681.

ON THE DERIVATIVES OF THREE BINARY QUANTICS.

[From the Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. xv. (1878), pp. 157—168.]

For a reason which will appear, instead of the ordinary factorial notation, I write $\{\alpha 012\}$ to denote the factorial α . $\alpha+1$. $\alpha+2$, and so in other cases; and I consider the series of equations

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) = X, \\ &(2) = (\{\alpha 0\}, \ \{\beta 0\}) (Y, -Y'), \\ &(3) = (\{\alpha 01\}, \ 2 \ \{\alpha 1\} \ \{\beta 1\}, \ \{\beta 01\}) (Z, -Z', \ Z''), \\ &(4) = (\{\alpha 012\}, \ 3 \ \{\alpha 12\} \ \{\beta 2\}, \ 3 \ \{\alpha 2\} \ \{\beta 12\}, \ \{\beta 012\}) (W, -W', -W'', -W'''), \\ &\&c. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} X &= Y + Y', \\ Y &= Z \ + Z' \ , \ Y' = Z' \ + Z'', \\ Z &= W + W', \ Z' = W' + W'', \ Z'' = W'' + W''', \\ \&c. \end{split}$$
 &c.

We have thus a series of linear equations serving to determine X; Y, Y'; Z, Z', Z''; W, W', W'', W'''; &c. We require in particular the values of X; Y, Y'; Z, Z''; W, W'''; &c., and I write down the results as follow:

$$X = (1),$$

$$(1) (2)$$

$$\{\alpha + \beta 0\} Y = \overline{\{\beta 0\}, +1},$$

$$\{y' = \{\alpha 0\}, -1;$$

the law being obvious, except as regards the numbers which in the top lines occur in connexion with $\alpha + \beta$ in the $\{\ \}$ symbols. As regards these, we form them by successive subtractions as shown by the diagrams

and the statement of the result is now complete.

In part verification, starting from the Y-formulæ (which are obtained at once), assume

we must have

that is,

and further

$$\{\alpha + \beta 2\} (\{\alpha 01\}, -2 \{\alpha 1\} \{\beta 1\}, \{\beta 01\})(\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'') = 0,$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$\lambda + \lambda' = \{\alpha + \beta 1\} \{\beta 0\},$$

$$\lambda' + \lambda'' = \{ \quad , \quad \} \{\alpha 0\},$$

$$(\{\alpha 01\}, -2 \{\alpha 1\} \{\beta 1\}, \{\beta 01\})(\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'') = 0.$$

And in like manner we have

$$\mu + \mu' = \{\alpha + \beta 2\}.$$
 1,
 $\mu' + \mu'' = \{$, $\}. - 1$,

 $(\{\alpha 01\}, -2 \{\alpha 1\} \{\beta 1\}, \{\beta 01\})(\mu, \mu', \mu'') = 0;$

and

$$\nu + \nu' = 0,$$

$$\nu' + \nu'' = 0,$$

$$(\{\alpha 01\}, -2 \{\alpha 1\} \{\beta 1\}, \{\beta 01\})(\nu, \nu', \nu'') = 0.$$

We hence find without difficulty

viz. for verification of the λ -equations we have

$$\begin{split} \beta \,.\, \beta + 1 \,. + & \alpha \,.\, \beta \quad, \text{ that is, } \alpha + \beta + 1 \,.\, \beta, = \{\alpha + \beta 1\} \, \{\beta 0\}, \\ \alpha \,.\, \beta \,. & + \alpha \,.\, \alpha + 1, \qquad , \qquad \alpha + 1 + \beta \,.\, \alpha, = \{\quad , \quad \} \, \{\alpha 0\,\}, \end{split}$$

and

$$(\alpha.\alpha+1, -2.\alpha+1.\beta+1, \beta.\beta+1)$$
 $\beta.\beta+1$ $\beta.\beta+1, \alpha.\beta, \alpha.\alpha+1)=0,$

that is,

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \beta \cdot \beta + 1 \cdot - 2 \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \beta + 1 \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot + \beta \cdot \beta + 1 \cdot \alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 = 0$$

and similarly the μ - and ν -equations may be verified.

