

ON THE SURFACE OF THE ORDER n WHICH PASSES THROUGH A GIVEN CUBIC CURVE.

By *Professor Cayley.*

It is natural to assume that taking A, B, C to denote the general functions $(x, y, z, w)^{n-2}$ of the order $n-2$, the general surface of the order n which passes through the curve

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x, y, z \\ y, z, w \end{array} \right\} = 0$$

(or, what is the same thing, the curve $x:y:z:w = 1:\theta:\theta^2:\theta^3$) has for its equation

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} A, B, C \\ x, y, z \\ y, z, w \end{array} \right| = 0;$$

but the formal proof is not immediate. Writing the equation in the form $U = S a x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta = 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = n$, then U must vanish on writing therein $x:y:z:w = 1:\theta:\theta^2:\theta^3$; a term $a x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta$ becomes $= a \theta^p$, where $p = \beta + 2\gamma + 3\delta$ is the weight of the term reckoning the weights of x, y, z, w as 0, 1, 2, 3 respectively; and hence the condition is that for each given weight p the sum $S a$ of the coefficients of the several terms of this weight shall be $= 0$. Using any such equation to determine one of the coefficients thereof in terms of the others, the function U is reduced to a sum of duads $a(x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta - x^{\alpha'} y^{\beta'} z^{\gamma'} w^{\delta'})$, where in each duad the two terms are of the same degree and of the same weight, and where a is an arbitrary coefficient; it ought therefore to be true that each such duad $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta - x^{\alpha'} y^{\beta'} z^{\gamma'} w^{\delta'}$ has the property in question—or writing $P, Q, R = yw - z^2, zy - xw, zx - y^2$, say that each such duad is of the form $AP + BQ + CR$.

Suppose for a moment that α' is greater than α , but that β', γ', δ' are each less than β, γ, δ respectively: the duad is $x^{\alpha'} y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta (x^\lambda - y^\mu z^\nu w^\rho)$, where λ, μ, ν, ρ are each positive, and hence $x^\lambda - y^\mu z^\nu w^\rho$ is a duad having the property in question, or changing the notation say $x^\alpha - y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta$ has the property in question; and in like manner by considering the several cases that may happen we have to show that each of the duads

$$\begin{array}{l} x^\alpha - y^\beta z^\gamma w^\delta, y^\beta - x^\alpha z^\gamma w^\delta, z^\gamma - x^\alpha y^\beta w^\delta, w^\delta - x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma, \\ x^\alpha y^\beta - z^\gamma w^\delta, x^\alpha z^\gamma - y^\beta w^\delta, x^\alpha w^\delta - y^\beta z^\gamma, \end{array}$$

has the property in question; it being of course understood that in each of these duads the two terms have the same degree and the same weight. The first form cannot exist; for we must have therein $\alpha = \beta + \gamma + \delta$ and $0 = \beta + 2\gamma + 3\delta$, which is inconsistent with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ each of them positive. For the second form $\beta = \alpha + \gamma + \delta, \beta = 2\gamma + 3\delta$, this is $\alpha = \gamma + 2\delta$ or the duad is $y^{\alpha+\gamma+3\delta} - x^{\gamma+3\delta}z^{\gamma}w^{\delta} = (y^{\alpha})^{\gamma}y^{3\delta} - (xz)^{\gamma}(x^{\alpha}w)^{\delta}$. Writing $y^{\alpha} = xz - R$, we have terms containing the factor R , and a residual term $(xz)^{\gamma}\{y^{3\delta} - (x^{\alpha}w)^{\delta}\}$, and writing herein

$$xw = yz - Q \text{ or } x^{\alpha}w = xyz - Q,$$

we have terms containing Q as a factor and a residual term $(xz)^{\gamma}\{y^{3\delta} - (xyz)^{\delta}\} = (xz)^{\gamma}y^{\delta}\{(y^{\alpha})^{\delta} - (xz)^{\delta}\}$, and again writing herein $y^{\alpha} = xz - R$, we see that this term contains the factor R : hence the duad in question consists of terms having the factor R or the factor Q . Similarly for the other cases, either $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ can be expressed as positive numbers, and then the duad consists of terms each divisible by P, Q , or R ; or else $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ cannot be expressed as positive numbers, and then the duad does not exist: thus for the third form $z^{\gamma} - x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}w^{\delta}$, here $\gamma = \alpha + \beta + \delta, 2\gamma = \beta + 3\delta$, or say $\gamma = 3\alpha + 2\beta, \delta = 2\alpha + \beta$, and the duad is $z^{3\alpha+2\beta} - x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}w^{2\alpha+\beta} = z^{3\alpha}(z^{\alpha})^{2\beta} - (xw^{\alpha})^{\alpha}(yw)^{\beta}$, which can be reduced to the required form. But for the duad $x^{\alpha}y^{\beta} - z^{\gamma}w^{\delta}$, we have $\alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta, \beta = 2\gamma + 3\delta$, which cannot be satisfied by positive values of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, and thus the duad does not exist.

A surface of the order n which passes through $3n+1$ points of a cubic curve contains the curve: hence the number of constants or say the capacity of a surface of the order n , through the curve $P=0, Q=0, R=0$, is

$$\frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) - 1 - (3n+1), = \frac{1}{6}(n^3 + 6n^2 - 7n - 6).$$

Primâ facie the capacity of the surface $AP + BQ + CR = 0$, A, B, C the general functions of the order $n-2$, is

$$3 \cdot \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(n+1) - 1, = \frac{1}{2}(n^3 - n - 2),$$

but there is a reduction on account of the identical equations $zP + yQ + xR = 0, yP + zQ + wR = 0$ which connect the functions P, Q, R : for $n=2$, the formulæ give each of them as it should do, Capacity = 2; viz. the quadric surface through the curve is $aP + bQ + cR = 0$.