B - G + H + C = 0,$
- (5) $B - F + I + D = 0,$
- (6) $C - E + J - D = 0,$
- (7) $-E - D - H + E = 0,$
- (8) $E - C - I + G = 0,$
- (9) $F - B - J - G = 0,$
- (10) $H - A + J - I = 0,$
- (11) $-J + D - G + I = 0,$
- (12) $J + C - F + H = 0,$
- (13) $I + B - E - H = 0,$
- (14) $G + A + E - F = 0,$
- (15) $D - A + B - C = 0,$

and these are all included in the equations (10), (4), (12), (15), (6), which serve to express G, B, E, F, I in terms of D, H, C, A, J , i.e. ac, ce, eb, bd, da in terms of ab, bc, cd, de, ea , if for the moment we write $G = ac$, etc. But the five linear relations in question are, it is at once seen, satisfied by A, B, \dots, J considered as given functions of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$.

The equation $\sqrt{AJ} \pm \sqrt{DF} \pm \sqrt{CG} = 0$, substituting for A, B, \dots, J their values in terms of a, b, c, d, e, f , becomes

$$\sqrt{abc \cdot def \cdot aef \cdot bcd} \pm \sqrt{abf \cdot cde \cdot ace \cdot bdf} \pm \sqrt{abe \cdot cdf \cdot acf \cdot bde} = 0,$$

which (omitting common factors) becomes $\sqrt{bc^2 \cdot ef^2} \pm \sqrt{bf^3 \cdot ce^2} \pm \sqrt{be^2 \cdot cf^2} = 0$; or, taking the proper signs, this is the identity $bc \cdot ef + be \cdot fc + bf \cdot ce = 0$.

It is to be noticed that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta^2 + \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2, & 2(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta), & 2(\gamma\alpha + \beta\delta), \\ 2(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta), & \delta^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2 - \alpha^2, & 2(\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta), \\ 2(\gamma\alpha - \beta\delta), & 2(\beta\gamma + \alpha\delta), & \delta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \end{array}$$

each divided by $\delta^2 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2$, form a system of coefficients in the transformation between two sets of rectangular coordinates. We have therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{ab}, & \sqrt{ad}, & \sqrt{ce}, \\ \sqrt{be}, & \sqrt{de}, & \sqrt{ac}, \\ \sqrt{bc}, & \sqrt{cd}, & \sqrt{ae}, \end{array}$$

each divided by \sqrt{bd} and the several terms taken with proper signs, as a system of coefficients in the transformation between two sets of rectangular axes: a result which seems to be the same as that obtained by Hesse in the Memoir, "Transformations-Formeln für rechtwinklige Raum-Coordinaten"; *Crelle*, t. LXIII. (1864), pp. 247—251.

The composition of the last mentioned system of functions is better seen by writing them under the fuller form $\sqrt{abf \cdot cde}$, etc.; viz. omitting the radical signs, the terms are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} abf \cdot cde, & adf \cdot bce, & abd \cdot cef, \\ bef \cdot acd, & def \cdot abc, & acf \cdot bde, \\ bcf \cdot ade, & cdf \cdot abe, & aef \cdot bcd, \end{array}$$

each divided by $ddf \cdot ace$; or, in an easily understood algorithm, the terms are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & bf \cdot d & df \cdot b & bd \cdot f \\ a \cdot ce & \left| \begin{array}{ccc} bf \cdot d & df \cdot b & bd \cdot f \\ e \cdot ac & \left| \begin{array}{ccc} bf \cdot d & df \cdot b & bd \cdot f \\ c \cdot ae & \left| \begin{array}{ccc} bf \cdot d & df \cdot b & bd \cdot f \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

each divided by $ddf \cdot ace$.

Reverting to the before-mentioned comparison of the first and second columns of Table III., four of the equations are

$$\begin{array}{llll} x, x' & y, y' & x, x' & y, y' \\ \{c\} = \{d\}, & \text{that is,} & \sqrt{c}[c] = \sqrt{d}[d], \\ \{d\} = \{c\}, & \text{that is,} & \sqrt{d}[d] = \sqrt{c}[c], \\ \{e\} = -\{ab\}, & \text{that is,} & \sqrt{e}[e] = -\sqrt{ab}[ab], \\ \{f\} = -\{cd\}, & \text{that is,} & \sqrt{f}[f] = -\sqrt{cd}[cd]; \end{array}$$

viz. the four terms on the left-hand side are not absolutely equal, but only proportional, to those on the right-hand side. Substituting for \sqrt{c} , \sqrt{d} , etc., their values, and introducing on the right-hand side the factor

$$\sqrt{ac \cdot bc \cdot ce \cdot cf \cdot ad \cdot bd \cdot de \cdot df},$$

the equations become

$$\begin{array}{ll} xx' & yy' \\ [c] = & ac \cdot bc \cdot ce \cdot ef [d], \\ [d] = & ad \cdot bd \cdot de \cdot df [c], \\ [e] = - & ce \cdot de [ab], \\ [f] = - & cf \cdot df [cd]. \end{array}$$

The functions on the left-hand satisfy the identity

$$def[c] - efc[d] + fcd[e] - cde[f] = 0,$$

or, as this may also be written,

$$def[c] - cef[d] + cdf[e] - cde[f] = 0.$$

Hence substituting the right-hand values, the whole equation divides by $ce \cdot de \cdot cf \cdot df$; omitting this factor, it becomes

$$ef \cdot ac \cdot bc [d] - ef \cdot ad \cdot bd [c] - cd \{[ab] - [cd]\} = 0,$$

where the variables are y, y' : it is to be shown that this is in fact an identity, and (as it is thus immaterial what the variables are) I change them into x, x' .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ac \cdot bc [d] - ad \cdot bd [c] &= (a-c)(b-c)(d-x)(d-x') \\ &\quad - (a-d)(b-d)(c-x)(c-x') \\ &= (c-d) \begin{vmatrix} 1, & x+x', & xx' \\ 1, & a+b, & ab \\ 1, & c+d, & cd \end{vmatrix} \\ &= cd [xx'abcd], \end{aligned}$$

suppose.

We have moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
 [ab] - [cd] &= \frac{1}{(x-x')^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} abf \cdot c'd'e' + a'b'f' \cdot cde \\ -cdf \cdot a'b'e' - c'd'f' \cdot abe \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(x-x')^2} (abc'd' - a'b'cd) (ef - ef'),
 \end{aligned}$$

where for the moment $a, b, a',$ etc., are written to denote $a-x, b-x, a-x',$ etc.; we have then

$$\begin{aligned}
 ef - ef' &= (e-x')(f-x) - (e-x)(f-x') \\
 &= -(e-f)(x-x') = -ef(x-x'),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 abc'd' - a'b'cd &= (a-x)(b-x)(c-x')(d-x) = -(x-x') \left| \begin{array}{l} 1, \quad x+x', \quad xx' \\ 1, \quad a+b, \quad ab \\ 1, \quad c+d, \quad cd \end{array} \right| \\
 &= -(x-x') [xx'abcd].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[ab] - [cd] = ef[xx'abcd]$, and the equation to be verified becomes

$$(ef \cdot cd - cd \cdot ef)[xx'abcd] = 0,$$

viz. this is, in fact, an identity.

Cambridge, 14 March, 1877.