

934.

NOTE ON THE SO-CALLED QUOTIENT G/H IN THE THEORY OF GROUPS.

[From the *American Journal of Mathematics*, t. xv. (1893), pp. 387, 388.]

THE notion (see Hölder, "Zur Reduction der algebraischen Gleichungen," *Math. Ann.*, t. xxxiv. (1887), § 4, p. 31) is a very important one, and it is extensively made use of in Mr Young's paper, "On the Determination of Groups whose Order is the Power of a Prime," *American Journal of Mathematics*, t. xv. (1893), pp. 124—178; but it seems to me that the meaning is explained with hardly sufficient clearness, and that a more suitable algorithm might be adopted, viz. instead of $G_1 = G/\Gamma_1$, I would rather write $G = \Gamma_1 \cdot QG_1$ or $QG_1 \cdot \Gamma_1$.

We are concerned with a group G containing as part of itself a group Γ_1 , such that each element of Γ_1 is commutative with each element of G . This being so, we may write

$$G = QG_1 \cdot \Gamma_1,$$

where QG_1 is not a group but a mere array of elements, viz. if $\Gamma_1 = (1, A_2, \dots, A_s)$, and $QG_1 = (1, B_2, \dots, B_t)$, then the formula is

$$G = (1, B_2, \dots, B_t)(1, A_2, \dots, A_s),$$

where it is to be noticed that the elements B are not determinate; in fact, if A_θ be any element of Γ_1 , we may, in place of an element B , write BA_θ , for

$$B(1, A_2, \dots, A_s) \text{ and } BA_\theta(1, A_2, \dots, A_s)$$

are, in different orders, the same elements of G .

But, G being a group, the product of any two elements of G is an element of G ; viz. we thus have in general

$$B_i A_x \cdot B_j A_y = B_k A_k;$$

that is,

$$B_i B_j = B_k A_k A_j^{-1} A_j^{-1} \quad (i, j, \text{ unequal or equal}),$$

where the B_k is a determinate element of the series $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$, depending only on the elements B_i and B_j into the product of which it enters; and it is in nowise affected by the before-mentioned indeterminateness of the elements B : say B_i, B_j being any two elements of the series $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$, we have the last preceding equation wherein B_k is a determinate element of the same series.

We may imagine a set of elements $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$ for which, B_i, B_j being any two of them and B_k a third element determined as above, we have always $B_i B_j = B_k$, that is, these elements $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$ now form a group, say the group G_1 ; the original elements $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$ (which are subject to a different law of combination $B_i B_j = B_k A_k A_j^{-1} A_j^{-1}$, and do not form a group) are regarded as a mere array connected with this group, and so represented as above by QG_1 ; and the relation of the original group G to the group Γ_1 (consisting of elements commutative with those of G) and to the new group G_1 is expressed as above by the equation

$$G = \Gamma_1 \cdot QG_1, = QG_1 \cdot \Gamma_1.$$

Cambridge, 2 June, 1893.