We have thus for the Z's the equations

which include the foregoing expressions for Z and Z''.

We may then take the expressions for the W's to be

			$\{\alpha + \beta 34\}$	(1), {	$\alpha + \beta 14$ (2), {	$\alpha + \beta 03$ (3),	$\{\alpha + \beta 01\}$	(4),
$\{\alpha + \beta 0123\}$	W	=	λ	,	μ	,	ν	,	ρ	,
{ "}	W'	=	λ'	,	μ'	,	ν'	,	ho'	,
{ "}	W''	=	λ"	,	μ''	,	u''	,	ρ''	,
{ ,, }	W'''	=	λ'''	,	$\mu^{\prime\prime\prime}$,	ν'''	,	ρ'''	;

and we obtain in like manner the equations

 $(\{\alpha 012\}, -3\{\alpha 12\}\{\beta 2\}, +3\{\alpha 2\}\{\beta 12\}, -\{\beta 012\}(\rho, \rho', \rho'', \rho''') = \{\alpha + \beta 01234\}.$

These give for the $\lambda \rho'''$ square the values

$$\begin{cases} \beta 012 \} &, \quad 3 \ \{\beta 12 \} &, \quad 3 \ \{\beta 2 \} &, \quad +1, \\ \{\alpha 0 \} \ \{\beta 01 \}, & \quad 2\alpha - \beta \cdot \{\beta 1 \}, & \quad \alpha - 2\beta - 2, \quad -1, \\ \{\alpha 01 \} \ \{\beta 0 \}, & \quad \alpha - 2\beta \cdot \{\alpha 1 \}, \quad -2\alpha + \beta - 2, \quad +1, \\ \{\alpha 012 \} &, \quad -3 \ \{\alpha 12 \} &, \quad +3 \ \{\alpha 2 \} &, \quad -1, \end{cases}$$

and so on; the law however of the terms in the intermediate lines is not by any means obvious.

Consider now the binary quantics P, Q, R, of the forms $(*(x, y)^p, (*(x, y)^q, (*(x, y)^q, y)^q)^q$, $(*(x, y)^p)^q$; we have for any, for instance for the fourth, order, the derivates

$$P\left(Q,\;R\right)^{4},\;\;\left(P,\;\left(Q,\;R\right)^{3}\right)^{1},\;\;\left(P,\;\left(Q,\;R\right)^{2}\right)^{2},\;\;\left(P,\;\left(Q,\;R\right)^{1}\right)^{3},\;\;\left(P,\;QR\right)^{4};$$

and it is required to express

$$Q(P, R)^4$$
 and $R(P, Q)^4$,

each of them as a linear function of these.

C. X.

36

I recall that we have $(P, Q)^0 = PQ$, so that the first and the last terms of the series might have been written $(P, (Q, R)^4)^0$ and $(P, (Q, R)^0)^4$ respectively; and, further, that $(P, Q)^1$ denotes $d_x P \cdot d_y Q - d_y P \cdot d_x Q$; $(P, Q)^2$ denotes

$$d_x^2 P \cdot d_y^2 Q - 2 d_x d_y P \cdot d_x d_y Q + d_y^2 P \cdot d_x^2 Q;$$

and so on.

I write (a, b, c, d, e) for the fourth derived functions of any quantic U, $= (*(x, y)^m)$; we have, in a notation which will be at once understood,

$$U = (a, b, c, d, e)(x, y)^4 \div [m]^4,$$

$$(d_x, d_y) \ U = (a, b, c, d), (b, c, d, e)(x, y)^3 \div [m-1]^3,$$

$$(d_x, d_y)^2 \ U = (a, b, c), (b, c, d), (c, d, e)(x, y)^2 \div [m-2]^2,$$

$$(d_x, d_y)^3 \ U = (a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (d, e)(x, y)^1 \div [m-3]^1,$$

$$(d_x, d_y)^4 \ U = (a, b, c, d, e);$$

and then, taking

$$(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1), (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2), (a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3, e_3),$$

to belong to P, Q, R, respectively, we must, instead of m, write p, q, r for the three functions respectively.

