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## 1. Introduction

In the domain of the nonlinear mechanics of continuous media we often have to deal with nonlinear integral equations of the Volterra's type. Such equations appear for example, in the problems of nonlinear viscoelasticity founded on the generalized Boltzmann's principle for hereditary processes, in the theory of nonlinear vibrations in the theorr of automatic control and in the problems of nonlinear random processes.

The general form of the Volterra's nonlinear integral equation of the second kind is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(t)=2 e(t)+\int_{t_{0}} p[t, \tau, s(\tau)] d \tau \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s(t)$ is the function to be found, $e(t)$ - a known funaction a-constant and $\mathrm{f}[t, \tau, s(\tau)]-\mathrm{a}$ known function of all its arguments $t, \tau, s(\tau)$. Let the upper limit of the integral (1.1) be the time-veriable, $t_{0}$ denoting initial instant. We assume that the known function $e(t)$ is defined and continuous in the time-interval $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and also the known function $f[t, \tau, s(\tau)]$ is defined and continuous with respect to all variables in the region of their variability given as being

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant \infty, \quad t_{0} \leqslant \tau \leqslant t, \quad \bar{s} \leqslant s \leqslant \overline{\bar{s}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}}$ the lower and upper bound of the function $f$, respectively, then

$$
\text { 1.31 } \overline{\mathbf{s}}<\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{d}} \leqslant \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{g}}<\overline{\bar{s}}
$$

In addition, the function $\mathrm{f}[t, \tau, s(\tau)]$ satisfies the condition
/1.4/ $\left|\rho\left[t, \tau, \partial_{\tau} s(\tau)\right]-\rho\left[t, \tau, \partial_{\tau}^{2} s(\tau)\right]\right|<\lambda\left|\partial_{\tau} s(\tau)-\partial_{\tau}^{2} s(\tau)\right|$,
where $\partial_{\tau}$ denotes the derivative with respect to variable $\tau$ and $\lambda$ is a positive constant.

In the problems of mechanics as mentioned above the function $f$ can be usually presented in the form with separated variables

$$
/ 1.5 / \mathrm{f}[\mathrm{t}, \tau, \mathrm{~s}(\tau)]=-\varphi[\mathrm{s}(\tau)] \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{t}, \tau),
$$

where
/1.6/ $\varphi[s(\tau)]=s(\tau)[\mathbb{F}(s)] \quad$.
The last representation has some physical meaning which we shall point out in considering certain application.

If we denote by $Q$ the integral operator
/1.7/ $Q g=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(\tau) K .(t, \tau) d \tau$,
where the function $K$ is its kernel, the Eq./1.1/ can be rewritten, for the mode of representing $/ 1.5 /$ and $/ 1.6 /$ in the operational form
/1.8/

$$
[1+Q F(s)] s=a e
$$

We note that for the function $F$ being identically equal unity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s) \equiv 1, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Eq./1.8/ becomes linear

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+Q) s=a e . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if the function $F$ shows a small deviation from the constant value of unity then the physical process represented by means of Eq./1.8/ can be regarded as result of small nonlinear perturbation of the linear process as given by Eq./1.10/. In this way, the function $F$ in the operator $Q$ of Eq./1.8/ has the meaning of certain physical magnifying factor depending on the actual value of the physical variable s. On the other hand, the function $F$ depends on the small physical constant $\beta$ which is responsible for the sma.l perturbation of the linearity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=F[\beta s(\tau)] . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the mentioned above properties of the function F can be represented by the exponential function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[\beta s(\tau)]=\exp \left[\beta\left(s-s^{*}\right)\right], \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s^{*}$ is certain fixed value of the variable $s$ for which the Eg./1.8/ transforms into linear equation /1.10/. Thus, accordins to ELq./1.12/ we have for $s=s^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[\beta s(\tau)]_{s=s^{*}}=1, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the process considered is described by linear Eq./1.10/. For $s>s^{*}$ the process is nonlinear in accordance with Eq./1.8/.

In the following we shall deal with the integral equation /1.8/ where the function $F$ is of the type /1.12/.
2. Perturbational development oi the integral equation

We present the function /1.12/ in the form of power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[\beta s(\tau)]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} s^{k-1}(\tau) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $\beta_{k}$ are given by the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}=\frac{1}{k!} \beta^{k-1} \exp \left(-\beta s_{0}\right)=\alpha_{k} \beta^{k-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the development /2.1/ the equation /1.8/ may be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} Q s^{k}=a e . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we develop the function $s$ into power series of the small parameter $\beta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\tau)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j} \beta^{j} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $b_{j}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}(\tau)=\beta^{-1} s_{j-1}(\tau) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the series /2.4/ into Eq./2.1/ multiplied by $s(\tau)$ we have
12.6/ $s(\tau) F[\beta s(\tau)]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} s^{k}(\tau)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta^{k-1} \bar{s}_{k-1}(\tau)$.

Here, the coeficicients of the series $/ 2.6 /$ can be found from the formulas
$12.7 /$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{s}_{0}=\alpha_{1} s_{0}, \\
& \bar{s}_{1}=\alpha_{1} s_{1}+\alpha_{2} s_{0}^{2} \\
& \bar{s}_{2}=\alpha_{1} s_{2}+2 \alpha_{2} s_{1} s_{0}+\alpha_{3} s_{0}^{3}, \\
& \bar{s}_{3}=\alpha_{1} s_{3}+\alpha_{2} s_{1}^{2}+2 \alpha_{2} s_{2} s_{0}+3 \alpha_{3} s_{1} s_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{4} s_{0}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constants $\alpha_{k}$ are given by the relation /2.2/
/2.8/

$$
k=\frac{1}{k!} \exp \left(-\beta s^{*}\right)
$$

Introducing the series /2.4/ and /2.6/ into the Eq. /1.8/ we obtain finally the following condition
/2.9/ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^{j-1}\left(s_{j-i}+Q \bar{s}_{j-1}\right)=a e \quad$.
From this condition we can find, for successive powers of the parameter $\beta$, the coefficients of the development. 12.4/. The condition /2.9/ corresponds to the recurrent system of linear integral equations with respect to the coefficients $s_{k}(\tau)$
/2.10/

$$
s_{k}+Q \bar{s}_{k}=0, \quad / k=1,2, \ldots /,
$$

the first coefficients being given by the equation

$$
/ 2.11 / \quad s_{0}+Q s_{0}=a e
$$

3. Operational representation of the coefficients of the perturbational development

We shall evaluate the coefficients of the perturbationnail development $s_{k}$ from the recurrent system /2.10/ and /2.11/. The Last equation, when Eq./2.7/ is taken into account, can be rewritten in the form

$$
\text { /3.1/ } \quad\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right) s_{0}=a e
$$

By applying the inverse operation we find from kiq./3.1/
13.21

$$
s_{0}=a\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right)^{-1} e
$$

According to the physical interpretation of the process described by the Eq./1.8/ we assume /see Eq./1.7//
13.31

$$
\left|\alpha_{1} Q 1\right|<1
$$

and then the solution /h.2/ can be presented by means of the development of the inverse operator

$$
\text { 13.4/ } \quad s_{0}=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1} Q^{i} e
$$

Here we have
13.5/

$$
Q^{0} g=1
$$

$$
Q^{m} g=\underbrace{\int_{t_{0}}^{\int_{0}^{t}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \ldots \int_{\text {m }}^{t} K\left(t_{m, 2}\right) K\left(\tau_{m-2}, \tau_{m-3}\right) \ldots g\left(\tau_{m-2}\right) \underbrace{d \tau_{m-2} d \tau_{m-3} \ldots,}_{\text {m }}, ~}_{\text {m }}
$$

Where we should put $\tau_{-1}=\tau$.
The first equation of the system /2.10/ can be written
13.6/

$$
\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right) s_{1}=-\alpha_{2} Q s_{0}^{2}
$$

where according to Eq./3.4/
13.71 $\quad s_{0}^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} g_{i}$.

Here denote
13.8/ $g_{0}=e^{2}, \quad g_{m}=\frac{1}{m e} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m}(3 k-m) Q^{k} e \cdot g_{m-k}, \quad m \geqslant 1$.