If we attend only to the highest terms in x, we have

$$\begin{split} U &= ax^4 & \div & [m]^4 , \\ (d_x, \ d_y) &\ U &= (a, \ b) \ x^3 & \div [m-1]^3, \\ (d_x, \ d_y)^2 &\ U &= (a, \ b, \ c) \ x^2 & \div [m-2]^2, \\ (d_x, \ d_y)^3 &\ U &= (a, \ b, \ c, \ d) \ x \div [m-3]^1, \\ (d_x, \ d_y)^4 &\ U &= (a, \ b, \ c, \ d, \ e). \end{split}$$

Consider now $P(Q, R)^4$, $(P, (Q, R)^3)^1$, &c.; in each case attending only to the term in a_1 , and in this term to the highest term in x, we have

$$(1) \quad [p]^4 P(Q, R)^4 \qquad = \quad a_2 e_3 - 4b_2 d_3 + 6c_2 c_3 - 4d_2 b_3 + e_2 a_3 \quad (X),$$

$$(2) \quad [p-1]^3 [q-3]^1 [r-3]^1 (P, (Q, R)^3)^1 = \qquad [q-3]^1 \cdot b_2 d_3 - 3c_2 c_3 + 3d_2 b_3 - e_2 a_3 (-Y'), \\ + [r-3]^1 \cdot a_2 e_3 - 3b_2 d_3 + 3c_2 c_3 - d_2 b_3 (Y),$$

$$(3) \quad [p-2]^2 [q-2]^2 [r-2]^2 (P, (Q, R)^2)^2 = \qquad [q-2]^2 \qquad . \ c_2 c_3 - 2 d_2 b_3 + e_2 a_3 \, (Z''), \\ + 2 [q-2]^1 [r-2]^1 . \ b_2 d_3 - 2 c_2 c_3 + d_2 b_3 \, (-Z'), \\ + \qquad [r-2]^2 . \ a_2 e_3 - 2 b_2 d_3 + c_2 c_3 \, (Z),$$

$$(4) \quad [p-3]^{1}[q-1]^{3}[r-1]^{3}(P, (Q, R)^{1})^{3} = [q-1]^{3} \quad .d_{2}b_{3} - e_{2}a_{3} \qquad (-W'''), \\ +3[q-1]^{2}[r-1]^{1} \cdot c_{2}c_{3} - d_{2}b_{3} \qquad (W''), \\ +3[q-1]^{1}[r-1]^{2} \cdot b_{2}d_{3} - c_{2}c_{3} \qquad (-W'), \\ + [r-1]^{3} \cdot a_{2}e_{3} - b_{2}d_{3} \qquad (W),$$

$$[p-4]^{0} [q]^{4} [r]^{4} (P, QR)^{4} = [q]^{4} . e_{2}a_{3} \qquad (U''''),$$

$$+ 4 [q]^{3} [r]^{1} . d_{2}b_{3} \qquad (-U'''),$$

$$+ 6 [q]^{2} [r]^{2} . c_{2}c_{3} \qquad (U''),$$

$$+ 4 [q]^{1} [r]^{3} . b_{2}d_{3} \qquad (-U'),$$

$$+ [r]^{4} . a_{2}e_{3} \qquad (U).$$

Thus, for the second of these equations,

$$(P, (Q, R)^3)^1 = d_x P \cdot d_y (Q, R)^3 - \&c.$$

the term in a_1 is $d_y(Q, R)^3$, $=(d_xQ, R)^3 + (Q, d_yR)^3$, the whole being divided by $[p-1]^3$; where attending only to the highest terms in x, the two terms are respectively

$$(b_2d_3-3c_2c_3+3d_2b_3-e_2a_3)\div[r-3]^1,$$

and

$$(a_2e_3-3b_2d_3+3c_2c_3-d_2b_3)\div[q-3]^1,$$

which are each divided by $[p-1]^3$ as above; whence, multiplying by

$$[p-1]^3[q-1]^2[r-1]^1$$

we have the formula in question; and so for the other cases.

Writing now (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) for the left-hand sides of the five equations respectively; and

$$X:$$
 $-Y', Y:$
 $Z'', Z', Z:$
 $-W''', W'', -W', W:$
 $U'''', -U''', U'', -U', U:$

for the literal parts on the right-hand sides of the same equations respectively; then we have

$$X = Y + Y',$$

 $Y = Z + Z', \quad Y' = Z' + Z'',$ &c.,

and the equations become

$$(1) = X$$

$$(2) = [r-1]^1 Y - 1 [q-3]^1 Y'$$

$$(3) = [r-2]^2 Z - 2 [r-2]^1 [q-2]^1 Z' + 1 \qquad [q-2]^2 Z''$$

$$(4) = [r-1]^3 \ W - 3 \ [r-1]^2 \ [q-1]^1 \ W' + 3 \ [r-1]^1 \ [q-1]^2 \ W'' - 1 \ [q-1]^3 \ W'''$$

$$(5) = [r]^4 U - 4 [r]^3 [q]^1 U' + 6 [r]^2 [q]^2 U'' - 4 [r]^1 [q]^3 U''' + [q]^4 U'''',$$

which are, in fact, the equations considered at the beginning of the present paper, putting therein $\alpha=r-3$ and $\beta=q-3$, they consequently give

Also, attending as before only to the terms in α , and therein to the highest power of x, we have

$$Q(R, P)^4 = a_2 e_3 \div [q]^4,$$

 $R(P, Q)^4 = a_3 e_2 \div [r]^4;$

that is,

$$[q]^4 Q(R, P)^4 = U, [r]^4 R(P, Q)^4 = U'''';$$

and, observing that $\{q+r-6, 01...6\}$ is $=[q+r]^7$, and that $\{q+r-6, 456\}$, &c., may be written $\{q-r, \overline{21}0\}$, &c., where the superscript bars are the signs –, the formulæ become

$$\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{2}\overline{1}0\}(1),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{5}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[q]^{4}Q(P,\,R)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{2}\overline{1}0\}(1),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{5}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{2}\overline{1}0\}(1),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{5}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{3}0\}(3),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(4),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{4}\}(5),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{5}\overline{1}\}(2),}{[q+r]^{7}[r]^{4}R(P,\,Q)^{4}=}\frac{\{q+r,\,\overline{6}\overline{1}0\,(2),\,\{q+r,\,\overline$$

Written at full length, the first of these equations (which, as being the fourth in a series, I mark 4th equation) is

$$[q+r]^{7}[q]^{4}Q(P,R)^{4} = 1.q+r \qquad .q+r-1.q+r-2. \quad [p]^{4} \quad [q]^{4} \qquad .P, \ (Q,R)^{4} \quad (\text{4th equat.}) \\ +4.q+r \qquad .q+r-1.q+r-5.[p-1]^{3}[q]^{3}[q-3]^{1} \ [r-1]^{1}. \ (P, \ (Q,R)^{3})^{1} \\ +6.q+r \qquad .q+r-3.q+r-6.[p-2]^{2}[q]^{2}[q-2]^{2} \ [r-2]^{2}. \ (P, \ (Q,R)^{2})^{2} \\ +4.q+r-1.q+r-5.q+r-6.[p-3]^{1}[q]^{1}[q-1]^{3} \ [r-1]^{3}. \ (P, \ (Q,R)^{1})^{3} \\ +1.q+r-1.q+r-5.q+r-6. \qquad [q]^{4} \quad [r]^{4} \quad .P, \ (Q,R)^{4} \ ,$$

and the other is, in fact, the same equation with q, Q, r, R interchanged with r, R, q, Q; the alternate + and - signs arise evidently from the terms

$$(R, Q)^4$$
, = $(Q, R)^4$; $(R, Q)^3$, = $-(Q, R)^3$; &c.,

which present themselves on the right-hand side.