Putting the Eq. $/ 3.7 /$ into Eq. $3.6 /$ and applying the inverse operation we calculate
13.9/

$$
s_{1}=\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i} \alpha_{2} a^{2} Q g_{i}
$$

After having developed the inverse operator the Eq./3.9/ becomes
13.10/ $s_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} Q^{i} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i} \alpha_{2} a^{2} Q g_{i}=a^{2} \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{1}^{i} h_{i}$,
where
/3.11/

$$
n_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+1} Q^{k}\left(q g_{n-k}\right)
$$

The second equation of the system /2.10/ gives
13.12/

$$
\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right) s_{2}=-2 \alpha_{2} Q s_{1} s_{0}-\alpha_{3} Q s_{0}^{3}
$$

Introducing the development /3.4/ and /3.10/ into Eq./s.1c/ and applying the inverse operation we obtain
13.13/ $s_{2}=-\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right)^{-1}\left\{2 \alpha_{2} Q\left[a^{3} \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} Q^{i} e \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha \frac{i}{1} h_{i}\right]+\right.$

$$
\left.+\alpha_{3} Q\left[a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} Q^{i} e\right]^{3}\right\}
$$

After having carried out the indicated operations in Eq. 13.13/ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 13.14/ } \begin{aligned}
& s_{2}=a^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i} Q^{i}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha 1_{1}^{i} Q^{i}\left(2 \alpha_{2}^{2} p_{i}+\alpha_{3} q_{i}\right)\right]= \\
&=a^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{1}^{i} r_{i}
\end{aligned} .
\end{array}
$$

Here denote

$$
p_{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k} Q^{k} e \cdot h_{m-k}
$$

13.15/

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{0}=e^{3}, \quad q_{m}=\frac{1}{m e} \sum_{k=1}^{m}(4 k-m)(-1)^{k} Q^{k} e \cdot q_{m-k}, \quad m \geqslant 1, \\
r_{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k+1} Q^{k}\left[Q\left(2 \alpha_{2}^{2} p_{m-k}+\alpha_{3} q_{m-k}\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the same manner we can evaluate the further coefficients of the development /2.4/ according to the desired accuracy of solution of the Eq./1.8/.
4. Free vibrations of a nonlinear system with one degree of freedom

We shall point out that the problem of free nonlinear vibrations of a system with one degree of freedom reduces to the solution of the Volterra's nonlinear integral equation as given by Eq./1.8/.

The problem as mentioned above is formulated by the nonlinear differential equation of second order
/4.1/ $\ddot{x}(t)+\omega^{2} x(t)+\beta \omega \Phi[x(t), \dot{x}(t)]=0$,
or, in particular, when nonlinear term depends only on displacement $x(t)$
14.2/ $\ddot{x}(t)+\omega^{2} x(t)+\beta \omega \Psi[x(t)]=0$.

In the Eqs./4.1/ and /4.2/ $\beta$ represents a small parameter for small perturbation of harmonic motion, $\omega$ - frequency of vibrations in linear range, $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ - nonlinear functions depending on displacement and displacement rate and on displacement, respectively.

Both differential equations can be presented in the form of equivalent integro-differential and integral equatins, respectively. Thus, Eq./4.1/ can be written as follows
/4.31

$$
x(t)=g(t)-\beta \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \Phi[x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)] \sin \omega(t-\tau) d \tau
$$

where
/4.4/

$$
g(t)=A \cos \omega t+B \sin \omega t
$$

$$
A=x\left(t_{0}\right), \quad B=\frac{1}{\omega} \dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

The second equation /4.2/ may be presented in the form
/4.5/ $\quad x(t)=g(t)-\beta \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \Psi[x(\tau)] \sin \omega(t-\tau) d \tau$.
By putting in the last equation
/4.6/

$$
\Psi[x(\tau)]=x(\tau) \mathbb{F}[x(\tau)] \frac{1}{\beta}
$$

$$
K(t-\tau)=\sin \omega(t-\tau)
$$

we can wrate instead of Eq./4.5/
/4.7/ $x(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} x(\tau) F[x(\tau)] K(t-\tau) d \tau=g(t)$,
or in operational form
/4.8/

$$
(1+Q F) x=g
$$

which is formally identical with Eq./1.8/.
It is obvious that the more general integro-differential equation $/ 4.3$ / may be also reduced to the analogous operational form /4.8/ if only the function $\Phi$ can be presented as being
/4.9/

$$
\Phi[x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)]=x(t) \bar{F}[x(t), \dot{x}(t)]
$$

The last representation must be always allowable since its physical significance, $x(\tau)$ being the physical effect of the process considered and $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ - magnifying factor in nonlinear range depending on this very effect and its derivative.

Thus, we conclude that the method of solution as given in § 3 may be applied to the problem of nonlinear vibrations stated above.