It will be observed that the identity has been derived from the comparison of the terms in α , which are the highest terms in α , the other terms not having been written down or considered; but it is easy to see that an identity of the form in question exists, and, this being admitted, the process is a legitimate one.

The preceding equations of the series are

$$[q+r]^1[q]^1Q(P,R)^1 = \qquad \qquad 1. \quad [p]^1 \quad [q]^1 \qquad \qquad P\left(Q,\,R\right)^1 \quad (\text{1st equation}) \\ +1. \qquad \qquad [q]^1 \quad [r]^1 \quad (P,\,QR)^1; \\ [q+r]^3[q]^2Q(P,R)^2 = \qquad 1.\,q+r \qquad . \quad [p]^2 \quad [q]^2 \qquad \qquad P,\,(Q,\,R)^2 \quad (\text{2nd equation}) \\ +2.\,q+r-1.[p-1]^1[q]^1[q-1]^1[r-1]^1 \quad (P,\,(Q,\,R)^1)^1 \\ +1.\,q+r-2. \qquad \qquad [q]^2 \quad [r]^2 \quad (P,\,QR)^2; \\ [q+r]^5[q]^3Q(P,\,R)^2 = \qquad 1.\,q+r \qquad .q+r-1. \quad [p]^3 \quad [q]^3 \qquad \qquad P,\,(Q,\,R)^3 \quad (\text{3rd equation}) \\ +3.\,q+r \qquad .q+r-3.[p-1]^2[q]^2[q-2]^1[r-2]^1 \, (P,\,(Q,\,R)^2)^1 \\ +3.\,q+r-1.q+r-4.[p-2]^1[q]^1[q-1]^2[r-1]^2(P,\,QR)^3$$

+1.q+r-3.q+r-4. [q]³ [r]³ (P, QR)³.

From these four equations the law is evident, except as to the numbers subtracted from q+r. These are obtained, as explained above, in regard to the numbers added to $\alpha+\beta$ in the $\{\ \}$ symbols; transforming the diagrams so as to be directly applicable to the case now in question, they become

showing how the numbers are obtained for the equations 2, 3, 4, 5 respectively. The first equation is

$$(q^2 + qr) Q(P, R) = pq P(Q, R) + qr[Q(P, R) + R(P, Q)],$$

viz. this is

$$0 = pq P (Q, R) - qr Q(RP) + qr R (P, Q) + (q^2 + qr) Q(R, P);$$

or, dividing by q, this is

$$0 = pP(Q, R) + qQ(R, P) + rR(P, Q),$$

which is a well-known identity.

We may verify any of the equations, though the process is rather laborious, for the particular values

$$P = x^{\frac{1}{2}(p+a)} y^{\frac{1}{2}(p-a)}, \quad Q = x^{\frac{1}{2}(q+\beta)} y^{\frac{1}{2}(q-\beta)}, \quad R = x^{\frac{1}{2}(r+\gamma)} y^{\frac{1}{2}(r-\gamma)};$$

thus, taking the second equation, we have, omitting common factors,

$$\begin{split} (Q,R)^2 &= q + \beta \cdot q + \beta - 2 \cdot r - \gamma \cdot r - \gamma - 2 \\ &- 2 \qquad \cdot q + \beta \qquad \cdot q - \beta \cdot r + \gamma \cdot r - \gamma \\ &+ \cdot q - \beta \cdot q - \beta - 2 \cdot r + \gamma \cdot r + \gamma - 2 \\ &= \beta^2 \left(r^2 - r \right) + \gamma^2 \left(q^2 - q \right) - 2\beta \gamma \left(q - 1 \right) \left(r - 1 \right) - q r \left(q + r - 2 \right), \\ (P, (Q,R)^1)^1 &= \left(q + \beta \cdot r - \gamma \cdot - \cdot q - \beta \cdot r + \gamma \right) \left(p + \alpha \cdot q + r - \beta - \gamma - 2 \cdot - \cdot p - \alpha \cdot q + r + \beta + \gamma - 2 \right) \\ &= (\beta r - q \gamma) \left(\alpha \cdot q + r - 2 \cdot - p \cdot \beta + \gamma \right) \\ &= \alpha \beta r \left(r + q - 2 \right) - \alpha \gamma q \left(q + r - 2 \right) - p r \beta^2 + p \left(q - r \right) \beta \gamma + p q \gamma^2, \end{split}$$

and from the first of these the expressions of $Q(P, R)^2$ and $(P, QR)^2$ are at once obtained. The identity to be verified then becomes

$$\begin{split} [q+r]^3 \, [q]^2 \, \{ \alpha^2 \, (r^2-r) + \gamma^2 \, (p^2-p) - 2\alpha\gamma \, (p-1) \, (r-1) - pr \, (p+r-2) \} \\ &= (q+r) \, [q]^2 \, [p]^2 \, \{ \beta^2 \, (r^2-r) + \gamma^2 \, (q^2-q) - 2\beta\gamma \, (q-1) \, (r-1) - qr \, (q+r-2) \} \\ &+ 2 \, (q+r-1) \, [q]^2 \, (p-1) \, (r-1) \, \{ \alpha\beta r \, (q+r-2) - \alpha\gamma q \, (q+r-2) \\ &- pr\beta^2 + p \, (q-r) \, \beta\gamma + pq\gamma^2 \} \\ &+ (q+r-2) \, [q]^2 \, [r]^2 \, \{ \alpha^2 \, (q+r) \, (q+r-1) + (\beta+\gamma)^2 \, (p^2-p) \\ &- 2\alpha \, (\beta+\gamma) \, (p-1) \, (q+r-1) - p \, (q+r) \, (p+q+r-2) \}, \end{split}$$

which is easily verified, term by term; for instance, the terms with α , β , or γ , give

$$\begin{split} [q+r]^3 \, [q]^2 \, pr \, (p+r-2) &= \quad (q+r) \, [q]^2 \, [p]^2 \, qr \, (q+r-2) \\ &+ (q+r-2) \, [q]^2 \, [r]^2 \, p \, (q+r) \, (p+q+r-2), \end{split}$$

which, omitting the factor $(q+r)(q+r-2)[q]^2 pr$, is

$$(q+r-1)(p+r-2) = (p-1)q+(r-1)(p+q+r+2);$$

viz. the right-hand side is

$$(p-1)q+(r-1)q+(r-1)(p+r-2), = (q+r-1)(p+r-2),$$

as it should be.

The equations are useful for the demonstration of a subsidiary theorem employed in Gordan's demonstration of the finite number of the covariants of any binary form U. Suppose that a system of covariants (including the quantic itself) is

$$P, Q, R, S, \ldots;$$

this may be the complete system of covariants; and if it is so, then, T and V being any functions of the form $P^aQ^{\beta}R^{\gamma}...$, every derivative $(T, V)^{\theta}$ must be a term or sum of terms of the like form $P^aQ^{\beta}R^{\gamma}...$; the subsidiary theorem is that in order to prove that the case is so, it is sufficient to prove that every derivative $(P, Q)^{\theta}$, where P and Q are any two terms of the proposed system, is a term or sum of terms of the form in question $P^aQ^{\beta}R^{\gamma}...$

In fact, supposing it shown that every derivative $(T, V)^{\theta}$ up to a given value θ_0 of θ is of the form $P^aQ^{\theta}R^{\gamma}...$, we can by successive application of the equation for $Q(P, R)^{\theta+1}$, regarded as an equation for the reduction of the last term on the right-hand side $(P, QR)^{\theta+1}$, bring first $(P, QR)^{\theta+1}$, and then $(P, QRS)^{\theta+1},...$, and so ultimately any function $(P, V)^{\theta+1}$, and then again any functions $(PQ, V)^{\theta+1}$, $(PQR, V)^{\theta+1},...$, and so ultimately any function $(T, V)^{\theta+1}$, into the required form $P^aQ^{\theta}R^{\gamma}...$: or the theorem, being true for θ , will be true for $\theta+1$; whence it is true generally.