## 5. Creep of viscoelastic material with small nonlinearity

The equation $/ 1.8$ / may be interpreted as the relation between stress $s$ and strain e for a nonlinear viscoelastic material. If the function $F$ is of the type /1.2/ then for sufficiently small $\beta$ the process represented by Eq./1.8/ may be considered as elastic-creeping deformation under stress $s(t)$ with small deviation from the linearity. In particular, $s^{*}$ denotes the value of limiting stress at the nonlinear range and the kernei of the operator $K / 1.7 /$ is the rate of creep factor.

We assume that at the instant $t_{0}$ the stress $s(t)$ is applied which do not exceed significantly the limiting value $s^{*}$. Then the first coefficient of the development /2.4/ is constant and can be identified with the value of limiting stress $s^{*}=s_{0}$ as bein the solution of linear equation /2.11/,
/5.1/

$$
s_{0}=\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q\right)^{-1} e
$$

Thus, the first coefficients $s_{0}$ expresses the linear share of process in generally nonlinear process. In this case the linear process occurs under constant stress $s_{0}$ and the nonlinearity is involved by the increase of stress over the limiting value. According to that the operator /1.7/ becomes
15.2/

$$
Q(1)=Q_{1}=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t-\tau) d \tau \text {, }
$$

if we assume the kernel depending on the difference of its arguments. Then the Eq./5.1/ may be simpy written
15.3/

$$
s_{0}=\varepsilon s\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q_{1}\right)^{-1},
$$

or after developing /see Eq./3.4//
/5.4/ $\quad s_{0}=a e \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} Q_{1}^{i}$.
Introducing the operator $/ 5.2$ / into Eqs./3.6/ and 13.12/ we obtain the further coefficients, respectively,
/5.5/ $s_{1}=-\alpha_{2} s_{0}^{2}\left(1+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{1}\right)^{-1} Q_{1}$,
$15.6 / s_{2}=-s_{0}^{3}\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q_{1}\right)^{-1} Q_{1}\left[\alpha_{3}-2 \alpha_{2}\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q_{1}\right)^{-1} Q_{1}\right]$,
where the coefficient $s_{0}$ is given by the series $/ 5.4$ / and its powers can be calculated by means of the following formula
15.7/ $s_{0}^{n}=(a s)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} g_{i}(n), / n=2,3, \ldots . /$.

Here denote
15.8/ $\quad g_{0}(n)=1, \quad g_{m}(n)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m}[k(n+1)-m] Q_{1}^{k} g_{m-k}(n) \quad$.

The value of the expression in brackets of Eqs.15.5/ and /5.6/ is
15.9/ $\quad\left(1+\alpha_{1} Q_{1}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \alpha_{1}^{i} Q^{i} \quad$.

Substituting the formulas /5.7/ - /5.9/ into Eqs. /5.5/ and /5.6/ we obtain, respectively ,

$$
\text { 15.10/ } s_{1}=\alpha_{2}(a e)^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{1}^{i} h_{i}, \quad s_{2}=(a e)^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{1}^{i} r_{i}
$$

$$
h_{\text {m }}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} Q_{1}^{k+1}
$$

/5.11/

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k+1} Q^{k+1}\left[2 \alpha_{2}^{2} p_{m-k}+\alpha_{3} \delta_{m-k}(3)\right], \\
& p_{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k} h_{m-k} Q_{1}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume that the kernel of Eq./5.2/ is
/5.12/

$$
K(t-\tau)=-\partial_{\tau} c(t-\tau),
$$

where $C$ denotes creep factor of the linear range, then Eq./5.2/ can be integrated giving

$$
Q_{1}=c\left(t-t_{0}\right),
$$

since $C(0)=0$. Thus, the solution for stress of the nonlinear equation $/ 1.8 /$, representing elasto-creeping deformation of viscoelastic material with small nonlinearity, is expressed by the value of limiting stress $s_{0}$ and creep factor of the linear range $/ 5.13$ / which defines the stress variability in time /relaxation process/.

## 6. Final conclusion

On the basic of our considerations and results obtained with respect to the solution of the problem formulated above, we state what follows:

If in the integral equation $/ 1.1$ / the function $e / t /$ is given real function defined and continuous in the interval $t_{0} \leqslant t<\infty$ and the function $f[t, \tau, s(\tau)]$ is a given
real function of the pair of points $t, \tau$ which satisfy the conditions $/ 1.2 /$, continuous and satisfying Eq./1.4/ With respect to the variable s , then for the representation /1.5/ and for the inequality /3.3/ the solution of Bq./1.1/ is unique and given by the series /2.4/.
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